

VIRGINIA:

At a regular meeting of the King George County Board of Supervisors, held on Wednesday, the 22nd day of June, 2022 at 6:30 p.m. in the Revercomb Building Board Room at 10459 Courthouse Drive, King George, Virginia:

PRESENT: Jeff Stonehill, Chairman
Cathy Binder, Member
TC Collins, Member
Annie Cupka, Member
Chris Miller, County Administrator
Kelly Lackey, County Attorney

REMOTE: Richard Granger, Vice-Chairman

0:00:06.0 Chairman: Good evening everyone, I'd like to hereby call this meeting. The King George County Board of Supervisorsto order. The following member is participating through electronic and remote means pursuant to the rules previously adopted by this board, Mr. Richard Granger. I direct the clerk to include this statement and any statements of remotely participating board members to include their location, address and reason for absence to be memorialized in the minutes. And I'd like, then I would like to start out with the invocation by Mr. Collins, and we'll stand for that. And the pledge of allegiance.

0:00:56.0 TC Collins: Please bow your heads. Dear Lord, as we come together on this evening, we ask for your guidance to do what is best for our citizens. We praise you for all your blessings. We ask for forgiveness for our sins, in your name, we pray. Dear Lord.

0:01:21.4 ALL: I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

0:01:43.9 Chairman: Thank you everyone. Thank you, Mr. Collins. Now I will open up the floor to, or I'm sorry, I'm not gonna do that yet. Mr. Miller, any amendments to the agenda?

0:01:53.7 Chris Miller: No, sir.

0:01:54.7 Chairman: Okay. Thank you. Now I will open up the floor for public comment. Public

comments will be limited to three minutes per person in order to afford everyone an opportunity to speak. If comments relate to a written specific public hearing item, we ask that you offer these comments at that time of the public hearing. So is there anyone here for public comment? Ms. Laval step right up.

0:02:25.3 Anna Maria Lovell: Hi, my name is Anna Maria Lovell, I live in Presidential lakes, 10-2-6-3, Roosevelt. First, I want to thank the supervisors and the county administrator for their service to the county. Well, I want to talk about it, the budget, which is very important to me and the rest of the community. Well, people are complaining about why it's taking so long to complete. I understand that it's complicated. My question is, do you have professional help, like a CPA to complete it? The people of King George want to know how much the tax bill will be next year. The property values of the county, why have increased by almost 40% after the last year reassessment? Also, I want to know if the county is going to get funding from the state. Also what happen if the county does not get help from the state? Also, I want you to understand that people in the county are hurting. The price of the gas is extremely high. I have never seen the price so high. Everything is high. I guess people don't understand that the price of the gas is the base of the economy. It seems like our president does not care about the people. These higher prices are hitting the retired, they're hitting their finances. I hope people understand that. Thank you.

0:03:57.2 Chairman: Thank you. Anyone else for public comment?

0:04:00.1 Claudette Jordan: Good evening, everyone. My name is Claudette Jordan, King George native, living in Maryland Solomons Island. I just wanna thank the board here for the rigorous discussion and the forward progress that was made at your last meeting regarding refurbishing our school. Our meaning the county and all of us and we're delighted. It is a good group of people and we are excited and to quote, Ms. Cupka, "We're ready to rock and roll". So thank you so so much.

0:04:35.2 Chairman: Thank you, Ms. Jordan. Anyone else for public comment? Okay. Mr. Dines, anyone online for public comment? Anybody receive correspondence to be read? Seeing none. Okay. We will. I will close public comment. And now we'll open the floor up to the members of the board reports. Mr. Granger, would you like to go first?

0:05:11.0 Richard Granger: Yes. Can you hear me okay?

0:05:12.4 Chairman: Hear you loud and clear. Thanks.

0:05:14.8 R. Granger: Okay. I'm just gonna do one thing since I'm remotely attending. I believe I was supposed to provide information about why I need to remotely attend and where I'm at. So I'll take the opportunity now to share that information. I'm currently at my home 1-3-2-7-6 Mil lbank road I am currently recovering from illness. And so as to not possibly infect anyone I am remotely attending. So that's all I have. Thank you so much.

0:05:43.3 Chairman: Thank you Mr. Granger, and we all hope you recover quickly. Ms. Binder?

0:05:48.5 Cathy Binder: Thank you very much. I wanna thank our two speakers tonight who came to public comment. Thank you very much for coming up to the podium and telling us about the taxes and about Ralph Bunche, which I'll mention in a moment. Well, first I would like to talk about the meeting that I had yesterday. Mr. Miller was part of it and Jaron Hayes from the base who also

is on our one of our fan post subcommittees. Mr. Henry Sharpenberg, who's the chair of that committee is very passionate about an outer connector that would involve about five counayes. And on the Eastern part would involve King George, he's in a very ambitious project and he's looking for federal money. I invited him to come back, Mr. Miller and I to speak with all the colleagues at a future board meeting. I did speak with him at least with my concerns about it and how it would affect King George without very little benefit. But I invited him to come and talk to the whole board about his...His very ambitious idea. Today we had our first meeting, as Claudette mentioned, with the Ralph Bunche Advisory Committee, it was very productive, and all the members, you could see why they were picked, they all bring something to the table. They're very driven by the commitment and succeeding without knowing all the answers. That is what Ms. Jordan, I thought was a very good comment. And I hope with more than conversations, we're gonna finally have a plan to do something positive for the community that involves many different players, many different age groups, and I think it'll be as we proceed on and apply for more grants and it'll be really positive and Ms. Cupka will be talking more about it later. Also Ms. Cupka and I participated, Mr. Minor, Mr. Clarke participated in a call with the, about a grant opportunity in the industrial revitalization, and I forget the third part, Ms. Cupka will talk about that later, and it's the possibility of applying for that up to a million dollars without accounting match, and we might be able to take care of some more issues with the building and a second phase. And then lastly, next week, we'll be attending my first working group about renewable energy. So I'm looking forward to going down to Richmond and participating in that group. And that is the end of my report. Thank you.

0:08:09.0 Chairman: Thank you, Ms. Binder. Ms. Cupka?

0:08:14.1 Annie Cupka: Thank you Mr. Chair. I wanna thank the citizens who came out and provided public comment this evening, thank you very much for your time and joining us and braving the weather. Ms. Binder and I attended the ribbon cutting for Exit Realty's new Dahlgren office on Friday, June 10th. Congratulations to them on their expansion. On Tuesday, June 14th, we participated in a call with staff and DHCD, which is Virginia Department of Housing and Community Development regarding my request at the last meeting for consensus on looking into the school revitalization zone inquiry. And also the industrial revitalization Fund grant opportunity. I've sent this information regarding both to my colleagues and I have two requests to make this evening for consensus, or I'll just go ahead and move to direct staff to prepare a local ordinance, a draft local ordinance to place the Ralph Bunche High School parcel and it's adjoining parcel as well as the old King George Middle School parcel in that ordinance. They're both county-owned, and I'd like to see them written into the ordinance for an abandoned school revitalization zone, which right now the plan is the county is still going to continue to own these properayes. But if we wanted to either sell one of them or partner with others, it would allow us some flexibility and very importantly, would score us some extra points, quite honestly, in this upcoming grant opportunity, because any incentive that you're making to make something more attractive in blinded property is very helpful. So the first one is a motion to direct staff to prepare that draft ordinance for the Ralph Bunche High School parcel, it's adjoining vacant parcel and the old King George Middle School parcel. And I wanna thank actually, Mr. Minor during our discussion today at the committee meeting, we did talk about the Ralph Bunche parcel, but he actually recommended... Had the idea to, Hey, if we're gonna do this ordinance, why don't we do it all at once? Do it right. And do it for the old middle school too, because that's a tool that can help him as far as pursuing economic development opportuniayes with the property. So I had a motion. If anyone would entertain a second...

0:11:03.4 C. Binder: Second.

0:11:03.5 Chairman: We have a motion. Properly seconded. Any discussion? None. All in favor?

0:11:14.7 C. Binder: Aye.

0:11:15.3 TC Collins: Aye.

0:11:16.6 A. Cupka: Aye.

0:11:17.8 R. Granger: Aye.

0:11:18.2 Chairman: Mr. Collins? Okay. Chair votes aye. Motion passes.

0:11:21.1 A. Cupka: Thank you. And then the second motion is to direct staff to prepare a grant application for the industrial revitalization fund. The due date is August 19th, so we'd be looking for the amount of \$1 million because that's under the ARPA allocation that the state has this year and next year, an additional \$22.5 million of funding that they can work with, normally they only have about \$2 million of funding from the state budget, so we were looking for \$1 million for... And these are items that last meeting, we previously identified \$500,000 worth of the most pressing suggested items from Wiley Wilson. So we'd be looking at those \$500,000, worth as well as likely... Well, and septic replacement we're thinking would come in around \$1 million, so rather than push and wait until the fall when the National Park Service grant opens up again, we'd like to go ahead and pursue this opportunity this summer. Hopefully by the time the next grant rolls around, we'll have an answer back from the state about this \$1 million. And again, there's no local match, we learned though in the call that having that abandoned school revitalization zone helps strengthen the grant application and that... We have the previous \$500,000 allocation from the National Park Service, we can actually call that local match, so that's gonna further strengthen our grant application, so we thought it best to try to jump on this opportunity now...

0:13:11.6 C. Binder: Is that the official motion?

0:13:13.2 A. Cupka: Yes. I said, I'm sorry. I believe I said I move to direct staff to prepare a grant application.

0:13:18.1 C. Binder: Well then I'll second it.

0:13:20.6 A. Cupka: Thank you.

0:13:21.8 Chairman: And we have a motion properly seconded. Any discussion? Seeing none. All in favor.

0:13:24.8 C. Binder: Aye.

0:13:25.3 TC Collins: Aye.

0:13:26.8 A. Cupka: Aye.

0:13:27.0 R. Granger: Aye.

0:13:28.7 Chairman: Chair votes aye. Motion passes. Thank you.

0:13:30.9 A. Cupka: Thank you. And I wanna thank Mr. Minor for setting up that very helpful call with DHCD. We got a lot of questions answered, last week, and then today we attended the kickoff meeting of the Ralph Bunche advisory committee. And lastly, I am tracking complaints from multiple neighborhoods regarding the Cape seal projects under construction by a VDOT contractor. I drove two of the neighborhoods today and had a call with our resident engineer. He has also received the complaints. He will be compiling feedback and some suggestions for a smoother execution in the future for this type of project. As he said, the process is decided at a level much higher than him. He did indicate that a vacuum truck will be visiting the neighborhoods later this week to clean up all the gravel left behind in residents' yards. And in the meantime if you have issues such as this, you can always report a request for service to vdot@my.vdot.virginia.gov. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

0:14:34.3 Chairman: Thank you Mrs. Cupka, Mr. Collins.

0:14:37.9 TC Collins: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'd like to thank you Ms. Lovell for coming out this evening. Again, many of your concerns are my concerns, I don't have the answer for all those concerns. We do the best we can to advocate at the local level for anything even that's state or national. We do advocate for our citizens at higher levels. Ms. Jordan, thank you for coming out. We look forward for the Ralph Bunche commission committee to continue their work and getting things done over there. I had the opportunity this month to be able to work with constituent concerns, which I'd like to thank Mr. Miller on one particular concern, I took care of it right away. And the person was very happy. On another constituent concern, I'd like to thank Mr. Beale, who has been able to come up with remedies for many constituents. So he has stepped up to the plate too. Thank you. That's the end of my comments.

0:15:52.8 Chairman: Thank you Mr. Collins. I want to thank you everybody for coming out. Ms. Jordan, thanks for coming out. I'm glad things are moving along. I'm glad the county is finally getting behind and getting this ball rolling on, getting the Ralph Bunche taken care of. Ms. Laval, thank you very much for coming out like usual. Thanks for the kind remarks. Tax bills and gas prices, bad thing for everybody, but coming over here just now in the car, I just heard on the radio that President Biden was reaching out to states trying to get them to suspend their gas taxes to bring costs down. And also he was calling on the oil companies to produce more oil at less cost, that's a little bit above our pay grade, but hopefully he can get that taken care of and maybe lower some of the prices for everybody. But thanks for coming out. Friday the 10th, I attended a Northern neck tourism commission meeting down in Warsaw. They had named it, I think tourism 2.0. It was kind of a jump start from the dark ages of COVID for the last two years. They haven't had any meetings over there. So they're trying to get the Northern neck back into some tourism with a bunch of different ideas from the different stakeholders throughout the commission. And then Saturday at Mount Bethel, I could not attend due to my work schedule, but I wanted to thank everybody that attended and helped make the Juneteenth celebration at Mount Bethel come to fruition. They had a beautiful day. I heard it was a great event for everybody. Everybody had a good time. It was a free event with vendors and music. And just wanted to say, thank you for everybody that took place that helped get that going at Mount Bethel, a great location right on the river. And I'm sorry to miss it. And that is the end of my report. So we'll close out reports of members from the board. Mr.

Granger, do you have something for us?

0:18:08.1 R. Granger: Yes, sir. Before we get to the consent agenda, I do have a disclosure statement to make. So I'll just read it, pursuant to disclosure requirements of the Virginia Conflict of Interest Act. I, Richard Granger, a member of the King George County Board of Supervisors makes the following disclosure: As a member of Board of Supervisors I am expected to participate in the discussion of, and to vote upon, the approval of warrants for payments of County funds, which includes the Recreation Activity Fund. As the coach of a youth team, Item 06-11.5 of the agenda includes a refund in the amount of \$186 to me in my individual capacity for athletic program fees. The refund proposed for my benefit is of the same type and relative value as other parents that coach their children's teams; so I am a member of a group with a personal interest that is larger than three members. I am able to participate in discussion and adoption of recreation expenditures, and to vote fairly, objectively, and in the public interest. Therefore, I will participate in the vote upon the adoption of the warrants against County funds, including the refunding of athletic program fees. I will provide a copy of the disclosure with the part of the board of supervisors once I am recovered from my illness and it will be available and on the record. Thank you.

0:19:25.5 Chairman: All right. Thank you, Mr. Granger. So do we have a motion for the consent agenda?

0:19:32.4 C. Binder: I'll make a motion to accept the consent agenda as presented.

0:19:36.5 A. Cupka: Second.

0:19:38.8 Chairman: We have a motion properly. Seconded, any discussion, seeing none, all in favor?

0:19:42.3 C. Binder: Aye.

0:19:43.6 TC Collins: Aye.

0:19:44.8 A. Cupka: Aye.

0:19:45.0 R. Granger: Aye.

0:19:47.0 Chairman: Chair votes aye motion passes. Constitutional officers report, Mr. Jones.

0:20:03.0 Randy Jones: Mr. Chair, Colleagues, good evening. First before the report, happy to report that, excuse me, we approved samples for the real estate and personal property bills yesterday. We've been assured that they should be in the mail by Friday no later than Monday. So please be on a lookout for those. I know we've had a lot of questions in regards to them. However, they should be on the way. So just wanna make that noted. Now May's expenditure report, we started the month of May with a balance of in the general fund, \$30,628,627.24. The County received \$15,240,720.40. And we dispersed \$17,535,896.25 leaving an in dem balance \$28,333,451.39. And this total has been reconciled and the month of May has been closed.

0:21:25.3 Chairman: Thank you, Mr. Jones, any questions for him?

0:21:27.3 R. Jones: We good?

0:21:28.8 Chairman: Thank you, sir.

0:21:30.4 R. Jones: Appreciate it.

0:21:31.4 Chairman: And Mr. Miller, if you could just make sure those get into the minutes, please.

0:21:35.4 C. Miller: Yes, sir.

0:21:36.5 Chairman: Thank you, Sheriff Giles, are you here just observing or do you have something for us? Okay, thank you. Thanks for coming out. Next stop, county attorney's report.

0:21:57.7 Kelly Lackey: The first item I wanted to mention is that I have a draft transient occupancy tax ordinance amendment under review by the treasurer and the commissioner of revenue. As most of you are probably aware, there have been some changes with regard to accommodations intermediaries. So the booking companies that book may take bookings and on behalf of the payers, and there's some legislative changes from last year with regard to the collection of taxes. And there's some further changes that actually don't take effect until October 1st. Most legislation takes effect July 1st, but in this case it takes effect October 1st. So that's just a little glimpse of what's coming ahead. The other item I wanted to mention is that the board of zoning appeals has not been meeting with a great deal of frequency, just because of the nature of what they do. We do anticipate that to pick up and more members are needed. So if there are any members of the public that have an interest in an appointment they could contact their supervisor about their interest.

In addition to that due to legal conflict of interest rules, I am precluded from representing the Board of Zoning Appeals. There could be potential adverse positions between the Board of Supervisors and the Board of Zoning Appeals. So out of my budget, I have allocated funds to provide a training to the Board of Zoning Appeals next week to be conducted by an outside attorney. And a recommendation has come forward from community development that I agreed to mention in that it might be worthwhile to have a budget specific to the board of zoning appeals so that they could retain outside counsel as needed.

I did a quick review of some other jurisdictions and Stafford, started with a budget of \$5,000 and ramped up to \$20,000, but their last annual report of their BZA said \$5,000 is what they would consider to be the minimum. And I also found some minutes that reflect that Albemarle county also allocated a budget of \$5,000. And so I realized this is kind of a late in the game to put forward a different aspect of the budget, but due to cost savings and the county attorney's budget for outside counsel a joint recommendation from both myself and from community development is that perhaps \$5,000 of outside counsel expenses be re-appropriated to the BZA for outside counsel expenses should they need them in the coming fiscal year.

0:25:01.9 Chairman: All right, thank you. Do you need a motion to do that?

0:25:10.6 A. Cupka: Do you want a motion, Ms. Lackey?

0:25:13.9 K. Lackey: Yes, if that's the will of the board.

0:25:16.8 A. Cupka: So, this is from the current fiscal year?

0:25:21.1 K. Lackey: Yes. I would propose that some of the carryover from the current fiscal year, so from this fiscal year be applied, reapplied to the BZA for purposes of outside counsel expenses in the coming fiscal year.

0:25:39.8 TC Collins: This is a first reading, first information on that. So, if we're gonna do a motion probably be best to do it at the next meeting have time to mull it over a little bit.

0:25:53.5 Chairman: Ms. Lackey, is there a time constraint or anything on this?

0:26:00.8 K. Lackey: There's not a time constraint except to the effect that there is likely to be a board of zoning appeal next month, for which they may or may not want to consult outside counsel, but I don't know that they would need that full allocation for outside counsel expenses.

0:26:19.0 C. Binder: Mr. Chair, from my understanding, well, I know I appointed or recommended somebody. There's a second recommendation and they have to have training. So this attorney would help train them correct?

0:26:31.4 K. Lackey: The attorney could I actually negotiated that the attorney who's conducting the training next week has agreed for it to be a training that could be available to new members.

0:26:43.5 C. Binder: It's kind of needed because they need to train, especially from my understanding why the urgency of appointing somebody was there's possibility in July of a case coming before the board of zoning of zoning appeals.

0:26:55.3 K. Lackey: That's correct.

0:26:56.4 TC Collins: Maybe this should have been brought up earlier time. Shouldn't be brought up and voted on the same night.

0:27:03.2 A. Cupka: Mr. Chair, would you like a motion so we can continue discussion?

0:27:06.1 Chairman: Sure, if there is one.

0:27:07.1 A. Cupka: Ms. Lackey, correct me if I'm wrong but I move to carry over funds in the amount of \$5,000 from the county attorney's current fiscal year outside council line item to be reallocated again in the amount of \$5,000 for BZA outside counsel.

0:27:32.4 C. Binder: Second.

0:27:35.3 Chairman: We have a motion properly seconded, any discussion?

0:27:40.1 TC Collins: I don't think you're following proper Robert's Rules by motion and then and accepted on the same time without having the first reading.

0:27:50.3 Chairman: Okay thank you Mr. Collins, if no other discussion all in favor.

0:27:55.0 C. Binder: Aye.

0:27:55.7 A. Cupka: Aye.

0:27:56.5 R. Granger: Aye.

0:27:57.2 Chairman: Any against?

0:28:00.3 TC Collins: Nay.

0:28:00.4 Chairman: Chair votes aye, motion passes, thank you and that's it, Ms. Lackey?

0:28:11.7 K. Lackey: I have nothing further, thank you.

0:28:12.9 Chairman: Okay, thank you, no boards commissions, we'll go onto presentations and reports, 6-13 presentations and reports smart scale project review presentation, Ms. Wilson.

0:28:34.8 Kim Wilson-Cook: Good evening Mr. Chair, members of the board, My name is Kimberly Wilson and I am the economic development specialist and I'm here tonight on behalf of economic development and tourism, thank you, so on for smart scale round five, all five of our projects screened in, I'm here tonight for us to review the projects and eliminate one of the five, before I can go onto the full application.

The first one is the 301 and route 614 multimodal improvements. This project is a shared use path along the north side of US 301 from Market Center to Owens Drive. The project will also add a sidewalk along the east side of Owens Drive from 301 to Bradford Place. Two pedestrian signals will be added at US 301/Market Center and US 301 at Owens Drive. The project will also add a crosswalk at the north side of the intersection of 301 and 614 Owens drive.

This is the 301/Port Conway/Salem Church roadway improvements, this project will add a restricted crossing U-turn at the intersection of 301 and route 607 Port Conway/625 which is Salem Church Road. This will limit crossover traffic limited to left turns only.

Route 3/Bloomsbury roadway improvements. This is for a right turn lane on eastbound, no, I'm sorry Westbound, route 3. Currently it does not meet the standard of 200 feet for taper and 200 feet for storage.

Route 3 at Birchwood Creek is turning the improvements for the left turn lane, this would also increase taper and storage from 200 feet to 250 feet.

And finally, US 301/Eden Drive roadway improvement, this is also for a restricted U-turn intersection, there would also be improvements on the southbound 301 turn lane to increase taper and storage to ease congestion when making that right hand turn on the Eden.

Of these three projects with the exception of the route 3 projects, they were all part of the 2018 Ontario preservation plan. Now I am looking to you for recommendations on how you would like to proceed with these five projects.

0:32:01.1 C. Miller: If I could, if I could add something, so with respect, we know that that each of these projects are highly important in the fact that they're still in there is a good sign, the one and I know Ms. Bender this... I don't mean to direct anything negatively towards this project but it is something that maybe circumstances have changed somewhat with the Ralph Bunche building and this 301/Eden drive roadway improvements.

It's our understanding that they wanna basically make these our cuts all the way up and down 301.

And if they do that, then it really does create a problem of down the road. If Ralph Bunche becomes, you know, what everybody wants it to be in the dream, then you have really difficulty if you're heading Southbound on 301, you gotta turn around and go back to Ralph Bunche. And so they're gonna eliminate that crosswalk. That's a little bit north of Ralph Bunche, the crossover that's gonna go away. Our thought is that we maybe begin discussions, serious discussions with VDOT to maybe really dramatically change this whole layout there and tie in Eden and Ralph Bunche so that maybe there would be a crossover there and not rely on what they want to do. And you know, and so perhaps that's something that, because Ralph Bunche is now gonna become, kind of a focal point for a lot of things. If our plans go forward, then maybe that's where we reach out and see if VDOT would want to kind of make it a bigger project than a smart scale project. If that makes any sense.

0:34:06.5 C. Binder: I have thought about that. And I know I, when they originally put out the study, when I first came on the board, I have concerns with them eliminating all of those cuts, being somebody who lives on that stretch. There's a lot of houses that would have to do, go quite a distance to make a turnaround. So if I wouldn't be opposed if we could have a serious conversation with VDOT about that particular intersection and about all the residents that live down that road, they're gonna be affected if they cut out all of those cuts. I mean, maybe there can be a way that a certain section can be reconfigured to accommodate Ralph Bunche and the citizens. And we have several businesses, including a very popular antique mall. That would be one of those people that would be affected by them eliminating all those cuts.

0:34:54.4 TC Collins: So, Ms. Wilson, what, are you asking to cut one project, is that what I'm understanding?

0:35:01.1 K. Wilson-Cook: Correct. We can only go forward with four projects.

0:35:03.8 TC Collins: Okay. So which one in ranks of motor vehicle accidents, what are the ranks, one through five, the highest amount of motor vehicle accidents?

0:35:16.2 K. Wilson-Cook: Well, the first one in the area would be the 301 area, even though that's a pedestrian project, not a...

0:35:24.3 C. Miller: You mean the one by Sheetz by Dahlgren.

0:35:27.3 TC Collins: Yes.

0:35:27.8 C. Miller: Yeah.

0:35:28.3 K. Wilson-Cook: Park Conway, Bloomsbury, Birchwood, and then Eden drive is last.

0:35:38.2 TC Collins: So are you listing them in the amount of motor vehicle accidents.

0:35:40.8 K. Wilson-Cook: From the most to the least.

0:35:42.8 TC Collins: Okay. Can you give it to me one more time?

0:35:45.7 K. Wilson-Cook: 301 at Owens(the Multimodal project), Park Conway/301/Salem Church, route 3 at Bloomsbury, route 3 at Birchwood Creek and then US 301 at Eden Drive.

0:36:03.9 TC Collins: Thank you. So I think that the best thing to do would, this is about a safety issue, that's what would be asked about is safety issue, that you would nix the Eden Drive project just from a general safety point of view.

0:36:21.6 Chairman: Ms. Cupka, anything?

0:36:27.1 A. Cupka: Yes. Mr. Chair. Thank you. So I agree with staff's recommendation about looking at a larger future project for Eden Drive. Because we do know we'd wanna do some type of economic development access to Ralph Bunche high school as well. And that was also included in the Wiley Wilson study that we saw at the last meeting. Maybe we could do a larger, smart scale project in the future, or we could fast track it and look at revenue sharing. So yeah, I agree with staff's recommendation to drop that one out. And I also agree with Mr. Collin's recommendation because as a rural locality, our weighted factors, we score more points for safety and economic development than any of the other categories in smart scale. Those are how we can maximize our points. And this is a points game. This is a numbers game. So the Bloomsbury and Birchwood Creek projects in particular have access to our industrial parks and potential economic development projects. So it, I think it's very wise to keep those in and yeah, I'm always taking out Eden Drive for now. And thank you, Mrs. Cook.

0:37:45.0 K. Wilson-Cook: Thank you.

0:37:48.5 C. Binder: Mr. Chairman? I have one more question after you're done.

0:37:52.1 Chairman: Mr. Granger?

0:37:56.2 R. Granger: I have no questions. I think the points brought by Ms. Cupka and Mr. Collins are very valid and I would agree.

0:38:04.3 Chairman: Okay. Ms. Binder?

0:38:07.2 C. Binder: I have a question on the Bloomsbury. Can we go back to Bloomsbury? I just wanted to make sure I have had several people talk to me about the site distance and especially older folks that get a little nervous about crossing over. So would that help them out?

0:38:28.0 K. Wilson-Cook: This improvement is strictly for the westbound turn lane, for that left hand turn because there is no taper and no storage there.

0:38:38.0 C. Binder: Okay.

0:38:38.1 K. Wilson-Cook: So as far as easing any kind of crossover. No, not this time.

0:38:44.0 C. Binder: Okay. I just wanted to clarify and just ask about that. Because I've heard that, from several older residents that they get a little concerned crossing across there.

0:38:51.9 K. Wilson-Cook: Understand.

0:38:52.6 C. Binder: Thank you.

0:38:53.1 Chairman: Ms. Binder, I'm sorry, but I know there's a lot of houses down there and it's a tough intersection, especially when the grass is up. But I think, you know, if they, if we do get the Ralph Bunche project up and running, they're gonna need a lot of work there as well. So I'm in agreeance with the other colleagues about dropping this one out. We're good? Okay.

0:39:15.1 C. Miller: If I could, if I could add that, that I will reach out to Mr. Beale, the resident engineer and ask him to either coordinate with Mr. Minor or with the committee, that perhaps he could at least get a briefing or get an idea. So we can get him involved early on, transportation projects take a long time, and it's probably best to get his, get on his radar screen. So if there's some bigger transportation needs that need to happen at the Ralph Bunche building and in association with the Eden Drive, then we can start bringing him involved in it. We'll do that.

0:39:56.5 Chairman: Okay. Thank you, Mrs. Miller.

0:39:58.9 A. Cupka: Mr. Chair, can I add a point here? I just wanna bring this not necessarily to Ms. Cook's attention, but Mr. Miller, I wanna make sure that our staff is aware that in addition to the projects that we as a locality submit, we have the opportunity. I know Mr. Chip Boyles is here this evening. He's our administrator for GWRC. We aren't taking advantage of all the opportunities we could be, because it's my understanding King George County did not submit any projects to GWRC. Now we can't put projects in for FAMPO because we're not, we don't pay into FAMPO we're non-voting, but we can submit projects to GWRC. And if the commission decides to select our project, they can submit it on our behalf. And neither, my understanding, from the minutes are neither King George, nor Caroline county submitted any projects to GWRC this year for funding. So maybe we need to be more proactive in the future. And maybe staff brings us more projects, and we say, okay, this is what we wanna put in ourselves as a locality on our own behalf. This is what we wanna take to GWRC and have Ms. Binder and I advocate and work with those members to try to get consensus from that commission to put in for another project for us. Because that's actually how we got the upcoming advertisement for the 206 and 218, right turn lane, actually got funded by, GWRC ended up putting it in for us. So that was one that got dropped off and then GWRC picked up and funded. So that opportunity exists. I just wanna make sure we're taking advantage of all the opportunities we have. Thank you, thank you Mr. Chair.

0:41:52.2 Chairman: Thank you, Ms. Cupka, and that's all you have for us, Ms. Cook?

0:42:02.7 K. Wilson-Cook: So we have the consensus. Okay.

0:42:06.4 Chairman: Yes.

0:42:06.7 K. Wilson-Cook: That's it.

0:42:07.5 Chairman: To drop that one off.

0:42:08.1 K. Wilson-Cook: Thank you.

0:42:08.7 Chairman: Thank you. And congratulations.

0:42:10.6 K. Wilson-Cook: Thank you.

0:42:11.0 Chairman: All right. Moving on 6-14 Department of Finance, adoption of proposed 2022/2023 operating budget. Ms. Hahn, welcome. And I'm sure you're glad to be here.

0:42:30.2 Donna Hahn: Well, good evening, Mr. Chair, members of the board, before I get to the action items tonight. I wanted to bring to your attention some matters with the school budget and Dr. Benson is here as well, and he can state from, off base here or anything, but originally when he presented the budget. There was the possibility of \$2,000,000 in construction funds from the state. And, but that was included in his total budget picture. Now that we weren't sure if it was gonna stay in or come out, but the budget bill that was submitted to the governor for approval, the construction money is in, and it's a little over \$2,000,000 for the county schools. But that funding can only be used for new construction or debt mitigation. And the only debt that we have for schools, it has to be within the past 10 years. And that is the debt service for the middle school expansion. And that debt payment is a little over \$1.2 million a year. And the funds are also on a reimbursement basis and they can be used in fiscal year '23 or fiscal year '24, if we don't use them all. Dr. Benson is going to present to the school board next Monday, the 27th, suggesting that we use \$1,000,000 for debt mitigation in fiscal year '23, and that he would cut his budget by \$1,000,000. And in this instance it would, basically would be a swap from the schools to give us the construction money to use for debt mitigation. And the county would give them \$1,000,000 additional funds in their operating budget. So it's not going any higher than what we advertised for in the public hearing. And so you can do one of two things tonight, either we can move forward with adopting the budget as it was presented, and then knowing that after the school board votes next week, and we would need to come back and amend the budget, or we could put off approving the budget until after the school board meets and have a special session next week before Thursday, or by Thursday night to approve the budget.

0:45:12.5 Chairman: Okay. Thank you. And just to make sure it's clear, it's just coming basically from one pocket to the other. It's not increasing, it's just a swap of...

0:45:21.1 D. Hahn: So it would be a swap for these two years, but after that point, the construction funding isn't, right now, I don't think that there's any proposal to continue after. We're not sure. So essentially we would get a swap for two years, but after that potentially no further money from the state for new construction or mitigation.

0:45:47.7 Chairman: Okay. Thank you.

0:45:49.7 TC Collins: So if you swapped that and then, then there would be a hole in the future budgeting, is that correct?

0:46:00.0 D. Hahn: That could be a possibility. Yes.

0:46:02.8 TC Collins: And that money was approved by the state for those two purposes. Is that correct?

0:46:07.9 D. Hahn: That's what the, that line item is for. Yes. Either new construction or debt mitigation within the past 10 years.

0:46:14.7 TC Collins: So they have projects that they could do with the \$2 million, CTE building I

would think?

0:46:20.3 D. Hahn: That would be up to the schools.

0:46:23.1 TC Collins: Schools, but there are, it wouldn't go unspent.

0:46:28.3 D. Hahn: Potentially, I guess. No.

0:46:33.7 TC Collins: I'm a little concerned about what they would do in the future years for as put a hole in the budget. It'd be an automatic \$1 million. I think that the, when Dr. Benson presented his budget he explained this \$2 million and it was a \$2 million from the state for those purposes, not to move into the operating budget and then be supplemented locally, I would caution my colleagues on that route.

0:47:05.2 D. Hahn: We've also been advised that we may just wanna go ahead and approve the budget tonight and then come back after the school has met. And then you all could have more discussion on that. Maybe have another work session maybe in the month of July to discuss how you want to handle that.

0:47:25.0 TC Collins: Alright Mr. Chairman I appreciate that recommendation, but I'm not as keen on having the schools decide what they're gonna do with the county money. So that's how that frames out for me, but I would make a motion to adopt the proposed fiscal year 2022/2023 operating budget as proposed...

0:47:53.7 A. Cupka: Second.

0:47:56.3 Chairman: We have a motion properly seconded any discussion?

0:48:02.1 C. Binder: Yes. I just wanna clarify Mr. Collins, you're making a motion on 6-14, I just...

0:48:09.2 TC Collins: Yeah for the amount of \$109,267,366.

0:48:14.2 C. Binder: Okay. And we would still have to address this, correct?

0:48:20.1 D. Hahn: Correct.

0:48:24.0 C. Miller: One of the things, if I could add that we were also advised is that the state there may be, policies or procedural aspects of all this that get kind of handed down as we go forward. And that's why I think what Ms. Hahn was saying is that you could adopt your budget tonight. And then, you know, if things dictate that you have to come back, I agree with Mr. Collins that, you know, the concern that we have is, you know, what's the future look like, and after this money is gone, is there always going to be this kind of hole? Or is there always gonna be this need for this? And so adopting the budget tonight, you get that done, and then you have the time to come back and address this if that's what you wanna do. And after the school board has taken a, you know, or had some kind of discussion, or, you know, maybe it's a joint meeting with the school board and you would you address this and everybody can kind of be on the same page. So that was our recommendation is to move forward and have your budget adopted so that it goes into effect

and there aren't any delays and anything like that.

0:49:37.7 TC Collins: So just reiterate one more time.

0:49:40.4 A. Cupka: Mr. Chair.

0:49:41.1 Chairman: Ms. Cupka.

0:49:44.2 A. Cupka: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So I would recommend moving forward with adopting the proposed budget per the motion this evening, because this, the school is a separate issue if we wanna address that later, but this affects every county department. I'm sure Mr. Boyles is here to figure out if we adopt a budget. So GWRC gets our contribution department of social services, this affects, this affects the jail. I'm on the regional jail board of directors with the sheriff, these people need answers, they need to know that they're gonna get their money and we can deal with the school issue later and that was something that I believe even Mr. Granger brought up in several meetings, that if we need to have another meeting about it later to sort that out, I'm fine with that, but we need to move forward tonight with this budget tonight. Thank you.

0:50:39.8 TC Collins: Mr. Chairman.

0:50:41.5 Chairman: Just one second, Mr. Collins, Mr. Granger, do you have anything I know it's hard to chime in.

0:50:46.5 R. Granger: It's okay, I appreciate you reaching out to me about it I will just say I agree heavily with Mr. Collins about the concern about using what is \$1 million in that situation and then providing back what could possibly be the expectation of continuous year over year dollars. So I'm in favor of moving forward with this budget now. But I'm gonna be honest with you. I'm very concerned about trying to change the color of money and fill other holes in school board budget via that method. And I would like to just put that on the record. So if the schools go forward and prepare for their adoption, that they can understand where we stand on our board on this, thank you.

0:51:33.3 Chairman: Thank you. Mr. Collins?

0:51:35.3 TC Collins: Could I call the question please?

0:51:40.3 Chairman: Alright. Are we done with discussion?

0:51:42.8 C. Binder: I just wanted to make one clarifying point. Is that I just was asking for some, just to make sure I understood it correctly so proceed on.

0:51:52.4 Chairman: Okay. We have a motion that was properly seconded and discussion's over. All in favor?

0:51:56.5 C. Binder: Aye.

0:51:57.2 TC Collins: Aye.

0:51:58.7 A. Cupka: Aye.

0:51:59.2 R. Granger: Aye.

0:52:00.7 Chairman: Chair votes aye motion passes.

0:52:04.8 D. Hahn: Okay. The next action item that I had in your packet was the resolution for the appropriation of the fiscal year 2022/2023 King George county operating budget. And the recommended action is that you adopt the resolution as presented.

0:52:32.0 Chairman: This was 6-15, right?

0:52:35.4 D. Hahn: Yes.

0:52:35.9 Chairman: Okay.

0:52:49.7 C. Binder: I'll make a motion to accept the resolution for the appropriation of the FY 2022/2023 budget.

0:52:55.6 TC Collins: Second.

0:53:00.1 Chairman: We have a motion properly seconded, any discussion? Seeing none, all in favor.

0:53:05.6 C. Binder: Aye.

0:53:06.4 TC Collins: Aye.

0:53:07.7 A. Cupka: Aye.

0:53:08.0 R. Granger: Aye.

0:53:09.4 Chairman: Chair votes aye, motion passes.

0:53:14.0 D. Hahn: And then, the third action in my head was in response to the schools and the budget that was presented to us, and we normally appropriate their... Budget by major classification, which we know after next week, we'll have to come back and make some changes there, but if their total, that request in the budget is \$55,646,992 with the instruction at \$41,829,016, Administration \$2,160,808, Transportation \$4,117,716, Operations and maintenance \$4,919,785 and Facilities \$239,251 and Technology \$2,338,516. So, at this time we ask that you go ahead and appropriate by major classification, but knowing that we will need to come back, because Dr. Benson, has stated that they will make at least \$1 million in cuts, at their meeting next week.

0:54:34.6 TC Collins: Mr. Chairman, make a motion please?

0:54:38.2 Chairman: Mr. Collins?

0:54:38.7 TC Collins: Appropriate the King George County School funds 2023 operating budget by major classifications in total of \$48,765,850.

0:54:50.6 D. Hahn: That was a typo, that was last year's...

0:54:54.0 TC Collins: \$55,600,400... Let me try that again. \$55,600,406... 46992... \$55,646,992.

0:55:05.6 D. Hahn: Yes.

0:55:12.4 C. Binder: Second.

0:55:12.9 Chairman: We have the motion properly seconded, any discussion?

0:55:18.3 A. Cupka: Mr. Chair, I don't see why we would appropriate by major classification right now, when we already have been told by our staff that they're going to need to come back to us because they're going to make about \$1 million in cuts. So, I would be more comfortable with appropriating the full \$55,646,992, just a flat amount or just not taking action on this item at all tonight. Is there any reason Ms. Hahn, is one better than the other? Viewpoint...

0:56:02.4 D. Hahn: Well, I believe that what the school board will do next week is approve the budget for you all, is that correct?

0:56:12.8 TC Collins: Mr. Chair, there is a motion on the table.

0:56:17.0 A. Cupka: I asked a question and so staff is trying to get an answer to my question, I believe, thank you.

0:56:26.8 Dr. Robert Benson: I don't know from the County's perspective as far as how it's appropriated, if it's appropriated as one amount or by categories, what implications that has quite frankly, if that... And you'd have to address that Ms. Hahn, if there's a difference between the two?

0:56:44.6 D. Hahn: And so what happens is after it's appropriated this way, when it goes in the books, it goes... They the school finance manager provides a listing of all of the different, like instruction you have, instruction classifications for each school and different items there, and so this just gives a total dollar amount and it gets further broken down after the fact, the only thing is that these numbers will change after their meeting. And so, I'm not sure and I don't know if Ms. Lackey can answer that if we have to appropriate their funding tonight or if they can still operate at the 1st of July?

0:57:28.2 TC Collins: So, Mr. Chairman.

0:57:31.7 A. Cupka: I'm sorry, I'm still trying to get an answer to my question and so now staff is deferring to legal counsel, so I'd like to hear the answer from our counsel.

0:57:45.0 K. Lackey: It seems that an appropriation of some amount would be needed for continuing operations of the school at the beginning of the new fiscal year, but it would be at the discretion of the board whether to appropriate the full amount or some lesser amount.

0:58:00.1 Dr. Benson: True. I can't clarify that we've been... I mean, since I've been here for 10 years, we've been appropriating an amount and then we've communicated to the county finance

office, how that appropriated amount should be recorded for each of those categories, which is different, I think, than making a formal motion to appropriate by categories themselves individually, that's just a different level of... Or a different methodology, I guess.

0:58:28.5 D. Hahn: And I guess, I can expand on that, the major classifications are split out by different things that are sent over, but all of this amount of money that you all set aside for instruction goes to instruction, but based on their how they send it over. And right now, we know you're gonna cut at least a million and from that budget, that's what you're proposing to the...

0:58:53.8 TC Collins: Did you get your answer yet?

0:58:55.2 Dr. Benson: I haven't spoken... I'm not speaking on behalf of the board because we've not discussed this formally, but I proposed to the school board and I think that communication was forwarded to you that this \$2 million dollar entitlement can be used in either of the next two fiscal years or in both. And so I think it's prudent that we not count on using that all in fiscal year '23 because then you're correct. You could have then a hole in fiscal year '24 potentially of \$2 million that the schools would, and undoubtedly, they would have to come to you to fill that gap. My proposal, or my suggestion to the school board, and I guess in turn to you, it seems more prudent to spread that money out over two years.

In doing so we would need, we being the school board would need to, enact cuts to make things balance presuming you adopted the budget that you had talked about. I think your initial discussions coming to an agreement on a number utilized the document that you had brought Ms. Kathleen that night and that included an amount... Or that amount included the two... Unbeknownst to us, included the \$2 million in entitlement, and that's the trick. And so what I'm simply thinking would be prudent is to simply swap again, the \$1 million each fiscal year for the debt service money you've already budgeted for the schools for the next two years. That gives two years of timing to consider whether that entitlement will continue, which it could, I'm not saying it wouldn't, but it could, depending on the general assembly or if it didn't, then it gives you a lower hill instead of a cliff to then navigate at the of fiscal year '24.

1:00:36.4 A. Cupka: Thank you very much.

1:00:37.3 TC Collins: Mr. Chairman?

1:00:38.9 Chairman: Mr. Collins.

1:00:41.7 TC Collins: So, In... Historically, in King George we've appropriated by category. I think that the law allows you to appropriate by category and that's been the historical way to do things. I don't know why there's a reason to change that. Instead of giving them a lumpsum and allowing them to move money where they want to, I think that we needed to just stay on track with what historically has worked.

1:01:18.9 R. Granger: Mr. Chair, can I ask a question?

1:01:20.4 Chairman: Mr. Granger, go right ahead.

1:01:23.9 R. Granger: Thank you. Where is that \$2 million of infrastructure money shown in this line item right here on 6-16? Is it in here at all?

1:01:34.4 D. Hahn: This is the... What he requested per line item and it's the 2 million will actually be shown on the revenue side of the house, once we have the final numbers. And this from what my understanding is, and in the way that the budget was approved that instead of being two \$2 million up front, it's a reimbursement amount that would come in as revenue.

1:02:10.2 R. Granger: Okay. It would come in as revenue?

1:02:11.9 D. Hahn: Operating budget.

1:02:13.2 R. Granger: Lemme, okay. I'm sorry, give me a moment to think this through for a second. If we go ahead tonight and adopt a \$55,646,992 budget, that's essentially saying that this board is agreeing upfront, even though we're hearing that there's a plan for the school board to meet and reduce the budget, but this board is committing to fund all that money, which really means the schools would have a total of \$57 million because there's also that \$2 million coming from the infrastructure, that's gonna come in at some point. I'm gonna be honest with you, and I know this is late in the game and trying to figure this out. As I said before, I'm not in favor of trying to change the color of the money. I think at this point, what we're really talking about is we would approve a \$53,646,992 budget for the schools because that \$2 million dollar is gonna come in from somewhere, and then that's part of what that original budget was with that we were thinking. But I think if we go forward with this one, this gives the school board maybe the wrong impression that there's gonna be more money than would actually be available there. Does that make sense what I'm concerned about?

1:03:25.5 Chairman: All right. Thank you, Mr. Granger. I am not comfortable moving forward on this. I just think there's... I need to look into some more numbers and this new information that you've given us about the school board cuts and things like that. I agree with Mr. Granger. To me in my mind, might not be correct, but it seems like if we go ahead with that, we're actually will be getting more than this total here. So I am not in favor of moving forward in this one.

1:04:07.2 TC Collins: The motion is to approve it by category, if you approve it by category, you would be saying that this is the categories where that \$55 million needs to be spent including the \$2 million. So it wouldn't be...

1:04:24.3 R. Granger: That \$2 million is not in here. Ms. Hahn said that was a reimbursement. And so that... Ms. Hahn, please correct me if I'm mistaken. That \$2 million not captured in these set of line items in any way whatsoever.

1:04:40.8 D. Hahn: Captured on the revenue side, Mr. Granger, but it is also included on the operating side because they were going on a total dollar amount from the state. Based on what was in that, that Ms. Cupka had pulled up at the last meeting after the general assembly met...

1:05:02.4 Dr. Benson: But the debt services, what's the fund code for debt service? 251?

1:05:08.9 D. Hahn: So the debt service currently is for on the county side. And it is, fund 303 I believe or 400.

1:05:19.8 Dr. Benson: There is a debt... Or there's a category in the school's budget, I don't know if

it's 250, but it's kind of an in and out. In other words, it's an appropriation the county has made into fund 250, I can look if you want. And then they pull it right back out to make debt service payment, and it's separate. It's not from 250. I can look that up.

1:06:00.1 TC Collins: Mr. Chairman, why are you looking that up? So if there's that much questions, maybe we can call the question, let it die and then revisit it. 'Cause there's a motion. There's a second. So we could call the question and I haven't made a motion to call the question, but we could do that and let it die. And then you could have more time to get further questions answered and clear some of this stuff up.

1:06:39.5 Chairman: Or just table it until the July meeting.

1:06:41.4 TC Collins: Call the question...

1:06:43.2 D. Hahn: Like he was saying, we need to appropriate something so that they can continue operations on July 1st.

1:06:51.6 TC Collins: I'd like to make a motion to call the question for the motion as presented, and properly second. The money they need to operate...

1:07:16.6 Chairman: Any discussion on Ms. Hahn.

1:07:18.0 D. Hahn: So, I'd say, I'm not sure if they wanna do that or if they wanna do the whole \$2 million less. He's suggesting that you adopt an operating budget for \$1.2 million less than what is on the board report. But I don't think they've finished discussions about the other \$1 million and the swap kind of thing.

1:07:45.8 Dr. Benson: I was just trying to... I'm just trying to simplify your numbers is that there's \$2.4 in this entitlement school construction over the two years. So if half of that is \$1.2, if you simply adopt sort for tonight, if you come back in July and adjust and so be it, but if you adopt an amount, you know, the figure that you were, your motion is based on that's \$1.2 less than that amount, then that at least gets you on the board, gets us on the board and then you can come back in July and fine tune it if you need to, but that's not appropriating. I think what Mr. Granger was saying, that that's not appropriate the money that, that...Anyway, then the math comes down to, if you did adopt a number that was \$1.2 million, that's half of the school construction entitlement that you're removing from the schools, which would then prompt schools to do what we plan to do. And that is to make cuts next week, to make things balanced with the number that we approve that only utilizes them presumably half of the school construction money and take the other half into another year.

1:09:00.8 TC Collins: Mr. Chair, so the motion is, and the question is called. But just to make some clarification is the \$55 million, \$55,646,992 by appropriation is the motion. And the email that I saw today was, there was an interest in changing into that part of the money into operating. So I'd still like to call the question and then Robert's rules does say, when the question is requested to call, it will be called. No more discussions.

1:09:37.5 R. Granger: The question has to be seconded, and then there has to be a vote on the question to begin with in order to move forward. I have not heard a second upon the question.

1:09:50.8 TC Collins: The question. There was a second on the question.

1:09:52.8 R. Granger: No one, I have not heard... Who seconded your question?

1:10:00.4 Chairman: Mr. Binder.

1:10:02.6 A. Cupka: No, it was a second on the motion, it was not a second on the question.

1:10:04.7 TC Collins: You don't have to second the question.

1:10:06.2 R. Granger: You do, that's part of the Robert's rules to my understanding. The question must be seconded, and then have the majority vote of the board in order to move forward and end the discussion.

1:10:18.0 K. Lackey: Mr. Granger summary is correct it would have to be... The motion to call the question would have to be seconded and then carried by majority.

1:10:31.6 C. Miller: If I may, whatever you do on this particular question, I do think it merits. If this question, this motion dies, then perhaps the next motion could be that you have set up a special meeting next week. We wanted to get this done, but I think that we need to know what they have done or what they're proposing to do, and then work through this so that we give you clear information, 'cause I think it's... I mean, this is sausage making in public. And I think that we need to have some discussions in a work session format and in a regular meeting where you have the numbers finalized from the school board and Ms. Han and staff work through it, so that you have... So that you feel comfortable 'cause I'm seeing some doubts and some concerns. Mr Collins has got some very good points about the future and you know, what does it mean? And Mr. Granger. And so if we've got a concern, I don't know that you wanna necessarily commit to it now. And if you've got to have something done before the 1st of July, I would recommend that you come back in a fashion next week. I know that's hard to ask you all to do that again, but get it done before the 30th of June.

1:12:03.0 Chairman: I would be much more comfortable than that. I'd have to look at this and further digest it and look at it. So do we just let this die and come back later or?

1:12:17.7 R. Granger: I move to table in this discussion or this item until our work session next week.

1:12:26.7 Chairman: Do we need to call for a vote to kill that, Ms. Lackey?

1:12:29.9 TC Collins: Need to call for this vote first.

1:12:32.9 A. Cupka: A motion.

1:12:33.9 K. Lackey: I'm sorry. Was that a motion, Mr. Granger? I didn't quite catch if that was a motion or a suggestion.

1:12:40.7 TC Collins: Not a superior.

1:12:41.4 R. Granger: I was making a motion to table this discussion or, well, I'm sorry, can I motion that we table the vote or do we have to let the vote die? I should ask. I'm actually mistaken.

1:12:51.6 Chairman: But we do have a motion now that's out properly seconded that we either need to vote yay or nay on to get rid of that I think, okay.

1:13:01.6 TC Collins: Exactly. Mine's a superior motion to his motion.

1:13:06.8 R. Granger: Okay.

1:13:08.7 Chairman: Okay. Well, so we have a motion, properly seconded, no more discussion. All in favor of that motion.

1:13:24.6 TC Collins: Aye.

1:13:26.1 Chairman: Anyone against?

1:13:27.3 C. Binder: Nay.

1:13:28.1 A. Cupka: Nay.

1:13:29.0 R. Granger: Nay.

1:13:29.8 Chairman: Chair votes nay. That motion does not pass. So Mr. Miller, you're saying that we wanna get a meeting next week to go over this?

1:13:46.5 C. Miller: That is if that's the direction of the board. I think my concern was is that we were having a lot of money thrown around and I thought that there was a pretty good level of discomfort that you all had about agreeing to do anything. I think you have time. And I think that if the school board is planning to do some things, according to what Dr. Benson is proposing, I think that you need to have that information in front of you, have staff look at it and then give you a clear number of what these categories would be so that you can come back and do what Mr. Collins wanted to do, which was appropriate according to the classifications. And you can still do all that. You just would have a better sense of what we're actually doing, because I think that the proposal kind of makes it a little confusing. And I think that we need to have a good, clear grasp on those numbers.

1:14:49.9 TC Collins: Mr. Chair.

1:14:51.1 Chairman: Mr. Collins?

1:14:52.4 TC Collins: So this late in the game, the schools have been pushing for a budget for us to adopt a budget since they first adopted their budget. And now, we're eight days prior to the appropriations. I think it's a little late to be having an end game run on this. So have a meeting if you wish, but I don't think it's proper have this end game run on this thing.

1:15:31.3 C. Miller: Even with all this new information and numbers that they're throwing out?

1:15:34.5 TC Collins: No, they came with a need request budget and they knew what they're gonna... What the state was proposing for the \$2 million. They provided a budget that we voted on for our match. And we approved that amount. And now, we're at the appropriations of this, and now, they want to change the game. I just... I got a lot of heartburn with that. And I would think that maybe that you all would have some heartburn with that too, to have an eight day change, eight days to call this over and make changes when they want to change it to... They want to change a million dollars to operating, which we don't even have any idea what that means. So I strongly disagree with this whole process.

1:16:28.0 R. Granger: Mr. Chair, may I speak?

1:16:31.9 Chairman: Go ahead, Mr. Granger.

1:16:36.0 R. Granger: Thank you. I appreciate it. I think if we try to move forward with this right now with the \$55,646,992, that would end up putting us in a lot more danger because it almost... That's what we're saying, yes, we're gonna go ahead and move forward with that exchange of money from one-time funds to operating funds. That's what the need for the meeting is, at least my understanding is to figure out what do we need to end up adopting. And I think it's going to be less than \$55,646,992 at the end of the day. And I think that's why we need to meet. 'Cause if we don't and we adopt this budget as presented, this board is essentially getting on board with saying, yes, all those monies will be there. And I don't think that's the case based off the situation with the infrastructure that was coming and how that works.

1:17:27.7 Chairman: Thank you, Mr. Granger.

1:17:28.9 A. Cupka: Mr. Chair.

1:17:29.4 Chairman: Ms. Cupka?

1:17:30.8 A. Cupka: I know Ms. Binder and I have a conflict on Monday coming up. We have a GWRC meeting, but I'm available. I believe the rest of the week if you need to schedule a meeting, a follow up meeting. And I think it would be prudent to wait until after Monday, because Monday is when the school board is going to meet and make their cuts. Thank you.

1:17:55.5 C. Binder: I have a conflict on the 28th, depending on what time it is, because I will be at that meeting... First meeting so.

1:18:11.2 R. Granger: Will the school be able to adopt their budget if we haven't already provided the appropriation?

1:18:19.9 D. Hahn: Yes. I believe the school adopted their budget before it was presented to us. And now, they're going back to the table to make some changes and then adopt a new budget.

1:18:33.6 R. Granger: But what if we are even less than what they are saying? What if we don't agree to this \$1.2 million middle ground that Dr. Benson is proposing, and we say, "Hey, we're not gonna change any of that infrastructure dollars to operating dollars and the schools have to find the \$2.4 million all this year." How would that affect the schools?

1:18:58.5 D. Hahn: I believe they would have to go back and redo their numbers and make those cuts.

1:19:05.4 R. Granger: Before the 1st? Before the 1st of July?

1:19:15.7 D. Hahn: I'm not sure... I think as long as we give them a budget with the appropriations that the... Through the county that they can move forward, but I'm not the attorney, so...

1:19:30.2 R. Granger: Ms. Lackey, you know I would like to make sure we do not put our county in a bad posture? I know Mr. Miller's suggestions are very good, but I don't wanna put us in a bad situation.

1:19:44.5 K. Lackey: They can't obligate funds unless they're appropriated. So whatever funds are appropriated are the only funds they can actually expend.

1:19:56.7 R. Granger: They won't have any appropriated... Well, no they will. But they won't have a... So even if their budget doesn't align up with our adoption, that's okay? They could start spending that dollars as long it's less than what our totals are.

1:20:13.7 K. Lackey: They can't spend until we appropriate. But similar to how Ms. Hahn mentioned, they have adopted a budget, even though it hasn't been appropriated for planning purposes, they can adopt their budget prior to appropriation. They just can't expend...

1:20:29.2 R. Granger: My point is though that their... My concern is their budget might still be out of the line with what our adoption is. So they adopt a budget on Monday, and then let's say on Wednesday, we get together and we're like, it's pretty even less than that. Do they need to come back and adopt the budget before the new fiscal year, or just the fact that we've appropriated the funds and they have a budget? Okay. You know, they're not 100% aligned.

1:20:53.9 C. Miller: I would think... Mr. Granger, I would think that if you adopted and appropriated a budget, then that's the budget that they'd get. They could begin the fiscal year with that. And if there was some need to come back and amend it, to fix whatever possible shortfall that may exist, or like you said, the fact that they're not aligned, you could do that at a subsequent meeting after you've started the fiscal year. Would you agree, Kelly?

1:21:25.6 K. Lackey: Yes. I think you both are getting at the same point, which is even if they adopt a budget, if there's an appropriation where the classifications don't meet what their adopted budget is or the amount doesn't meet what their adopted budget is, they'll have to make changes before they expend funds that exceed those appropriated amounts.

1:21:46.7 R. Granger: Okay. I apologize for belaboring the point, but I appreciate the clarification. Thank you.

1:21:54.1 Chairman: All right. So we would need to get together next week for a meeting?

1:22:03.8 C. Miller: That would be my recommendation.

1:22:07.6 Chairman: And you all cannot do Monday. That's the 27th?

1:22:14.6 C. Miller: I do think that as Ms. Cupka indicated, that's the night that they're gonna meet, and I think it would be prudent to have their information for you to consider.

1:22:25.0 Chairman: Right.

1:22:25.4 C. Miller: So maybe the 28th or I know...

1:22:27.9 Chairman: The 28th or 29th?

1:22:29.7 C. Miller: Yes.

1:22:32.1 TC Collins: Not the 30th. Wednesday's Bible study starts. I've been trying to get to Bible study for 6 months.

1:22:44.7 Chairman: 28th, is there a meeting here? Can we do it either at the firehouse or the Sheriff's office?

1:22:57.7 TC Collins: Ms. Binder and Ms. Cupka can't make the 28th. Is that correct?

1:23:02.1 Chairman: 27th.

1:23:02.4 C. Binder: 28th. It depends on the time.

1:23:05.3 Chairman: 6 o'clock?

1:23:06.7 C. Binder: 6 o'clock, I'm coming back from Richmond. So if I'm a little late, that's cool.

1:23:14.7 Chairman: 6 o'clock, Tuesday, King George Sheriff's office. How's that?

1:23:27.3 D. Hahn: Can we share?

1:23:28.0 C. Miller: I don't know that there was a proposal on the table to do a joint meeting. I thought that it was just a meeting of the board of supervisors.

1:23:42.2 Chairman: On Tuesday? Okay.

1:23:47.6 TC Collins: So how about the 30th?

1:23:49.6 C. Miller: What about during the day on the 29th?

1:23:51.4 TC Collins: I'm a meeting man, but I don't know about these guys.

1:23:56.3 C. Miller: Lunch meeting on the 29th?

1:24:00.7 Chairman: Yeah. How about Thursday, the 30th 6 o'clock here in the boardroom?

1:24:09.0 C. Binder: I can do that.

1:24:10.2 Chairman: Good. Good with that, Mr. Granger?

1:24:12.3 R. Granger: Yeah. You said? I'm sorry, Tuesday at 6 o'clock you said?

1:24:18.1 Chairman: Thursday, the 30th at 6:00 PM.

1:24:21.6 R. Granger: I'm sorry. Yeah, Thursday 6:00 PM, I can make that work. Yes.

1:24:27.9 Chairman: For work session. Okay. Just put that in the books then, please?

1:24:30.9 C. Miller: Yes.

1:24:31.0 Chairman: Thank you. Is that okay with you, Mr. Dines? Okay, thank you. Okay. Let's see. Major classification. All right. So that's 6-16. We did that. So I guess we're moving on to 6-17 accept for and an appropriate donation for relocation of a Confederate monument.

1:24:55.5 D. Hahn: Yes. So we have received another anonymous donation to fund the Confederate monument. As you recall, we... At a previous meeting that we had approved the contract and appropriated the funds from the tourism fund balance. And so this recommendation is to accept that \$5,000 donation and to appropriate the donation back to the tourism fund.

1:25:37.6 C. Binder: Mr. Chair. I just want clarification, this is an extra \$5,000?

1:25:39.8 D. Hahn: That's correct.

1:25:40.7 C. Binder: Okay. Thank you.

1:25:41.4 D. Hahn: At the last meeting, we had \$5,000 and we have \$5,000 at this...

1:25:44.2 C. Binder: I just wanted to make sure I understood that properly. Thank you.

1:25:46.7 D. Hahn: Yes, ma'am.

1:25:50.0 A. Cupka: I'll move to accept and appropriate donated funds from anonymous donor in the amount of \$5,000 for the purpose of reimbursing the tourism fund, ma'am, for the relocation of the Confederate monument.

1:26:08.9 C. Binder: Second.

1:26:09.4 Chairman: We have a motion, properly seconded, any discussion? Seeing none. All in favor.

1:26:13.7 C. Binder: Aye.

1:26:14.1 TC Collins: Aye.

1:26:15.5 A. Cupka: Aye.

1:26:16.9 R. Granger: Aye.

1:26:17.3 Chairman: Chair votes aye. Motion passes.

1:26:19.8 D. Hahn: Thank you.

1:26:20.4 Chairman: Thank you. I see no discussion items. County administrator's report. Mr. Miller.

1:26:37.6 C. Miller: Okay. The first item on here, I would have a recommendation that was submitted by Ms. Ann Bueche, who is outgoing on the CPMT. And she's recommending that you appoint Mrs. Jacqueline Kobuchi who's... As the Chair of the CPMT, and then also Dalyn Pettit to serve as the Vice Chair. So I would probably need a motion to allow this to happen. As you know, Ms. Bueche is no longer gonna be on that committee.

1:27:20.4 A. Cupka: I move to appoint Mrs. Jacqueline Kobuchi as Chairperson of this King George CPMT. The comprehensive policy and management team. And I move to appoint Ms. Dalyn Pettit to serve as the Vice Chairperson of the CPMT, the Comprehensive Policy and Management Team.

1:27:46.6 Chairman: We have a motion, properly seconded, any discussion, seeing none, all in favor?

1:27:51.7 C. Binder: Aye.

1:27:52.6 TC Collins: Aye.

1:27:53.1 A. Cupka: Aye.

1:27:54.5 R. Granger: Aye.

1:27:55.9 Chairman: Chair votes aye, motion passes. Thank you, Mr. Miller.

1:28:01.2 C. Miller: The only other, there's a couple other items. One is just... And I think we've already kind of exhausted the discussion about the zoning appeals, but Ms. Hall had just asked that I remind you that if you have anybody, I think we have an at-large member and then the James Monroe representative to that board. They do have enough people right now, but it is one of those situations where if you don't have a quorum, then some pretty important decisions can't be made. So if you have anybody, please contact Ms. Hahn, excuse me, I mean Ms. Hall. And she can let you know of the parameters, 'cause there are some parameters with the BZA member. So very important board though. Second industrial park. We are engaging the services of STI to do the site development and all the process involved in developing a conceptual plan. And so we've secured them for that. Just wanted to let you know that, and so that process is gonna work. We'll start sitting down with VDOT and in terms of access and whatever improvements would be necessary there as well. Next item on there is employee anniversary and new hires. Chris, is there a next page?

1:29:34.9 C. Miller: So there you have it. I'm not gonna necessarily go through all of those folks. You have the service authority on there. You have a bunch of new hires, which I think is always nice. So we have Alexis Butchers who is community development. She is the replacement for Ben Frith, if you remember Ben, he left to go down to Roanoke. We have in fire rescue. We have Tyler Reedall, in the Sheriff's office, Steven Kellum and Johnna, or is it Johanna? Johnna Sylvester. I can't read. And then in the library, Sarah Conde, Briesa Koch, and we have Matthew Posner in the service authority, and then the parks and recreation, we have three employees, Rasheed Barnes, four employees, Kelsey Simpson, Luke Marshall and William Frazier. And then we have some transfers in the landfill and in the treasurer's department. I also would be remiss if, and you all have been informed, Ms. Amanda Sumiel is moving on, July 8th is her last day and we're starting the process of her replacement. She's going to work for Chesapeake Bank. I think as they say in the godfather, they made her an offer that she couldn't refuse. And so we'll miss Amanda, but there's, we still have her here and she's gonna help with the transition and we'll... Our team will be able to handle those things. The existing HR staff, finance staff, myself, will be able to take care of things, but we'll be letting you know that there will be a reception I think is the plan like the sixth or so of July. And we'll make sure that you all get notice of that and you can come by if you would like.

1:31:37.1 Chairman: Thank you.

1:31:40.1 C. Miller: That's it.

1:31:42.6 Chairman: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Miller. Ms. Binder.

1:31:46.8 Speaker 7: All right. I move that the King George County Board of Supervisors convene in closed meeting to discuss negotiations concerning the construction phase for the courthouse pursuant to VA code sections 2.2 3711-A6 and 29. These provisions permit discussion concerning the potential investment of public funds and contract award decisions where discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiation strategy of the public body. Discussion of the proposed contract terms and scope are also authorized pursuant to VA code section 2.2 3711-A8 for consultation with legal counsel regarding specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice. I invite the county administrator, county attorney, county engineer, clerk of the court, and engineering consultant because they are deemed necessary and or their presence will reasonably aid the board in its consideration of the topics to be discussed, pursuant to Virginia code section 2.2 3712F.

1:32:50.8 A. Cupka: Second.

1:32:52.6 Chairman: We have a motion properly seconded. Any discussion? Seeing none, all in favor?

1:33:00.6 C. Binder: Aye.

1:33:01.3 TC Collins: Aye.

1:33:02.3 A. Cupka: Aye.

1:33:03.9 Chairman: Mr. Granger?

1:33:08.5 R. Granger: Aye.

1:33:09.8 Chairman: Thank you. Chair votes aye. We're in close session.

[Pause]

1:33:10.5 C. Binder: I move that the King George County Board of Supervisors return to public meeting and certify by vote that only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law, and only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed or considered during the closed meeting.

1:33:36.4 A. Cupka: Second.

1:33:38.4 Chairman: And we have a motion properly seconded. Any Discussion? Seeing none. Can we have a certify by vote, please.

1:33:45.7 C. Binder: So certify.

1:33:46.3 A. Cupka: So certify.

1:33:47.8 TC Collins: So certify.

1:33:48.5 R. Granger: So certify.

1:33:50.4 Chairman: Chair so certifies. We are in open session. So do we have a motion?

1:33:55.3 A. Cupka: I move to adjourn until Thursday, June 30th, at 6:00 PM in the boardroom.

1:34:01.6 C. Binder: Second.

1:34:03.5 Chairman: We have a motion properly seconded. Any discussion? Seeing none, all in favor?

1:34:10.5 C. Binder: Aye.

1:34:11.3 TC Collins: Aye.

1:34:12.6 A. Cupka: Aye.

1:34:13.4 R. Granger: Aye.

1:34:15.8 Chairman: Chair votes aye. We are adjourned.

Beginning Balance		30,628,627.24
Ending balance		28,333,451.39
Total Credits		
Regular Deposits	1,214,359.57	
Tsys Credit Card Deposits	29,389.88	
Cova EDI	3,775,720.51	
Parks & Rec Revenue	44,990.00	
Amb Fees	87,813.40	
SML	5,881.80	
Incoming Wires		
End of Month Bank Charges	2,161.87	
ACH Returns	\$146.17	
TACS	\$12,849.57	
Misc Revenue	\$57,988.34	
Retck Payments	\$330.57	
Munibilling UT Deposits	\$371,198.02	
Web Credit Card Deposits	19,573.30	
7842 Transfers	\$9,536,213.26	
Prev Mo In Trans	\$82,104.14	
Total		\$15,240,720.40
Total Debits		
Charge backs	(\$4,321.06)	
BankCard Fees	(\$1,028.95)	
Treasurer State Payments ES SI STSH	(\$83,778.13)	
ICMA	(\$70,034.76)	
VRS Transfers	(\$387,219.33)	
TSYS Fees	(\$2,548.44)	
Direct Deposit	(\$2,870,932.14)	
VA Tax Pymt	(\$172,393.15)	
IRS Tax Pymt	(\$937,115.18)	
Child Support	(\$1,447.60)	
AXA Payments	(\$7,272.00)	
Wire Transfers Out		
Visa Payment	(\$5,574.44)	
Bank Charges	(723.98)	
7842 Transfers	(\$9,536,213.26)	
Previous Months In Transit	(\$730,527.06)	
Posted Next Month		
Cleared Checks	(2,724,766.77)	
Unidentified items		
Total		(17,535,896.25)
Ending Balance		
	Total Credits/Debits	(\$2,295,175.85)