

VIRGINIA:

At a regular meeting of the King George County Board of Supervisors, held on Tuesday, the 13th day of July, 2021 at 6:30 p.m. in the Revercomb Building Board Room at 10459 Courthouse Drive, King George, Virginia:

PRESENT: Jeff Stonehill, Vice-Chairman
Cathy Binder, Member
Jeff Bueche, Member
Richard Granger, Member
Christopher Miller, County Administrator
Matt Britton, County Attorney

ABSENT: Annie Cupka, Chairman

0:00:11.7 Jeff Stonehill: I hereby call this regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors, King George, Virginia, Tuesday, July 13th, 2021. Just to let everybody know, we do have a quorum here. Chairwoman Cupka will be attending virtually or by phone, so she will be there. Chair Cupka, are you there?

0:00:39.9 Chris Dines: Not yet.

0:00:42.1 J. Stonehill: Okay. Before we get going on the meeting right now, what I would like to do is introduce our new county administrator, Mr. Chris Miller seated right here. Mr. Miller just started yesterday, I guess. Welcome. Thank you. Mr. Miller brings many years of local and regional government experience to the county. We're really looking forward to working with him. Thank you. Welcome. Mr. Miller, are there any amendments to the agenda?

0:01:13 Chris Miller: No Amendments.

0:01:25.3 J. Stonehill: Okay. So, we'll start with public comment. Comments will be limited to three minutes per person in order to afford everyone an opportunity to speak. If comments relate to a specific public hearing item, we ask that you offer those comments at the time of the public hearing. We do have a list of folks right here that have signed up. First on the list is Marian Willinski. And Mr. Dines, if you can get the shot clock up.

0:02:07.1 Marian Willinski: Thank you. Am I ready?

0:02:14.6 J. Stonehill: Just one minute, ma'am. Anybody speaking tonight, please state your name and address. Three minutes. We'll start after that.

0:02:36.7 M. Willinski: Okay, I'm Marian Willinski. I live on... I live at 10530 Tinsbloom Mill Lane. I live at Project Faith. I live with three women who... One of my roommates has cancer. We've had numerous problems with the phone, the Internet, and the TV that was promised to us when we were signing the lease the first time, and said that was included with our lease, with our rent, and that was included with the electricity also. Numerous times it's been turned off. For the first six months that we lived there, we had no cable, no phone, no internet, nothing whatsoever. I complained about it. I've complained and nothing was said or done about that, and I was told, "Well, what am I supposed to do about it?" from the project manager over at Project Faith. I'm also concerned about myself and my two roommates, we had... This is supposed to be low-income housing and our rent's gonna be going up 5% until we reach to the point of \$896. Now, I can't afford that, and neither can my other two roommates. The three of us live together, we've been living together for four years, and I've been trying to find someone to help us to resolve these issues with Project Faith, and all I get is get the runaround. And I think that something needs to be done, or I need to get some answers, where I can go and find the answers, where we can afford this housing, because the three of us don't wanna be out on the street anymore. We've been there once before and we plan on not doing that again. I would like to thank you all for the opportunity to speak on my behalf, of myself and my three roommates. Okay, thank you.

0:04:56.6 J. Stonehill: Thank you, ma'am. Next we have, I believe it's Barbara Long.

0:05:10.9 Barbara Long: Good evening. My name is Barbara Long. I live at 10530 Tinsbloom Mill Lane, Project Faith. Our rent is gonna go up where we can't afford it. We don't get the maintenance that we need. We don't get the things that we need taken care of, even when we ask multiple times. I have two people that are in wheelchairs. And I myself have the cane. We need to have somebody stand up for us, 'cause we can't. We got a voice, but we're trying to bring it to you all. We are... We can't... Like she said, we can't live out on the street. We've been there before. We don't wanna do it again. Thank you.

0:06:01.9 J. Stonehill: Thank you, ma'am. Next we have Mary Wright.

0:06:18.4 Mary Wright: Hello. My name is Mary Wright. I also live at 10530 Tinsbloom Mill Lane in King George here. I would like to say, when I first moved in, I was told that certain things were going to be available: the internet, the telephone, so on and so forth. I've got cancer and I've told the people there that run the place to, "Please make sure the telephone stays on. That's my only needs." I don't have the internet, I don't have a cell phone, I'm old school. And it's affecting all of us. The whole neighborhood is becoming an uproar because of the 5% interest rate for the rent. When I moved in there, I was told two different times that I would not be charged the increase on rent, and both times that I was told that, I got charged for an increase on rent. It just is a very ill-run place. When I moved in there, the place had not even been painted since the first tenants were there. And we're set up for disability, and a lot of the things that disabled people use, like bars in your restrooms or bars on the wall, those were destroyed and nothing's being done. We've asked for our refrigerator to be fixed, a brand new refrigerator for three weeks now and still can't get an answer trustworthy enough to say, "Well, it'll be fixed." Other than that, it could be a very nice

neighborhood, but people just don't care in the management department. They let the trash accrue throughout the whole area. Grass, when it's cut, is cut halfway, not all the way like it should be. And this is stuff that we're supposed to be paying for. I think 5% interest every year is gonna put me under. I live off SSI, and I only get a certain amount and I'm left with \$120 for the month after paying for everything that we're not receiving. So, it's scary 'cause I have lived out on the street and I really don't want to end up going back. So, I was wondering, maybe we could find different avenues for housing and things like that. I and my two roommates have been looking into things. My three minutes are up?

0:09:37.4 J. Stonehill: Okay, ma'am, that's... Yes, that's your three minutes. Thank you for coming up. Next we have Terry Davis.

0:09:57.5 Terry Davis: Good afternoon. My name is Terry Davis. I stay at 10345 Vintage Way. The 5% rent they have going up on, I can't afford. I'm on disability and it's just all... And I'm on Medicare. And the maintenance around there, they don't do anything they're supposed to do around there. You call, you call, they'll say they'll get to things and nothing's getting done. I need filters in my apartment, they haven't come and changed those. It's just hard. And that's it. Thank you.

0:10:38.7 J. Stonehill: Thank you, ma'am. Next we have Lissi Hartburg.

0:10:56.5 Lissi Hartburg: Good evening. My name is Lissi Hartburg. I am a past president of King George County AARP Chapter 3195. I also live over here in what is now Project Faith. We received notices that our rent will be raised every year 5%, starting July 1st. Now, this notice didn't come out until June 22nd. I think that's a very short notice for many people who are being reinstated. Many people have lived here all their life, many have been born here, many people are senior citizens here, and I think this is a very outrageous system, and I think it needs to be looked into, and I believe it needs to be investigated. And I thank you for listening.

0:11:49.9 J. Stonehill: Thank you, ma'am. Next we have Mary Grant.

0:12:04.9 Mary Grant: Good afternoon. My name is Mary Grant. I think also where I'm living at, I don't have no problem where I'm living at, it's a beautiful place to live at, but literally 5%, I'm on a fixed income, on disability, social security, and I literally can't afford 5%. Like I said, it's a beautiful place to live at. I get along with everybody over there, the manager, everything. It's just that my personal life, my finances, I literally can't afford it, I really can't. I truly can't. And only God is keeping me for the last two years to be over there, proprietary to my income, and by the time I pay rent and other things as well, I barely got \$10, \$15 left over. So, that's my thing. Thank you.

0:13:07.9 J. Stonehill: Thank you, ma'am. Next up we have Robert Ashton.

0:13:27.4 Robert Ashton: Yeah, once again, I say good evening to you board members. I'm here to see where we are at with removal of the Confederate monument standing in the Courthouse of Justice. I have come before the board for several months now, and I have explained to all of you why the monument should be removed. I just hope there is action coming soon. At your last meeting, one of the board members stated that in his opinion, all the monuments and items on the grounds of the courthouse should be removed. I agree with you because of the separation of people that is displaying. One, the Confederate monument is a symbol of racism. When clearly, it's worded and stated on the inscription to the officers and soldiers of the Confederate Army from King George

who served in the South, who gave their lives for the South, excuse me, we all know in that era what the South wanted to do. There's no wording in today's society that can say otherwise. It represented oppression of people of color. I've heard from those opposing views come in here and they just don't make common sense of why we should keep the statue in place. How can someone claim ancestry to people who did not have a voice? The other is... The other statue is called a tablet. Its inscription says, "This tablet is erected in memory of men who served in World War from King George County." Up the top, it is naming all white men who served. At the bottom, it names all the colored. Though my great uncle name is inscribed on that tablet, it is not something that I'm proud of because even back then, this nation was divided when we are the United States of America. Can you figure that one out? Don't get me wrong, I am proud of my uncle and his service to this country as a United States of America, but was this country true in values to him and all people of color who fought in the war? So yeah, I agree with you. Those statues, they separate us, and I believe that they should be removed, all of them. They're there... I know some people might say that they're there as a fixture to remind you of something. But what you wanna be reminded of? We're here... Hold on. Thanks for allowing me to speak. Once again, I agree with you.

0:16:38.1 J. Stonehill: Thank you, Mr. Ashton. Next we have Don Shelton.

0:16:51.8 Don Shelton: Don Shelton, 9430 Aspen Court. First of all, all those who did the hard work for Saturday night's event, it was fantastic. It was one of the best fireworks I've ever seen in my life. Thank you for all who've done it. In regards to both monuments, these monuments was built, paid for to honor the citizens of King George County who had died, and that's its whole purpose, is to honor the people of this county, and if you look at it clearly, half of the population of King George is descended from all of them. I told Mr. Ashton one time, I said, "When those boys and girls... Those boys on that World War I monument, when they was over there and you're fighting side by side, it didn't make a damn if they're black or white. They're fighting for our country and to save their own lives." So, that's just my little thoughts about the monument. The sole purpose was not to honor slavery, not to honor Confederate states. The war was over. Slavery had been ended. We was back in the United States where Virginia was. And all those boys over there that died, they had no choice. When Virginia voted to secede in King George, they had no vote in that, but the laws of the land, they had to go to fight what they want to. You think my great-great-grandfather whose mother was black and father was Cherokee Indian, and they was slaves in West Virginia, went and fought for slavery? No, he fought for the people in the land of this country. Again, thank you for all the work you do. Thank you.

0:18:35.5 J. Stonehill: Thank you, Mr. Shelton. Next we have Anna Maria Lovell.

[pause]

0:18:48.9 Anna Maria Lovell: Hi, my name is Anna Maria Lovell, I live at Presidential Lakes. First, I want to welcome the new county administrator, Mr. Miller, and I wanna thank you to Mr. Quesenberry for all the work he has done for the county of King George. Thank you. But he knows that the county needs help. He did an excellent job. Also, I wanna thank you Mr. Matt Britton for an excellent job he's doing. I want to thank the board of supervisors for the job they are doing. I know you make a lot of sacrifice with your families to do this job. I also want to thank you, the sheriff, Mr. Chris Giles and his deputies. They are doing an amazing job, and I know that it's not easy to do this job at this time. Thank you all of you.

0:19:48.8 J. Stonehill: Thank you, ma'am. And last I have is Bob Baird.

0:20:00.1 Bob Baird: Good evening, Ms. Chairman, members of the board. My name is Bob Baird, I reside at 15316 Kings Highway. I'm currently Vice President of the King George Historical Society and Chairman of the County Tourism Advisory Committee. Tonight I'd like to address several things. First I'd like to welcome Mr. Miller to the county. His expertise in regional planning and transportation will be a major asset to the board in this county as we move into the future. Secondly, I'd like to congratulate Parks and Rec and all the members of the King George community that were responsible for the successful Independence Day celebration this last weekend. It was fantastic. The weather cooperated, the music and fireworks were first rate. Unfortunately, due to a lack of advanced public relations, a lot of people weren't aware of the event and attendance was lower than it should have been. Building on that, I'd like Mr. Miller and the board to consider in the future establishing some type of centralized public relations function to get the word out on county activities and events. From a county administration, public service and tourism perspective, we need to do a better job of getting our messages out to the media. In another issue, the traffic on Route 3 Kings Highway is continuing to grow, speeds are up, volume's up, the number of accidents and related injuries and fatalities continues to rise. Just last week, and again, today we suffered fatalities from head-on collisions on relatively straight sections of that highway. I highly recommend that the Sheriff's Office, State Police and VDOT put together some type of short and long-term plan. We can't put it off. I see too many cases every day. Lastly, I want to remind the board and Mr. Miller that we have over 300 years of history here in this county. Some aspects have been good, some aspects have been bad. In either case, we cannot let people forget that history. We must learn from it. We must teach it to our young people. We must learn from our successes and our failures. We must all work together to tell the whole story. It brought us where we are and where it will pave our way into the future. We cannot get rid of our monuments. We cannot forget what we've learned from Ralph Bunche. We cannot forget what we learned through Shiloh School. Through many of the developments here in this county over the last 300 years, there have been valuable lessons learned for our county, for our state and for our nation. Thank you.

0:22:28.9 J. Stonehill: Thank you, Mr. Baird. Mr. Dines, do we have anybody online? Okay. We will close public comment. Did anybody get any statements or anything? Email? Okay, we'll close public comment. Moving on to reports of members of the board. Mr. Bueche?

0:23:04.7 Jeff Bueche: So, this is strange being able to go first. Usually I'm on the other end. Thank you, Mr. Stonehill. So quite a few things brought up tonight. I don't really have a report per se, but I'd like to address some things that were spoke of this evening. First off, to Mr. Ashton's comments, he's absolutely correct. I do believe that we need to remove all the monuments and here's why. We have a courthouse out here, and we're currently building a new courthouse. We don't know what the future of the current courthouse is. Is it gonna be future county administrative spaces? Is it gonna be a museum? Or is it gonna be leveled? We don't know. I believe that now that we have the opportunity, and someone who is willing to take the monuments at a cost, of course, to the county, but that the monuments would be protected, still accessible to the public and on public display for those who want to visit the monuments. I believe with that, to protect the integrity of the monuments, they do need to be removed, as long as we don't know what the future of that courthouse is, I think that's the rational logical thing to do. As far as divisiveness in this county, going back to the revolutionary war, the civil war, today, there still is divisiveness in this county, in this country, and it's because we all continue to put ourselves in little boxes with labels. I think it's time we start moving away from that and we just start addressing each other as our fellow citizens,

Americans, King Georgians, so have it. So, that's where I am on the monuments and why I take that position that I believe they should be removed and relocated. And it's for the integrity to preserve it because we do need to remember our history, because those who forget their history are doomed to repeat it.

With that, I'd like to address the multiple comments regarding Project Faith. So, as far as the 5% income or increase in the rates, this board doesn't have any authority over that. We... It is run by a Board of Directors, so, we do not have a say in that. However, I do have concerns about this 5% increase and the conditions of Project Faith, because numerous times, Project Faith over the years, even prior to my position on this board, has come before this body and asked for support from King George County for multiple federal and state grants. Now, those federal and state grants are tax dollars. We levied our support most of the time. I believe the most recent time, we denied them support. But, they're run by a Board of Directors. Project Faith Board of Directors is accountable to their residents. If their Board of Directors are utilizing public dollars, whether they come from the county or not, if it's federal or state grant dollars, I believe that's something that needs to be looked at. I would like to get an opinion from the Director of Department of Social Services, I believe they're very involved with Project Faith, especially for those that are receiving subsidies to be able to live there and see basically what the hell is going on over there. Tonight isn't the first time I've heard about Project Faith, and it's been going on for quite a few years. This just happens to be one night where we've had multiple residents come out. So, being that they are separate from King George County, I want those lines to be clear, but I am curious because they are receiving federal and state dollars, and I believe that that's something that we do need to look into. That's all I have for my report, sir. Thank you very much.

0:27:12.5 J. Stonehill: Mr. Granger?

0:27:12.6 Richard Granger: Yes sir, thank you. I'd like to say, first of all, thank you to everyone for coming out and giving your public comment. For the individuals who came about Project Faith, thank you for sharing that. I wasn't aware of the 5% increase, so, thank you for bringing that to my awareness. I'm not sure exactly what the board could do to assist at the moment. This is the first I've heard of it, so, it would take some investigation. I think Mr. Bueche had some good points to possibly look into. But I'm sure that's not the answer you're looking for, you're probably looking for something right now, I don't have an answer for you right now, I'm sorry. But thank you for coming out and sharing that information, because unless you inform us, then we can't provide any help. So, we'll see what we can do.

Thank you Mr. Ashton for coming out as well. Again, I know you didn't get to finish. If you would like to put your comments and send them in an email, you're welcome to do that. If you would like to meet and discuss, I would certainly be willing to do that as well, sir, and so, either way. But I know you didn't get to finish your comments, but I appreciate you coming and providing comments, and then when time was up, I appreciate that you recognized that as well. So, thank you for that, but I also appreciate that you all wanna have your voice heard, and so you didn't get everything you want to say, so, if you need to do that, I understand it, and I'll make myself available as well. Everyone else thank you as well. I appreciate everyone's appreciation for the county staff, it's always nice to hear that as well. I think they work hard. I know they work hard and they do a great job, and so it's always nice to hear from citizens as well. Sheriff's department, community development, county administration, everyone does it. I don't wanna leave anyone out and I probably shouldn't just call out certain departments, they all are great. But thank you for identifying it, it is always nice to hear.

Other than that, I would just like to say as well, I would like to echo about the fireworks on July

10th. I'd like to thank all the staff that worked on organizing that. I know Mr. Chris Clarke was heavily involved in coordinating and setting that up. Thank you to everyone that was involved in making it a success. It was a great event as well, again, and so thank you to everyone for making that happen and making it available to the citizens of King George. That's all I have. Thank you.

0:29:24.9 J. Stonehill: Mrs. Binder?

0:29:26.4 Cathy Binder: I have quite a few but I'll try to go quickly down the list. First, I would like to appoint Mr... Not appoint, excuse me, recommend Mr. Chris Nerney to the Board of Zoning Appeals.

0:29:40.3 R. Granger: Second.

0:29:44.5 J. Stonehill: All in favor?

0:29:44.6 C. Binder: Aye.

0:29:44.5 J. Bueche: Aye.

0:29:45.4 R. Granger: Aye.

0:29:49.4 J. Stonehill: Aye.

0:29:50 Madam Chair: Aye.

0:29:51.8 C. Binder: Alright, I also... I'll just go through. I've been pretty busy in the last month. I've attended the Dahlgren Heritage Museum, healthy generation meetings, the GW, which is the George Washington Regional Commission Executive Committee search meeting, met with Moseley Architects in the courthouse construction meeting where we're finalizing and getting to the point where we add furniture into the structure. I wanna welcome Mr. Miller. Thank you for coming in and joining our family of King George. And I also wanna echo a thank you to Travis Quesenberry for stepping in when we really needed him. To the Fire and Rescue and Sheriff's Office, and Chris Clarke in Parks and Rec, thank you very much for your help during the fireworks. It was just as great as in November, and it was really nice to see a lot of King George residents very happy. We've gone through a lot in the last year, and those little things that we can help the residents feel... Give them an uplift of spirit. I heard a lot of people were very excited and oohs and aaahs at the fireworks display, and it was nice to see everybody out there.

Mr. Baird, I wanted to mention, I've said for many times we should have somebody doing our social media web presence and public relations, I agree 100% with you. I wanna thank everyone from Project Faith who came out. I did look into it with Mr. Franklin from Social Services and Mr. Quesenberry. I provided a packet to them of sources they can talk to. I can do just a little bit of further investigation. It's very complicated because of state involvement and the county doesn't really have a say in it, but it could be looked into a little further. I did put it on Mr. Franklin from Social Services radar, and they did receive a packet of information, other state people that they can contact to voice what's happening at Project Faith. It's a little murky, who is in direct control of Project Faith right now, is it the state or another board of director? So, we're gonna look into that. Mr. Ashton, as you always come and thing, I will have to talk with the new county administrator of where the status of the RFP is, for we were looking into how much it would cost to move the

monuments. So, that is something I will look into next week. Poor Mr. Miller, it's only his second day on this job, so, I'm not gonna throw him into the fire on that one. Alright, last but not least, I wanna make sure I got everything here.

So, on last Thursday, July 8th, Chairwoman Cupka and I went down to Warsaw to participate in what was considered the groundbreaking, but since it's laying broadband fibre optic cable, it's not really a groundbreaking, but it was the launch. And the Governor was there and our local delegates and representatives, and what it signifies is our partnership that King George put in \$650,000 to this, to bring high-speed internet to some of our residents that are underserved. There's quite a few in the Shiloh district and a bunch in Mr. Granger's district and James Madison up near the Oakland Park in that area on 218. I personally wish we can bring more. And when Ms. Cupka and I spoke to the governor I cornered Governor Northam and said, "How can we be able to utilize those power lines to bring from All Points Broadband down to the houses?" And right now, there's sort of a monopoly there from a local cable provider. But that's something I wanna look into, because we have a lot of those high power lines in our County, especially going down 301. And we have a lot of citizens said the cost of entry by getting cable service is quite high, sometimes \$30,000, \$40,000, \$50,000, and if they're able to use the transmission lines already there to be able to tap in and get All Points Broadband, I think that would be really important. And it's something that I'm still continuing to look at because we've found out through the pandemic that broadband access is very important.

Well, one thing we did do there, and this is kinda cool. This shovel signifies our partnership, the broadband partnership on July 8th, 2021 between King George County, Lancaster, Northumberland, Richmond and Westmoreland. And why this is really important is this is a pilot project in the United States, and we're setting a standard in the country of partnerships between utilities, private and public, state, federal and local dollars, all coming together to bring broadband sort of almost like how we electrified the nation. And so, this signifies that, and I know Chairwoman Cupka would like to put it in a shadow box in the back with the pictures, but I'm gonna present this to Mr. Miller over here.

[Pause]

And that's all I have. Thank you.

0:35:22.6 J. Stonehill: Thank you, Mrs. Binder. Madam Chair, can you hear us, and do you have anything to say?

0:35:30.9 Madam Chair: Hello Mr. Chairman for the evening. Can you hear me?

0:35:35.3 J. Stonehill: Yes.

0:35:35.7 Madam Chair: Very good. So, I wanna start off by thanking you personally for running the meeting tonight in my absence, I very much appreciate it. I wanna apologize to the public that I could not be there in person this evening, I am traveling out of state. I will keep my comments very brief. I do want to welcome Mr. Miller. I did call him yesterday on his first day and welcome him as our new county administrator. I wanna thank everyone there in the Revercomb boardroom who came out this evening for comments. And please know I will get back in touch with you when I am back in town later this week. And I just wanna note for the record, I did call each of my colleagues today and sent via email regarding a letter to representative Robert Whitman regarding requesting that the parties, Mary Washington Healthcare and Cigna Insurance, try to get back to the

negotiating table regarding renewed contract negotiations. And I will just leave it at that for tonight, and thank you again.

0:36:48.0 J. Stonehill: Thank you. I just also wanted to say that Chair Cupka is participating through electronic and remote means pursuant to the Rules previously adopted by this board and through the governor's budget. Chair Cupka is participating by remote means, and I direct the clerk to include this statement and any statements of remotely participating board members to be memorialized in the minutes.

0:37:19.2 C. Miller: Mr. Chair, I do have an update on the monument removal. If I can take it right now, or I can wait until my report.

0:37:27.9 J. Stonehill: Wait for your report, and you can fill us in on it. That would be great, thank you. I just wanted to say thank you for everybody coming out and the folks at Project Faith. Thank you for coming out. This is the kind of stuff that we don't know about unless you all come out and speak to us about it. But we'll have... Like Mrs. Binder said there's... You all have some information and you do have some folks that you can speak to. And they'll definitely be following up and like the other board members said, it's something that we don't really have any control over at all. But without you all coming out here, we don't know what's going on, so, I appreciate that. Mr. Ashton, thank you. I guess Mr. Miller will give us an update here in a few minutes about what's going on, but thanks for coming out.

Mr. Baird, thank you and thanks for all the work that you do on your boards and your commissions. And I agree with you that the traffic is getting terrible. It seems everyday we're having another fatality or major accident, but the Sheriff's office is out there doing all they can do. And unfortunately, with navigation systems and phones, and it's just a great technology but it's very dangerous technology when it's on the road. Mr. Shelton, thank you for coming out.

I'll be brief, June 23rd, I attended the Rappahannock River Basin Commission also held in Warsaw. And we had a walking tour of downtown Warsaw and showed the partnership between the feds, the state, the county and the town of what can be done in cooperation with each other down there, and the entire town of Warsaw has been completely re-done and been revitalized. It's a really, really cute little town right now. They did some great work on their stormwater management and even in the rain that we had 10, 12 inches of rain. A couple of weeks ago they had no flooding issues at all and they used to flood out down there when... Anytime they even had a brief shower, their downtown area was flooded out, so, they've done a fantastic job doing all that. I recommend going down there, they got some great restaurants and some little places. So, if you haven't been down there in a while, it's changed quite a bit.

The 26th I did a litter pick up down in Dahlgren. We had a whole four of us down there picking up. We did fight the ticks and the mosquitoes and everything, and we ended up picking up 21 bags of trash, five tires, two computer printers. There was a full toilet but we couldn't get it up out of the woods to get it into a truck. And a lot of other assorted treasures, but I wanted to thank the volunteers that were there, and Friends of Rappahannock for all their work. We concentrated on all the storm drains and the runoffs that dump into Williams Creek, which dumps into the Potomac, which dumps into the Bay. So I wanted to say thank you. We will be doing more of that, but we're gonna do... Later in the fall when it cools off, we're gonna get back into that, so you can stay tuned for that.

July 10th, yeah, great fireworks, just fantastic again. They did a fantastic job. Thanks for the Parks and Rec, thanks for the fire department, thanks for the Sheriff's office, everybody that put time into it. They did a great job and I can't wait for next year. Mr Baird, again that's more communication,

advertising, the more we can get out the better, so, for sure.

And I also have a resolution here thanking someone that has been here in King George County for a very long time. Mrs. Barbara Howard-Johnson, recently retired here with over 33 years of service. We have a resolution in recognition of distinguished service for Mrs. Barbara Howard-Johnson, and I will read it to you: "Whereas the King George County Board of Supervisors wishes to recognize the exemplary public service and leadership of Mrs. Barbara Howard-Johnson upon her retirement, and whereas Mrs. Howard-Johnson began her career with the county over 33 years ago just as an administrative assistant but quickly found her county home in the finance department just a few months later. And whereas Mrs. Howard-Johnson was one of the only two finance staff members, processed accounts, payable, payroll, utilities, billing, landfill invoices and also as the general Ledger. And whereas Mrs. Howard-Johnson worked in the Department of Finance during pivotal transition to include moving from the courthouse to the Revercomb building, in purchase of the bright financial system. And whereas Mrs. Howard-Johnson served the county as interim finance director after the resignation of the finance director in 2002. And whereas Mrs. Barbara Howard-Johnson will end her 33 and one-half years of local government service after mastering the world of finance and receiving numerous accommodations as account manager. Now, therefore be resolved that the King George County Board of Supervisors, on this 13th day of July 2021." hereby thank Mrs. Howard-Johnson for her many contributions to helping make King George county a better place to live, work, and does hereby congratulate Mrs. Howard-Johnson as she celebrates her retirement adopted by the King George County Board of Supervisors this 13th day of July 2021.

[pause]

0:45:08.6 R. Granger: Mr. Chair?

0:45:09.2 J. Stonehill: Yes.

0:45:10.4 R. Granger: I move to adopt that resolution as presented.

0:45:13.7 J. Bueche: Second.

0:45:16.4 J. Stonehill: All in favor?

0:45:17.4 C. Binder: Aye.

0:45:17.7 J. Bueche: Aye.

0:45:18.2 R. Granger: Aye.

0:45:18.3 J. Stonehill: Aye.

0:45:19.4 Madam Chair: Aye.

0:45:21.6 J. Stonehill: Resolution passes. And that's all I have. Do we have a motion for the Consent agenda?

0:45:29.0 R. Granger: Mr. Chair, before we go to that could I ask one question? Ms. Cupka had asked for a consensus from the board to send the letter encouraging both Mary Washington and

Cigna to go back to the negotiation table. Did the board... I'm in favor of that letter, I just wanted to make sure that everyone...

0:45:50.1 C. Binder: I'm in favor.

0:45:53.6 J. Bueche: I'm in favor of it, but I don't think that that requires consent of the board. I think an individual elected official should be able to correspond with someone. She's not representing the board, she's representing her position as an independently elected supervisor. So, I don't think consent's required, but I do support it.

0:46:11.2 R. Granger: She was asking for it so that's the only reason for asking.

0:46:13.0 J. Stonehill: Yeah. Thank you for reminding me. Yes. Okay, thank you. Do we have a motion for the consent agenda?

0:46:20.9 R. Granger: I move to accept the consent agenda as presented with one modification to modify the minutes from the June 15th meeting to show that the Fall Festival TAC funding request that the vote shows currently that Mr. Bueche voted "nay" and that Mr. Granger voted "aye." Those should be reversed. It should be Mr. Bueche voted "aye" and Mr. Granger voted "nay."

0:46:44.0 J. Bueche: Second.

0:46:47.7 J. Stonehill: All in favor?

0:46:47.9 C. Binder: Aye.

0:46:48.0 J. Bueche: Aye.

0:46:48.3 J. Stonehill: Aye.

0:46:48.9 Madam Chair: Aye.

0:46:56.9 J. Stonehill: Motion passes. Next, we go on to constitutional officers report. Mrs. Hart.

0:47:14.8 Judy Hart: Good evening. Board members, Mr. Miller, Mr. Britton. First off, I would like to welcome Mr. Miller to King George. I think you'll enjoy it here, you'll like it here. I've been here a long time, just about all of my life, and I think you'll enjoy it here. Thank you for coming aboard. The fireworks were fabulous. I've got to say. Sheriff Giles, the uniforms are fabulous. I've got to say, I do like those. And I wanted to come... Asked me to come to speak a little bit about the cigarette tax that went in effect July 1. When I took office in 2016, I had a list, and I wish I'd brought it, I had a list about two pages of things I'd like to do, would like to see done. Few changes I thought should've been made. Of course, re-arranging the furniture, that was the first one, and I did do that quickly. But one of them on the list was cigarette tax. And as the years went on, I found out how hard it was to even get it, or try to get it, and talk to the folks in Richmond about it, and then it happened. So, I'm real thankful and I don't wanna encourage anyone to smoke, but I wanna encourage you to go buy a pack. I bought a pack, and on there is our little blue stamp, "KG Cigarette," I can't see it, I gotta put my glasses on, "KG, Virginia." So, the little blue one is the King George stamp and the pink one or the red one is the Virginia stamp. So... Oh, he's got one. They

took effect July 1, but the stores have 60 days to sell their stock. So, you may buy some that doesn't have the stamp on it, 'cause I guess they'll try to get rid of those first. We have approximately 23 stores in the county that sell cigarettes. And there are 10 distributors that the stores purchase their cigarettes from and these distributors buy the cigarettes from my office. There are 30,000 stamps in a box. And they cost 30,000... Oh, excuse me, they cost \$12,000 for this roll of stamps. And as of today, we have sold 22 boxes at the cost of \$264,000. And I have another distributor coming on Thursday to come in and get their roll that they need. My cabinet has arrived, I ordered a cabinet to keep them in, they have to be kept under lock and key. So, I have that and things are going well. I'm real pleased with it. It was a little rough at first, learning it, and Deanna Price, my, one of my girls in the office, ladies in the office, is doing it and she's doing a fabulous job, and she's learning a lot along with me. So, there we go, we have cigarette tax in King George, 40 cents on a pack. Do you all have anything? Any questions or concerns? Thank you.

0:50:32.6 J. Stonehill: Thank you, Mrs. Hart.

0:50:34.5 J. Hart: You're welcome.

0:50:37.1 J. Stonehill: Next up, report of the County Attorney, Mr. Britton?

0:50:58.1 Matt Britton: It's a conspiracy. No one wants to hear lawyers talk. Right, Mr. Granger? You just told me that last week. I actually took it as a compliment. Thank you, I just have one matter, Mr. Chair. I introduced you all earlier, I'll ask him to stand up, Mr. Ken Golski. He's come to us as Deputy County Attorney, and I'm very glad of it. He joins us with over two decades of legal experience as well as over a decade in local government, both in Hampton Roads, in Norfolk, and in Loudon. And you know something about handling local government issues if you came from Loudon. So, he's well experienced, he's fitting in, he's an affable, nice fella and very experienced in getting around, and he's particularly skilled in the finance area. And so, he's handling a lot of those matters, and we'll be getting in on the ground floor of some other things such as the redistricting, as we go through that and going around and meeting with the department heads. As you know, for some time, I think for at least two years, there has been a paralegal position available to the County Attorney at a \$60,000 a year, that was not filled prior to my time, and then... I didn't know about it, in the year and a half I've been here and, again, and so I didn't fill it when I found out about it. I think some of the board members as well as Donna informed me that it was already... Had a finance already in place, we advertised it. Thank you to Tamika and Amanda for helping me out with that. But we advertised all over the place, we got about 25 applications. One was qualified, even met our minimum qualifications, I'm not sure what it was about. In any event, ask Mr. Golski, as you know, put in his application for County Attorney, which is still pending, and I said, "Hey, I got this position, would you be willing to... So, if I switch this to an attorney position, would you be willing to fill it?" And he said he would. However, it is a \$60,000 position and that is extremely low. And what I would ask to do is, and Travis gave me the exact language here, is to... I can go to my work, of course, I had it here. And basically, I'm asking for the board to appropriate and move from the general fund to the County Attorney budget of \$15,000 to make that a \$75,000 annual salary from \$60,000. The range was 50-60 for a paralegal, which was okay, but sort of the minimum range for an experienced assistant or Deputy County Attorney is \$75,000. So, I would ask that that be considered by the board.

0:53:54.7 R. Granger: I move to authorize the transfer and appropriation of \$15,000 from the general fund to fund the position of Deputy County Attorney in the total amount of \$75,000 a year.

0:54:03.7 J. Bueche: Second.

0:54:08.9 J. Stonehill: All in favor?

0:54:11.1 C. Binder: Aye.

0:54:12.2 J. Bueche: Aye.

0:54:13.4 R. Granger: Aye.

0:54:13.5 J. Stonehill: Aye.

0:54:14 Madam Chair: Aye.

0:54:15.3 J. Stonehill: So moved.

0:54:15.4 M. Britton: And one other thing, I should have said this, it was... I believe it was in the motion, and the start date was actually yesterday. So, would that be beginning as of yesterday? I should have put that... I apologize, Mr. Granger.

0:54:33.2 R. Granger: So you need another motion to backdate it to July 12th?

0:54:39.9 M. Britton: Actually, no, because that's in the July 1st budget. I apologize, so, it's been appropriated. No, I don't need that, that's why Travis didn't put it in, I knew he was way ahead of me. Thank you. And I have nothing further, Mr. Chair.

0:54:51.2 J. Stonehill: Alright. Thank you, Mr. Britton. Comes to... Now we're up to our one public hearing tonight. King George County Board of Supervisors will now hear... Will now hold a public hearing that has been properly advertised to receive public input regarding a proposed amendment to the physical year '21-'22 budget. I will first ask this, ask for a staff report. I will then open the floor for public comment. Public comment is limited to three minutes per speaker and in order to afford everyone an opportunity to speak. Please, state your name and address before your comment. And on the completion of the public comment, I will bring the matter back to the board for consideration. The board may or may not take action tonight, depending on the information received during public comment. Mrs. Hahn?

0:55:47.8 Donna Hahn: Good evening, Mr. Chair, members of the board. Back on March 11, 2021, the American rescue plan was signed into law by President Biden of the United States. Based on the allocation method by the United States Treasury Department, King George County will receive a total of \$5,212,578 of which 50% or \$2,606,289 is currently available to the county. This public hearing is to a... Being put on so that we may amend our 2021-2022 budget to accept and authorize expenditures consistent with the provisions of the amendment.

0:56:35.9 R. Granger: So moved.

0:56:38.1 C. Binder: Second.

0:56:41.2 R. Granger: That's a good call.

0:56:44.6 C. Binder: Just a suggestion.

0:56:44.9 R. Granger: I rescind my motion.

0:56:50.6 J. Stonehill: Is there anyone here for public comment? Seeing none, Mr. Dines, is there anyone online for public comment? And has anyone received any written statements? Okay, the motion again?

0:57:11.3 R. Granger: I move to accept additional funds and authorize the expenditure consistent with the provisions of the amendment.

0:57:16.4 C. Binder: Second.

0:57:17.9 J. Stonehill: All in favor?

0:57:18.0 C. Binder: Aye.

0:57:18.1 J. Bueche: Aye.

0:57:18.3 R. Granger: Aye.

0:57:22.2 Madam Chair: Mr. Chairman?

0:57:25.8 J. Stonehill: Yes, ma'am.

0:57:28.5 Madam Chair: So is... Isn't this for action item 0706, where we adopt the resolution to amend the operating budget to appropriate the funds? I mean, I don't... I guess it doesn't matter if we do it now or do it then, but it's for action 0706.

0:58:09.4 R. Granger: This is to accept the funds not necessarily to appropriate the funds, so, I would assume we have to accept them, before we could appropriate them.

0:58:19.0 M. Britton: Mr. Chair, did you want me to address that?

0:58:22.5 J. Stonehill: Please.

0:58:23.6 M. Britton: Yes, so, we have this budget amendment, we need to accept the funds in, however, didn't we expend... We didn't expend none of these, this is not... Okay. So there was an expense that's gonna be made, I think it's \$500,000, is that correct? And then the remainder of it, you were going to have a hearing, I believe, was announced at the last board meeting to determine how it was to be spent. But in any event, there's two motions, one is to accept and one is to appropriate.

0:59:00.3 Madam Chair: Thank you for clarifying that.

0:59:02.4 C. Miller: Mr. Chair, maybe you could have Ms. Hahn come back up and clarify.

0:59:07.5 J. Stonehill: Yes, Ms. Hahn?

0:59:10.8 D. Hahn: Under action item 0706, there's gonna be a resolution concerning the acceptance and appropriation and I'll go over that at the action item on the agenda, if that's okay with the board?

0:59:27.1 J. Stonehill: Okay, thank you. So, we have a motion properly seconded. Any discussion? All in favor?

0:59:45.8 C. Binder: Aye.

0:59:47.3 J. Bueche: Aye.

0:59:47.5 R. Granger: Aye.

0:59:48.0 Madam Chair: Aye.

0:59:48.8 J. Stonehill: Aye. Motion passes. Okay. And next we have presentation and reports. King George County transit feasibility study presented by Mr. Matthew Lehane from GWRC.

1:00:07.8 Matthew Lehane: Thank you. Hello, everyone. So, I wanted to provide a update on the feasibility study that the George Washington Regional Commission has undertaken this year as a part of our rural work plan. So, it's a part of the FY'21 RWP from last year, feasibility of reinstating FRED Transit Service to assist with initial planning, GIS support and data analysis of potential bus routes in the county in coordination with FRED, and then deliverables were to support communication with FRED and creation of a baseline study to determine the feasibility of reinstating service in King George County.

So, as some of you know, there was a previous 2018 study that was completed with a consultant and some transit modeling that was done to analyze the major transit generators in the county and ability for service to the residents and Dahlgren commuters. So, we analyzed several things, this study more so is an update to that and talk about the feasibility of service since then. So, a lot of items from that study were used as well as data points, and we're really looking to update that. So, looked at transit needs, so, transit-dependent individuals, seniors, persons with disabilities, low-income households, zero-vehicle households, commuter analysis, employment clusters and travel patterns in Dahlgren, travel patterns, when and where, to and from and then where and how much future growth may occur. Some of the transit needs, as mentioned, defined as sub-groups and people who are most likely to use transit as their primary modes of transportation. So, we found that with a lot of transit-dependent individuals in and around the Dahlgren area as well as around the county courthouse and along the Route 3 and 301 corridors.

So, those would be two areas where there may be a need for transit service. As well as commuter analysis, so, of course, commuters in and around Dahlgren are a big part of it. Looking at basically 60% of those commuters travel between 7:00 AM and 8:00 AM to work as well as leaving between 5:00 and 6:00 PM, so those will be two good times to run a service if you were just looking for a commuter service, say between the city of Fredericksburg and Dahlgren. And one of the few things we looked at was the travel patterns, so, travel within the county. So, looking at the peak periods of travel, are in the 4:30 to 5:30 peak hour, as well as in the morning between 7:30 and 8:00 AM, and then the weekdays... Sorry, weekends, the peak hour is in the mornings between... Sorry, around

lunch time between 12:00 and 1:00 PM. Oh, it looks like we do have the presentation. I'm gonna pause for a second. You can just get those slides see, next slide and the next one. So, this is just quickly the map of the transportation... Transit-dependent populations, where they're located darker red, lighter green, darker red is signifying more transit-dependent populations. This was done with some modeling efforts, so that's up-to-date as of 2018, it's still pretty up-to-date as of 2021. Next slide. And this is just the commuter analysis looking at where commuters are, the darker colors representing the amount on the left, more commuters, lighter green, dark green, less commuters as well as looking at where the Dahlgren commuters are located in the GWRC region. So, a lot of them are located in King George County, but there is others in Spotsylvania, Stafford, Fredericksburg and Caroline County.

Next slide, please. This is just the graph of the travel patterns, so you can see the peaks in the morning, afternoon, to the blue weekday, and then in the weekends, you can see the peak and kind of a plateau during the late morning and into the afternoon. Next slide, please. And the next thing we looked at was future growth, so one of the things you wanna do is align the future growth, of course, with the settlement areas, outlining you all's most comprehensive plan. So, really, denser transit-oriented development should be encouraged within these settlement areas and basically, that transit-oriented development will increase the viability of service, increase its feasibility, and there would be more people who are in denser areas, who would more likely to ride transit. As well as it will decrease the amount of sprawl, you'll be concentrating development more into these settlement areas which have already been outlined. So, these settlement areas are areas that would be key for transit service, and you would run transit service basically from the city of Fredericksburg through some of these settlement areas along the Route 3 down 301 up to Dahlgren and, of course, in the future growth, provide denser development in those settlement areas.

Next slide, please. So, the first scenario. This is the recommended scenario running from Mary Washington Hospital to Dahlgren. If we're routing service along with the Walmart Route 3 and Route 301 corridors, it would provide a higher density of stops along the corridor with a total of 17. And there'd be two options with this, shorter headways, longer headways, 60 minutes versus 120 minutes, since this is about a 45-50 minute trip between Fredericksburg and Dahlgren. It would come out at a total cost, a yearly cost for just the operational, of \$655,000 for the 60-minute service that would be running two buses. Of course, there is the federal, state and local match, so you guys would have around 30%-40%, around 35% of that to decide on there. Plenty of grant opportunities that could potentially pay for that as well, if it is decided that this is feasible and something that could be moved forward with.

So, the next slide. This is just the last, so, really to receive comments on you all's thoughts. I know it was previously discussed, I think, back in December about moving forward with the study, as well as when it was decided on to start the study back last year. So, I'll receive comments and answer any questions on the presentation and the study draft, update for questions and comments and potentially move forward with the recommendations at a board meeting in August. I'm happy to take any questions and really answer any comments or concerns about the study that anyone may have and provide any other materials that may be needed. Thank you.

1:06:23.1 J. Stonehill: Thank you. Any questions?

1:06:27.6 C. Binder: I just wanna thank you, Matthew, for coming in and bringing that.

1:06:30.6 M. Lehane: Thank you.

1:06:31.9 J. Stonehill: I just have one. The commuter data, was that just done by a car count or

how... How did you all do that?

1:06:36.9 M. Lehane: That was done with modeling efforts. A consultant called Foursquare back in 2018 modeled commuter flows between the Dahlgren area and the greater Fredericksburg region in the GWRC. So, that's how they found the... Basically, where people are going to and from through the modeling efforts. This slide is sort of similar to that, looking at where people are going at times, and this was done with a company called Street Light Data, which does big data analysis of travel patterns.

1:07:07.1 J. Stonehill: That's 2009... That one's 2019 data?

1:07:09.7 M. Lehane: '19 data, yeah. They have up to 2020. However, 2020 data, as you may know, is a little bit skewed in the form of transportation, so we used 2019 for now. We'll use 2021 when that's full.

1:07:18.2 J. Stonehill: Okay, thank you. Mr. Bueche?

1:07:24.7 J. Bueche: Thank you, sir. So, we do a lot of studies around here, and studies cost money. So, my question to these studies, are we looking at this as an alternative to people utilizing privately owned transportation to get to Dahlgren, or are we looking at providing transit opportunities for those who do not have transportation? What are we looking at? Because from what I saw on here, if you're going from Fredericksburg to Dahlgren, chances are you got a car, okay? So, I don't see why we would be paying taxpayer dollars, and I get it, it's grants, but grants are funded through taxpayer dollars. I don't know why we would be paying to give people an incentive, maybe. I would find it inconvenient to ride a bus instead of taking my own car, but if we were gonna utilize public dollars, I would like to see that utilized in more of a way to help those who, let's say, don't have transportation options instead of saying, "Hey, if you don't wanna drive your car to Dahlgren, you could take the bus." I've seen FRED bus, I used to live in Oakland Park before me and Ann moved to the other side of the county, and there was a FRED bus stop there and no one ever got on that bus. It was a waste of money. It was a flop. And I don't wanna see us investing taxpayer dollars again that really isn't providing a service, and we're just spending money. That's where I'm at. Thank you.

1:09:06.5 M. Lehane: Just to really answer that question, the study really looked at both opportunities to Dahlgren commuters as well as to transit-dependent individuals, who you mentioned, who densely don't have a car or need better accessibility through transit. So really, we looked at both of those and looking at the previous King George route, which ended in 2012, there was a good amount of use. I believe it had around 12,000-14,000 passengers per year, and it provided service to those who, of course, a lot of them didn't have a car and provided transport to and from the city of Fredericksburg as well as to Walmart. Those are two of the major generators, so that is what the recommended scenario does do. It provides a service between central King George County, Dahlgren, a lot of the residential areas to Fredericksburg, Walmart, and so on. There was another option we looked at which provided service, sort of an inter-county connector, just kind of a ring around the county, Dahlgren as well as the Route 3 corridor. And that was also looked at, but had less ridership and less feasible as it didn't go to say Stafford County or the City of Fredericksburg. So, those were options looked at, and I'd be happy to have a follow-up conversation with you if you wanna discuss further some of those other options, as well as the needs of transit-dependent individuals who may require a transit in where they may be located or so on. So, happy

to follow up if you'd like.

1:10:25.9 J. Stonehill: Sure. Mr. Bueche.

1:10:30.1 J. Bueche: So, follow-up to what you said, I would like to see the data that shows that FRED bus was a success story prior to its termination in 2012 because they left for a reason. And I don't remember exactly, I wasn't on the board at that time. I don't know if the county said, "Look, we're not paying for it because there's no return on investment," or if FRED bus says, "We're not gonna participate over there because we're not making any money." One of those is true, and I don't believe it was a favorable situation for either party involved, but so I would like to see that data that you're citing.

1:11:02.1 M. Lehane: Yeah, and again, I'm not necessarily calling it a success story, just that was where the riders were, that was an option that was done in the past, certainly an option for the future. I believe the service was originally ended in part due to the cost, so the county was looking for a cheaper cost for the service, and they did not find a cheaper cost and FRED no longer served the County. So, thank you.

1:11:28.4 J. Stonehill: Anyone else? Thank you for coming out. Thank you for the presentation.

1:11:31.7 M. Lehane: Thank you.

1:11:34.4 Madam Chair: Mr. Chairman, I wanted to add...

1:11:39.9 J. Stonehill: Yes, ma'am, go ahead.

1:11:40.0 Madam Chair: Mr. Chairman. Yeah, Mr. Lehane, if you could stay a minute, I don't know if you're online or if you're there in person, 'cause I couldn't get my internet to work here, but I wanna thank you for picking this study back up. I wanna thank the Department of Economic Development and Tourism for doing so. And Mr. Bueche, I just wanna speak to some of the issues that you mentioned specifically. Mr. Lehane, can you tell me, is there still a TIP program that GWRC sponsors?

1:12:18.3 M. Lehane: A TIP program? Are we talking about the Transportation Improvement Program?

1:12:24.0 Madam Chair: The incentive for the base riders, the federal workers to use the service.

1:12:32.8 M. Lehane: I would be unsure of that. That would be more of a question for FRED, as it would be a FRED Transit service, but I'm happy to follow-up and provide an answer to that question. I know there is funding that comes based on Ridership Incentives through DRPT. If you have a slightly higher ridership, the state provides slightly more of a match to the service, and that's throughout the whole FRED system. So, if there's a higher passenger per hour in the FRED system, DRPT will provide slightly more of a match versus a lower passenger per hour, DRPT will provide slightly less. But I can follow-up more on that specific information.

1:13:06.1 Madam Chair: Yeah, if you wouldn't mind, I would appreciate it. And so, what I'm getting at is I was on staff in 2018 and was actively involved on behalf of the County in the

development of the data and the routes that were presented here tonight, and I can tell you... Specifically the Dahlgren connection, and I can understand why you would question it, Mr. Bueche, why would I... If I have a car, why would I take public transit? But part of the discussion there was for some of Dahlgren personnel, the younger personnel who are there for maybe six months at a time, stuck on base for training, and frankly, they can't afford their own vehicles. So, the base commander at the time was very enthusiastic about the prospect of joining with us and possibly bringing this back to aid in transporting his younger personnel, as well this TIP, if that's still in process with GWRideConnect, it would allow an incentive so then basically would cover the cost for some of those federal workers to use the service.

So, what I would like to do is see if there is consensus to direct our new County Administrator, who we have gone on record and said has vast public transportation or transportation planning experience in general, to make contact with the current base commander to see if there is still interest in this before we just kinda push it aside. Because this was never brought to the Board of Supervisors back in 2018, so, that's why I appreciate that it was brought to all of us, because that's what we're here for, to make the best decisions on behalf of all of our constituents. So again, I would request consensus for Mr. Miller to reach out to base command to see if they are still interested. Thank you.

1:15:29.4 J. Stonehill: Yes ma'am, there is consensus and just to let you know that he is here in the flesh.

1:15:35.3 M. Lehane: Yes, I am in person.

1:15:38.4 Madam Chair: Thank you very much.

1:15:40.5 J. Stonehill: Thank you, sir.

1:15:41.5 M. Lehane: Thank you, everyone.

1:15:47.6 J. Stonehill: Next action items, Sheriff Giles. And the uniforms do look very snappy.

1:15:56.6 Sheriff Giles: Thank you. And it's at no cost to the taxpayers at all, not a dime, so you can thank your local drug dealers for that.

1:16:06.2 J. Stonehill: Yes, thank you.

1:16:09.2 Chris Giles: Thank you. Mr. Miller, I just wanna congratulate you and welcome you aboard and look forward to working with you and see you tomorrow at the meeting, I guess. I have actually two action items that bring me before you today, so, we'll jump right on the first one, which is the ID Networks, Microsoft SQL 2019 Server licenses for a hundred users. It gives us client access to software purchases.

But Mr. Chairman, before I get into the summary of it, I'd like to give you some background as far as why we're asking for this number and why we're asking for the numbers. So, back in 2016, the Sheriff's Office purchased a new RMS/CAD system. The new system was placed on an existing 2014 server that held the data from our old RMS system to maintain that old data, so we could cross-reference and pull that over as needed, and that old data wouldn't be lost. Over the past years, the IT department, through Chris Dines, has been attempting to get several vendors that use that server to provide their specifications so that we could migrate the data to another updated server. A

couple of months ago, the 2014 server froze up due to lack of memory, which caused our MDTs and the CAD stations to become non-operational. The IT department, through their wisdom and hard work, was able to move some data around on that server and free up some memory temporarily, and it allowed us a temporary fix to continue the daily operations which we're operating under at this point in time.

The Sheriff's Office CAD/RMS server, which has been in use since 2014, needs a replacement. The physical server has already been purchased and running the newest Windows 2019 operating system and is racked in the Sheriff's Office server room cabinet. However, the SQL database licenses for the CAD/RMS server and the access licenses for the clients, which are the users, are what need to be purchased next. The clients, 100 users, consist of Sheriff's Office deputies in the office and on the road using their MDTs, 911 dispatchers and Sheriff's Office administrative staff, along with fire and rescue and emergency services personnel, and administrative staff, and their administrative staff, and administrative staff in the Commonwealth Attorney's office.

I'm before you to request the funding for the last phase of the upgrade to the public safety system in King George County, this will consist of SQL Server Standard Core additional licenses. Our new server has 16 cores and processors with Software Assurance, SQL Server Standard Editions with Software Assurance and SQL Server licenses and Software Assurances for 100 users, the Client Access Licenses. The current CAD system and RMS server is running SQL Server 2012 on Windows 2012 server. Our CAD and RMS software provider ID networks, along with our MDT county network connectivity provider, NetMotions, agree the need for these upgrades to take place as soon as possible to ensure that the application used by our public safety provider remain available 24 hours a day.

Moreover, with the Software Assurance portion of this request, we could provide a backup server that the County's public safety offices can use in case of failure on the main CAD and RMS server. This way, the SQL licenses can be easily transferred to the backup CAD and RMS server and our public safety offices can function without downtime or delay. Redundancy is crucial in our ability to provide the best and timely emergency services to our citizens. If you approve this project, the new server set up can begin by the IT staff then the data can be migrated from the old server to the new server. At that point, our applications software provider, which is ID Networks and NetMotion can set up, initialize, test and roll out the new CAD/RMS environment.

So, my recommendation tonight is that the Sheriff's Office is requesting \$77,265.62 from the general fund balance to cover that last phase of the upgrade to the public safety system in King George County. This will consist of the SQL Server Standard Core Edition license with Software Assurance, SQL Server Standard Edition with Software Assurance and SQL Server licenses and Software Assurance for 100 users and the Client Access Licenses. Questions?

1:21:30.8 R. Granger: Yes, sir. So, the current server is the old server, you have a new server that is ready for the new software when the new software is here. The old software won't run on the new servers. Am I understanding?

1:21:42.7 C. Giles: Correct.

1:21:43.2 R. Granger: Yeah. Thank you. Is the old server going to be the backup server or is there a secondary server that was... I don't know off the top of my head, was there...

1:21:52.6 C. Dines: Two brand new servers.

1:21:53.6 R. Granger: Two brand new servers. One's a redundancy server and then the primary

server. What's gonna be done with the old server? Is it past its prime? Is it not usable anymore? Tracking. Okay. Thank you, appreciate it.

1:22:08.7 J. Stonehill: Any other questions?

1:22:12.7 C. Binder: My question was already answered, the redundancy. So, we do have redundancy, so that in case the one goes down, we have backup.

1:22:19.1 C. Giles: We will once this is up and running.

1:22:20.5 C. Binder: Thank you. That's all I wanted to hear.

1:22:24.3 J. Stonehill: So, the old server and all that information is gonna be on this new one? So, the two old systems are gonna be finally put together?

1:22:32.5 C. Giles: Correct. If these licenses are approved. Yes.

1:22:40.3 J. Stonehill: Mrs. Cupka, any questions?

1:22:43.4 Madam Chair: Yeah. If I could Mr. Chairman, may I ask a question?

1:22:45.1 J. Stonehill: Absolutely.

1:22:49.2 Madam Chair: So, I'm not sure. Well, maybe this would be most perfect for the Sheriff and not Mr. Dines. Sheriff was this in your CIP last year?

1:22:57.9 C. Giles: No, ma'am, it was not.

1:23:00.9 Madam Chair: Where you tried to build them in? I'm sorry.

1:23:02.7 C. Giles: No, ma'am, it was not. And the reason for that is, once we started putting that system on the 2014, Mr. Dines has been in contact with those vendors to get that specification, so, we could get this stuff put on a CIP and moved over, and then with the 2014 system freezing up on us and the memory not being available, it turned into a critical emergency type request.

1:23:30.8 Madam Chair: Okay, very good. Given the urgency and the critical nature of it, you've satisfied my question. Thank you.

1:23:38.5 C. Giles: Yes, ma'am, thank you.

1:23:39.5 J. Stonehill: Mr. Granger?

1:23:41.2 R. Granger: Thank you, sir. So, it's a license. Is this a perpetual license or is it a yearly cost to maintain the license?

1:23:52.6 C. Giles: Yeah.

1:23:53.1 R. Granger: Perpetual.

1:23:53.5 C. Giles: Perpetual. I was gonna say that.

1:23:55.3 R. Granger: Thank you. Tracking, thank you.

1:24:00.7 S1: Mr. Bueche?

1:24:01.2 R. Granger: Would this come from the general fund? Is that the request? To transfer from the general fund to fund this?

1:24:06.6 C. Giles: Yes, please.

1:24:07.6 R. Granger: Second, okay, I move to transfer and appropriate \$77,265.62 cents from the general fund to fund the purchase or the last phase of the upgrade to the public safety system in King George County, which will consist of the SQL Server Standard Core Edition license with Software Assurance. SQL Server Standard Edition with Software Assurance and SQL Server License and Software Assurance for 100 user CALs, which are Client Access Licenses.

1:24:36.7 C. Binder: Second.

1:24:39.4 J. Stonehill: Any discussion?

1:24:41.3 R. Granger: Hang on. I see a hand going up, I'm gonna guess that maybe there was a mistake in the motion.

1:24:50.9 D. Hahn: I think you need to ask for it from the general fund balance in your amendment.

1:24:54.2 R. Granger: Oh, thank you. I would like to amend my motion to have it transferred from the general fund balance.

1:25:04.3 C. Binder: I'll second that again.

1:25:07.1 J. Stonehill: Any discussion? Mr. Bueche?

1:25:08.2 J. Bueche: Thank you, sir. So being at \$77,000, what is our timeline for CIP? I understand the situation that it has froze up. If it's critical to maintain current operations, I can see it, but if it's not absolutely critical to maintaining operations, I believe something like this should wait for the CIP process.

1:25:41.6 C. Giles: It is critical. They've been able to band-aid it and move some stuff in the memory and we're waiting for it to go down again. We're holding our breath.

1:25:51.4 J. Bueche: Okay, so it's not possible... It's most likely going to crash again, is what you're saying?

1:25:56.1 C. Giles: Yeah, it's not if, it's when.

1:26:00.9 J. Bueche: Thank you for that clarity.

1:26:02.0 J. Stonehill: Ms. Hahn, you have your hand up again. Come on up.

1:26:08.8 D. Hahn: The CIP will be going to the planning commission, not until August 10th, so that's another month from now and then after it goes to them, it'll have to come back for a budget work session before it's accepted. So, that's the timeline.

1:26:25.5 J. Stonehill: Thank you. Anyone else? Okay, we have a motion and properly seconded. All in favor?

1:26:37.8 C. Binder: Aye.

1:26:39.0 J. Bueche: Aye.

1:26:43.4 R. Granger: Aye.

1:26:43.4 Madam Chair: Aye

1:26:43.4 J. Stonehill: Aye. Motion passes.

1:26:44.4 C. Giles: Thank you.

1:26:46.2 J. Stonehill: And next up, Sheriff Giles again.

1:26:48.9 C. Giles: And my second action item tonight is the Next Generation 911 migration construction reimbursement costs. Tonight, if you have any additional questions, I have Brian from VDEM and Amy should be on the line, and I also have my communications director, Rhonda Smith back there as well if there's any questions I can't answer for you, which I'm sure there's probably more so, but anyway. The Virginia 911 Service Board is the state body that runs the PSAP, which is basically the Public Safety Answering Point. In the Commonwealth, the Next Generation 911 system is the new statewide modern internet protocol IP network that will replace the current 911 system, which uses old analog technology that is based on voice communication, regular phone service. In our current information age, the Virginia 911 Service Board has made it a priority for each locality to ensure quality and consistent 911 service to our citizens and visitors.

The new service, which is provided by AT&T, will provide faster delivery, and transfer of 911 calls to the appropriate PSAP, interconnect with other PSAP systems and databases, securely receive multimedia information, such as texting, photos, and video to 911 communications. This new digital network is called the Emergency Services Internet Protocol Internet, which is in an internet protocol that is guaranteed to have a total of only five minutes of downtime per year. This construction phase of the Next Gen 911 implementation consists of the labor and materials needed for the installation of the conduit or pathways from Route 3 through the new pathway for diversity into the Sheriff's Office. The best thing about this funding request, and Mr. Bueche, you'll like this, is the Virginia Department of Emergency Services or emergency management will refund 100% of the costs for this work through a state grant.

Fairfax was the first locality to implement this plan, and King George and many other localities will ride off this VDEM state contract to accomplish this task. There are also two upcoming migrations reimbursement costs associated with this project from the current fiscal year. One consists of the

call handling equipment services and the other is the Text to 911 feature. Each of these reimbursable items should total \$15,000 a piece for a grand total of \$30,000 that would be 100% refunded by the state in the next couple of years. The call handling equipment would be replaced, which will be an item that will reside in that year's Sheriff's Office CIP budget request. The cost for the equipment replacement is not known at this time.

The last item, when the installation is completed, will cost a calculation of the new AT&T 911 phone line charges and the billing charge difference in the current 911 phone line monthly charges. This is called Monthly Delta, which is \$55,044.12, which represents 12 months of bills, which will be 100% reimbursed from the first two years of the Next Gen 911 project. Upon the third year, the County will take over that cost for the 911 phone lines and will reside in the future operating budgets of the Sheriff's Office. So, the total amount of money that the County has been awarded by VDEM is \$781,189.74.

My recommended action from the Sheriff's Office is the request to accept and appropriate \$781,189.74 and 100% reimbursable grant funds from the Virginia Department of Emergency Management. E911 will cover the expenses from their operating budget and will be reimbursed by this grant.

1:31:21.9 J. Stonehill: Thank you. Motion?

1:31:29.5 R. Granger: Could I ask a quick question?

1:31:32.7 J. Stonehill: Absolutely.

1:31:33.5 R. Granger: Thank you. Reimbursable, do you know when the reimbursement should be in?

1:31:37.6 C. Giles: I do. Come on. Come on then. You told me when I first got here but...

1:31:48.8 Brian Crumpler: Good evening, members of the board. Brian Crumpler, Regional Outreach Division Director from VDEM within the 911 Geospatial Services Bureau. So, for reimbursements, whenever a reimbursement request is submitted, it's typically about a 60-90 day process to get that reimbursement back to the County.

1:32:03.7 R. Granger: Awesome.

1:32:04.1 B. Crumpler: Right now, we're at the start of the fiscal year, so there's close-outs, so it may take a little bit longer if that happens to be at start of the year, but typically it's 60-90 days.

1:32:11.8 R. Granger: Thank you.

1:32:19.4 S1: Mr. Bueche? Ms. Cupka?

1:32:23.5 Madam Chair: No, sir. Thank you.

1:32:27.0 J. Stonehill: Do we have a motion?

1:32:30.4 R. Granger: Sheriff Giles, could you run the number by me again 'cause it was a different number I heard than what I see in my board packet. I thought you said 780...

1:32:35.6 C. Giles: One.

1:32:38.1 R. Granger: So, could you re-read the requested motion one more time for me?

1:32:41.8 C. Giles: Sure. The Sheriff's Office requests to accept and appropriate \$781,189.74 and the 100% reimbursement grant funds for the Virginia Department of Emergency Management. E911 will cover the expenses from their operating budget and will be reimbursed by this grant.

1:33:02.3 R. Granger: So moved. Thank you.

1:33:03.6 C. Binder: Second.

1:33:06.7 J. Stonehill: All in favor?

1:33:10.4 C. Binder: Aye.

1:33:10.6 J. Bueche: Aye.

1:33:10.7 R. Granger

1:33:13.9 Madam Chair: Aye.

1:33:15.4 J. Stonehill: Aye. Motion passes.

1:33:17.3 C. Giles: Thank you.

1:33:19.2 J. Stonehill: Thank you, sir. Sheriff's office... Alright, next is Department of Finance, adoption of a resolution amending the '21-'22 operating budget, Ms. Hahn?

1:33:37.5 D. Hahn: Yes, Mr. Chair, members of the board. Included in your packet, board packet, was a resolution to accept... Well, we already accepted too, but to amend the budget for fiscal year '21-'22, '21 and 2022, and appropriate designated under the Coronavirus state and local fiscal relief funds. "In this resolution, you will see that there are adopted revenues of the \$2,606,289 that have been transferred to King George County at this time, in the first installment. And at this time, since we do not know what we're gonna use the funds for, we have to have a balanced budget, so, we've included in that resolution a line for eligible projects. And to put the \$2,606,289 into that fund. And then be it further resolved that the County Administrator is charged with the responsibility for generally administering the budget and implementing expenditures in the CSLFRF funds account. And be it further resolved that the County Administrator is charged with the responsibility for reporting the monthly disbursement of appropriated funds by account from the CSLFRF funds in receipts of projected revenues. And be it further resolved that the Board of Supervisors may authorize transfers between funds and accounts from time to time as it deems necessary and expedient." So, that last part will mean that when we get into our budget work sessions, or we're suggesting that we have budget work session later this summer to determine the best use of these funds, that the board will be charged with moving those funds from the holding expenditure line to the actual project that we're having. And so, my recommendation is that the board adopt the attached resolution to amend the fiscal year

2021/2022 operating budget to appropriate the CSLFRF funds in the amount of \$2,606,289.

1:35:52.9 R. Granger: So moved.

1:35:55.7 J. Bueche: Second.

1:35:56.6 M. Britton: Mr. Chair. So you're amending the budget in excess of 1%, and we advertised that for the public hearing? Did we hold that? Okay, so prior to the motion...

1:36:11.5 D. Hahn: That was what we had earlier this evening.

1:36:13.7 R. Granger: Yeah, that was accepting it.

1:36:14.8 M. Britton: Okay, and that was...Okay, on the amendment of the budget. That was my question.

1:36:24.4 R. Granger: That was to accept the money. This is a resolution to appropriate it.

1:36:27.8 M. Britton: Right, but the advertisement requirement is to amend the budget in excess of 1%, not to accept money or to appropriate it, but to amend...

1:36:37.5 R. Granger: No, we did not have...

1:36:39.0 J. Bueche: Well, the advertisement agenda is proposed amendment to the fiscal year '21-'22 budget. That's to amend the budget.

1:36:45.0 M. Britton: So, I just wanted to make sure...

1:36:53.0 J. Bueche: That should satisfy the public hearing requirement, correct?

1:36:57.6 M. Britton: I am in a gray zone on it. From what I heard, and on what the previous one was, I know it was to accept the funding, I just didn't know whether the motion at the end of that was to, "And amend the budget", and whether we held the public hearing on that matter. In abundance of caution, I raised it at this time. I just didn't hear those words, and it was a little confusing to me that we split this up between the appropriation and the budget amendment and the acceptance of the money. So, I'm just raising it to make sure that there's no issue because it does require public hearing. And we did, Don and I and Travis did advertise it, and we sent out the advertisement, so I know it was properly advertised, but the issue is, the only thing that you all need to do a public hearing on is the amendment in excess of 1%, and it probably was said, I just don't recall hearing it. And I didn't push the point, the issue at the previous time because I thought maybe we were gonna catch it here before we had done it.

1:38:03.2 J. Bueche: I would be of the opinion that everything was articulated appropriately, however, we did not specify the amount that the budget was increasing by, so that point was not made, however, the dollar amount, the significance was made public. So, I don't see where... We just didn't say the words, "It's increasing by 1%." The dollar amounts were appropriately advertised, that was appropriately discussed, public hearing took place, properly advertised. I would be of thought that the matter has been settled appropriately.

1:38:48.3 M. Britton: What I can say is I just don't have a clear memory of what it was said, but if the board does... But I'm bringing up what needed to be said was that we are now gonna hold a public hearing and take public comment on "The amendment of the budget for this fiscal year in the amount of". Then you get public comment, then you have a motion, and you say, "I hereby move that we accept the following money and amend the budget in the amount of." The percentage doesn't need to be stated and if that happened, then you're good. If it didn't, then we could hold that public hearing real quick.

1:39:18.8 J. Stonehill: Mrs. Hahn.

1:39:21.5 D. Hahn: At the beginning of the public hearing, Mr. Stonehill did say that we were having a public hearing to amend the budget and the amount. But I'm not sure if you said the amount or I said it in my staff report.

1:39:35.6 M. Britton: Great. Thank you. As long as those elements were both in there, it wouldn't matter who said it. Thank you. Maybe that's where I got confused that the amount wasn't in the motion.

1:39:49.0 J. Stonehill: It's not in the script, but Mrs. Hahn says that she said it.

1:39:55.9 D. Hahn: It's on my board report and I read from the board report that's in your packet.

1:40:00.9 M. Britton: Fantastic. It's on YouTube apparently. Better statement. Sorry, that's why I raised it at this time. As long as it was stated, acceptance of the funds, amendment of the budget and the amount, we're good to go, prior to the public hearing.

1:40:20.4 C. Binder: I heard Ms. Hahn say the amount.

1:40:22.7 M. Britton: Thank you.

1:40:27.4 J. Stonehill: Alright, so, do we have a motion on that?

1:40:28.7 J. Bueche: A motion and a second.

1:40:29.0 J. Stonehill: And a second. Properly seconded? And that was discussion. So, all in favor?

1:40:36.8 C. Binder: Aye.

1:40:37.9 J. Bueche: Aye.

1:40:38.2.1 R. Granger: Aye.

1:40:41 Madam Chair: Aye.

1:40:42.1 J. Stonehill: Aye. Motion passes. Alright. Mrs. Hahn again.

1:40:55.2 D. Hahn: The subject of the next item is the Northern Neck Regional Broadband Initiative Phase One. The King George County Board of Supervisors authorize the county administrator to negotiate and local contribution not to exceed 500,000 to the Northern Neck Regional Broadband Initiative Phase One, at a meeting that was held on July 14th, 2020. The amount was to secure a higher precedence in the construction timeline for King George County. Further, the King George County Board of Supervisors approved a local contribution in the amount of 150,000 for phase two that would be invoiced to the county after July 2022. The purpose of this action is to authorize the transfer of funds for the Northern Neck Regional Broadband Initiative Phase One. We will be invoiced sometime this month for that first \$500,000 agreement. Included in your board package was a letter to the chief executive officer at All Points Broadband, signed by former Interim County Administrator, Travis Quesenberry. And we would like to request that we move 500,000 of the... To authorize the county administrator transfer of 500,000 from the Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Fund. The CSLFRF Project expenditure line to the CSLFRF broadband expenditure, for the first phase, 500,000.

1:42:32.5 R. Granger: So moved.

1:42:33.6 J. Bueche: Second.

1:42:35.5 J. Stonehill: Discussion?

1:42:39.5 R. Granger: There will be a second time you're gonna come back to us for the other 150, right? That's my understanding. Do we know when that will be?

1:42:45.2 D. Hahn: We will receive an invoice sometime in July of 2022.

1:42:48.2 R. Granger: 2022.

1:42:50.9 J. Stonehill: Mrs. Cupka.

1:42:54.0 Madam Chair: I'm good, thank you.

1:42:56.8 J. Stonehill: Alright, we have a motion, and it is properly seconded. All in favor?

1:43:00.2 C. Binder: Aye.

1:43:03.2 J. Bueche: Aye.

1:43:03.5 R. Granger: Aye.

1:43:04.1 Madam Chair: Aye.

1:43:04.6 J. Stonehill: Aye. Motion passes. And once again, Department of Finance, change order for Fairview Beach Riverbank Stabilization Project.

1:43:23.5 Tina Turner: Good evening Mr. Chair, members of the board, Mr. Britton, Mr. Miller. The subject is, change order, number one, associated with the Fairview Beach Riverbank Stabilization Project. Authorize the county administrator to execute a change order with Finish Line

Environmental to increase the contract price of the Fairview Beach Riverbank Stabilization Project by \$24,795. In April 2021, a construction service agreement was executed with Finish Line Environmental for the Fairview Beach Riverbank Stabilization Project. During construction, Finish Line Environmental has encountered groundwater seepage along portions of the riverbank. The groundwater seepage was not anticipated, and control of the seepage was not included in the project's scope of work. The work required to control the seepage included installation of an underdrain system consisting of various-sized stone wrapped in geotextile material. A detail description of the underdrain system is provided in the attached letter from Schnabel Engineering dated June 24th, 2021. We do expect that there would be other portions of work area that will also require the installation of underdrain to control groundwater seepage. The change order request has been reviewed and is recommended by Schnabel Engineering and the county engineer. This change order will increase the total cost of the agreement with Finish Line Environmental from \$1,659,730.62 to \$1,684,525.62. The change order will also increase the contract time by seven days. The date of final completion will now be January 7th, 2022. There are funds available in the project construction contingency for this change order.

1:45:27.3 J. Stonehill: Thank you. Motion?

1:45:30.5 R. Granger: Can I ask a question?

1:45:33.7 J. Stonehill: Question?

1:45:33.9 R. Granger: Sir, thank you. I was glad to hear that there's money in the contingency, just a question about the point that brought up that there's an anticipation that there will be need for further underdrain groundwater seepage work. Do we anticipate that? I know it's gonna be hard to give a yes or no probably, but I'm gonna ask. How much do we have in contingency left, and is there a concern that we're gonna run out before any other change orders come in that would be requested to address those kinds of issues?

1:46:08.1 T. Turner: I'm not sure. Donna, do we know? I will have to get with Travis on that and then I can answer the question.

1:46:15.7 R. Granger: Fair enough. Thank you.

1:46:19.5 C. Binder: That was the question I had is, if there are any anticipate... How much the anticipate cost of the second one is, but that would just need further clarification. But, thank you.

1:46:28.5 T. Turner: Yeah, thank you.

1:46:33.3 R. Granger: Alright. I move to authorize the county administrator execute a change order with Finish Line Environmental to increase the contract price of the Fairview Beach River stabilization project by \$24,795.

1:46:44.6 J. Bueche: Second.

1:46:48.1 J. Stonehill: All in favor?

1:46:48.2 C. Binder: Aye.

1:46:48.5 J. Bueche: Aye.

1:46:49 R. Granger: Aye.

1:46:49.8 Cupka: Aye.

1:46:53.3 J. Stonehill: Aye. Motion passes. And again, Department of Finance change order associated with Fairview... Or no, we did that one... Award of construction service agreement for King George Elementary School single-ply recovery roof installation project.

1:47:13.0 T. Turner: The award of the construction service agreement for the King George Elementary School single-ply roof installation project, authorize the county administrator to take the following actions: Issue a notice of award to Virginia Roof Incorporation for the King George Elementary School single-ply roof installation project for alternate bid item one in the amount of \$626,243. Authorize the county administrator to execute a construction service agreement with Virginia Roof Incorporation in the amount of \$626,243 for alternate bid item one, subject to approval as to form by the county attorney. Establish a project budget for the King George Elementary School single-ply roof installation project an amount of \$670,155. On June 16th, 2021, bids were received and publicly open for the King George Elementary School single-ply roof installation project, a total of eight bids were received, a bid tabulation is attached, the low bid base in the amount of \$681,306 was submitted by the Virginia Roof Incorporation.

The base bid is for the installation of 60 mil EPDM synthetic rubber roof system, the color of the roof material is black. A 20-year no-dollar limit water tight warranty is included with the bid, the bid form also include one alternate bid item. Alternate bid item one in the amount of \$626,243 will provide a 60 mil white TPO roof system in lieu of the EDPM roof system, a 20-year no-dollar limit watertight warranty is also included with the alternate bid. The alternate bid price is \$55,063 lower than the base bid. Mr. William Sanders, President/CEO of Roof Consulting Services Inc, has completed a review of Virginia Roof Incorporation's bid and qualifications and has determined that Virginia Roof Incorporation is a qualified, responsible and responsive contractor. In the attached email Mr. Sanders stated, "I always lean toward recommending EPDM in the geographic area because of how easy they are to repair with peel-and-stick materials as opposed to needing an heat gun to properly repair TPO membranes, but with a \$55,000 savings I'd probably go with TPO on this project."

Staff recommends that the Board of Supervisors authorize the county administrator to issue a notice of award to Virginia Roof Incorporation for the King George Elementary School single-ply roof installation project in the amount of \$626,243 for alternate bid item one and authorize the county administrator to execute a construction service agreement with Virginia Roof Incorporation, subject to approval of the construction service agreement as to form by the county attorney.

Staff also recommend that the Board of Supervisors establish the project budget as follows: Construction agreement, Virginia Roofing, \$626,243. On-site inspections, RCS, \$12,000. Project contingency, 5%, \$31,912. Total project budget \$670,155, and funds have been appropriated in the capital fund for this project.

1:51:00.9 J. Stonehill: Thank you. Ms. Binder?

1:51:01.9 C. Binder: I just have to get some clarification because I talked to Mr. Quesenberry about this and he is an engineer is, is this bid for the, I guess there's the black membrane, the white

membrane. So, is the one that we're approving... Because there was a lot of stuff in there. For the white membrane that's cheaper, or is it only for the black membrane? Because from what I understood, they're basically the same quality.

1:51:29.7 M. Britton: Mr. Chair, we had a meeting, and Travis had recommended the white membrane as a result of the heat. And for the building the roof, longevity as well as the equipment that's there in keeping the heat down. I can't... That's my understanding. Mr. Miller was there as well that you... That it was white.

1:51:52.6 C. Binder: I just wanted to clarify, there was a lot of information in there, hard to keep track. So, I just wanted to make sure because it is a cost-savings to the county. So, thank you.

1:52:01.9 J. Stonehill: Questions?

1:52:04.9 Madam Chair: Mr. Chairman?

1:52:05.7 J. Stonehill: Mrs. Cupka?

1:52:08.8 Madam Chair: Not really a question but I can also provide some clarification on that. If you recall you and I attended, and Mr. Britton, attended the agenda meeting, and I specifically asked that question. And my understanding is that this is for the white, less expensive roof. And I inquired whether they were amenable to that. I do have an email because if you recall, we did not have that answer at the time we had this agenda meeting last week. But I do have follow-up from Mr. Quesenberry stating that he did hear from Dr. Benson, that the white parentheses less expensive roof for the KGES project is okay. So, it is for the white membrane.

1:53:00.8 J. Stonehill: Thank you. Mr. Bueche?

1:53:04.5 J. Bueche: So, that all makes sense to me. My question would be in how was or how were the bids advertised? Were we bidding out same product, same work to everybody? We had eight different companies that put in for this bid, were they all bidding on the same thing? Because if we award this to somebody, and they bid on different terms than what was advertised, we could wind up in a situation where something was awarded by a government body and the advertisement wasn't done equally across all the different companies. I could see that as maybe biting us down the road. Unless, that those options were included in the advertisement, it just depends on how the bids were sought, and what the language was in there. I just don't want to wind up in a situation down the road.

1:54:03.0 M. Britton: Mr. Chair, Tina may know the exact, she may remember RFP on top of her...

1:54:07.8 T. Turner: It was one bid. So, everyone bid on...

1:54:10.9 M. Britton: Right, but I don't believe, correct me if I'm wrong, but Travis would have to answer it, and we would have to go back and research and you'd have to delay the vote. We're not going to be able to do it tonight. I believe that this was just a single-ply roof bid. So, these people... Yeah, it was. And then people came in with these options and the guy had the one that you can repair with the patch, the white, the black, and anyone could've suggested any type of single-ply roof. And that's my understanding from speaking with Travis, reviewing the RFP and the draft

contract. I can't tell you that for a 100% certain, but that's how we do it all the time. They came in with this idea.

1:54:52.5 J. Bueche: Okay, well, then...

1:54:52.6 M. Britton: Anyone could have done.

1:54:53.1 J. Bueche: That satisfies it then.

1:54:54.2 M. Britton: I'm not worried about a contest on this.

1:54:55.9 J. Bueche: Yep. We're fine with that then.

1:54:58.5 M. Britton: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

1:55:00.8 J. Stonehill: Anyone else? May I have a motion?

1:55:08.2 R. Granger: I'm gonna make a couple of different motions 'cause there's a handful of different actions so I'm gonna make one for each action. I move to authorize the county administrator to issue a notice of award to the Virginia Roofing Corporation for the King George Elementary School single-ply Roof Installation project for alternate bid Item 1 in the amount of \$626,243.

1:55:27.4 C. Binder: Second.

1:55:36.2 J. Stonehill: All in favor?

1:55:38.7 C. Binder: Aye.

1:55:39.0 J. Bueche: Aye.

1:55:39.4 R. Granger: Aye.

1:55:42.6 Madam Chair: Aye.

1:55:42.7 J. Stonehill: Aye. Motion passes.

1:55:45.5 R. Granger: I move to authorize the County Administrator to execute a Construction Service Agreement with Virginia Roofing Corporation in the amount of \$626,243, for alternate bid Item 1, subject to approval as to form by the county attorney.

1:56:00.6 J. Bueche: Second.

1:56:02.1 J. Stonehill: All in favor?

1:56:02.8 C. Binder: Aye.

1:56:02.8 J. Bueche: Aye.

1:56:04.2 R. Granger: Aye.

1:56:06.1 Madam Chair: Aye.

1:56:06.9 J. Stonehill: Aye. Motion passes.

1:56:09.2 R. Granger: I move to establish a project budget for the King George elementary school single-ply Roof Installation project in the amount of \$670,155 as presented.

1:56:20.4 J. Stonehill: All in favor?

1:56:21.2 C. Binder: Aye.

1:56:22.8 J. Bueche: Aye.

1:56:23.0 R. Granger: Aye.

1:56:24.2 Madam Chair: Aye.

1:56:25.7 J. Stonehill: Aye. Motion passes. Thank you. And now up, Mr. Miller, your first county administrator report.

1:56:41.6 C. Miller: It'll be brief. But I will just like to say thank you for all the nice words and the kind words. I'm very excited to be here. And just really, these first two days are immersion, osmosis, everything I can do, to learn and, to jump right in. And then I've had an opportunity to jump right in here. And I just really want to say thank you for the opportunity to serve you. I have provided my contact information, I'm gonna meet with you all, as soon as y'all can do that. According to your schedules, your busy lives. Just really want to get to know, you know, your perspectives on things, having the opportunity to meet with the staff and Mr. Britton as well. And just excited to be here. And I thank you again. I will tell you,

I did mention that there was just a small update on the removal of the statue, I will tell you this, and Ms. Turner could maybe even elaborate. There have been no responses to the Request For Proposal, and it's supposed to be due in next week on the 21st. And so, Ms. Turner had recommended to both Mr. Quesenberry and myself, to move that to the 11th of August to extend the date. Am I correct? Okay. And, I think that would be something that we would do. I don't know, that was at a board action, that that needed that. So, it's just an update.

I'd also point out that the date for the receipt of proposals for the Ralph Bunche building is scheduled for the 8th of September, that's just an informational item for you all. And then in terms of some of the questions that were directed about the Fairview Beach, I'd be happy to circle back with Mr. Quesenberry, get you some information, and provide that to you all. Just to let you know, because I think those are some very legitimate concerns. But again, just very happy to be here. And I look forward to continuing to serve you and the citizens of King George. Thank you.

1:59:09.1 J. Stonehill: Thank you, and again welcome. I think that's it. Do I have a motion?

1:59:16.7 J. Bueche: I move to adjourn to August 3rd, 2021 at 6:30 PM, here in the boardroom.

1:59:21.4 R. Granger: Second.

1:59:24.8 J. Stonehill: All in favor?

1:59:24.9 C. Binder: Aye.

1:59:27.0 J. Bueche: Aye.

1:59:30.5 R. Granger: Aye.

1:59:34.6 J. Stonehill: Alright, aye, we didn't hear anything from Ms. Cupka. So, meeting adjourned.