

VIRGINIA:

At a regular meeting of the King George County Service Authority Board of Directors, held on Tuesday, the 2nd day of August, 2022 at 5:30 p.m. in the Revercomb Building Board Room at 10459 Courthouse Drive, King George, Virginia:

PRESENT:

James Morris, Chairman
Allen R. Parker, Jr., Vice-Chairman
Cathy Binder, Member
Carrie Cleveland, Member
Annie Cupka, Member
Chris Miller, County Administrator/Interim General Manager
Kelly Lackey, County Attorney

0:00:01.3 Chairman: I hereby call the King George County Service Authority Board of Directors to order, we will begin with the Invocation by Mr. Parker, followed by Pledge of Allegiance by Mr. Miller. If you are able to stand, please do so.

0:00:17.4 Allen Parker: NO AUDIO

0:00:31.1 All: I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

0:00:50.6 Chairman: Thank you. For the record, Ms. Binder is coming from meeting with the state and she advised she would probably be late arriving. Mr. Miller, do we have any amendments this evening?

0:01:04.1 Chris Miller: Yes Mr. Chairman, we do. We have a Amend the Agenda for the Payne Well replacement.

0:01:11.9 Chairman: Okay. We'll put that in under action item 804. Okay, public comments?

0:01:19.3 Annie Cupka: So moved

0:01:20.1 Chairman: No.

0:01:22.5 A. Parker: Second

0:01:23.0 Mr. Chairman: Motions been made and second. All in favor?

0:01:25.9 Carrie Cleveland: Aye.

0:01:26.4 A. Cupka: Aye.

0:01:26.9 A. Parker: Aye.

0:01:27.4 Chairman: Chair votes aye. Agenda is amended, okay. Moving on, public comments, anybody in the audience wish to speak this evening? Mr. Dines, do we have anybody online?

0:01:44.7 Mr. Chairman: Thank you, sir. Report from the members, Mr. Parker?

0:01:49.0 A. Parker: No report, sir.

0:01:50.2 Mr. Chairman: Ms. Cupka?

0:01:52.1 A. Cupka: No report today.

0:01:54.3 Mr. Chairman: Ms. Cleveland?

0:01:55.1 C. Cleveland: No report.

0:01:56.4 Chairman: Thank you. And I had an interview with Cathy Dyson from the Free Lance-Star, referenced the funding we received via the state. And then I think you all have seen the article she wrote about that. That's it for me. Okay. Moving on, consent agenda.

0:02:27.5 A. Parker: Motion to accept the consent agenda as written.

0:02:31.7 A. Cupka: Second.

0:02:32.2 Chairman: Motion's been made and second. Any discussion? All in favor?

0:02:40.0 A. Cupka: Aye.

0:02:42.0 A. Parker: Aye.

0:02:44.0 Mr. Chairman: Ms. Cleveland?

0:02:46.3 C. Cleveland: I said aye, yeah.

0:02:46.9 Chairman: Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Okay. Report from the county attorney, Ms. Lackey.

0:02:54.8 Kelly Lackey: I did a FOIA training for one member, and I have extended the offer, if

anyone else is interested in a FOIA training or requires any other general training, I'm available for that, but I have nothing further to report.

0:03:09.9 Chairman: Thank you, ma'am. Okay. Presentations and reports. Okay. Moving on to action items, the Payne Well, Ms. Pitts.

0:03:29.1 Megan Pitts: Good evening, Mr. Chair, members of the board. I'm here before you this evening to request the award of a contract for the Payne Well Pump Replacement Project. I apologize for not having this in your board packet sooner. There were some variables that I needed to confirm before drafting the board report and that wasn't ready until the end of last week. Procurement issued IFB 07262022-1400 and opened bids on July 26th. One bid was received and the references were checked. Engineering concluded that the bid was reasonable. The scope of work will include but not be limited to the following: Clean the existing eight-inch casing to a depth of approximately 760 feet to the top of the fill material that is in the casing, estimated to be approximately 25 feet of fill material; remove the brush and install pipe to the top of the fill material; air lift the fill material from the well until the bottom of the well is reached or until no additional progress can be made; remove the pipe and swab the well casing, then redevelop the well; furnish and install a new well pump. The new pump shall be capable of efficiently pumping 50 gallons per minute at 580 feet TDH with a motor connected to a 460-volt, three-phase motor. The installation of the well pump shall include 520 feet of four-inch galvanized pipe, three four-inch DI check valves, submersible wire and a quarter inch SS air line. Perform a pump test demonstrating the pump's capability of producing 50 gallons per minute for one consistent hour, and any other necessary performance test to meet regulatory standards. Disinfect the well in accordance with VDH and DEQ requirements, including obtaining acceptable bacteriological results. Reconnect the well to the existing water system, project and site clean-up and providing all documentation on all assets installed. With that, I would request that you authorize the general manager to issue a notice of award and to execute a contract with Sydnor Hydro, Inc., in the amount of \$78,345 subject to approval as to form by the county attorney. And with that, I am happy to answer any questions.

0:05:40.9 C. Cleveland: Mr. Chairman, I have a question. Can I go ahead? So I have a question about... So whenever I see things like just one bid come in, that just perks my attention. So the question is, you said that the engineering did review it and said the bid was reasonable, what do they look at to determine whether or not they decide whether or not the bid is reasonable?

0:06:03.4 M. Pitts: So in this instance, engineering reached out to other vendors as well as some of our engineering firms that work with us to determine the price reasonableness on this bid. And also in this case, another vendor was reached out to before the bid was put out, and provided almost what was only about \$1,000 off from this bid. So that's how it was determined.

0:06:31.6 C. Cleveland: Thank you. And I'm just curious, is it... 'Cause I think that last... It was completely unrelated, but we only got one bid in for the last one that you went over to. Is that normal where you would only have one person or?

0:06:44.8 M. Pitts: I think it depends. So maybe a better way to explain this would be to explain how we put out a bid. So we post on eVA, which is the state's procurement website, and when you post a solicitation, there are certain vendor codes that you would put in based off of the service that you're looking for, and it mass generates notification to all the vendors that could possibly provide

that good or service. And in this instance, only one vendor decided to respond.

0:07:20.3 C. Miller: If I could ask, and maybe after you... If you wanna vote on it, we might ask Mr. Eisenbeis to just comment on the general state of getting some of these kind of things taken care of. From the maintenance side, I know it's... We do our best to try to get as many bids, but right now with the well drillers, we have had some really tough times getting multiple bids. I don't know what the situation is, I don't know if they can't make the commitment because of pricing and the logistics and getting pipe and things like that. Those are all variables that are impacting that.

0:08:00.7 A. Parker: So just to let you know from being in the industry, just general drilling for even just geo-technical is eight to 12 weeks out, if they wanna do it, and it's premium prices right now. So you're gonna pay through the nose to get it 'cause everybody's booked. And then for specialty things like this, this is a very specialized contractor, you're not gonna find but one or two people that are gonna do it. And either they're gonna bid because they need the work, or they don't need the work and they're stacked up busy, and they just are not gonna look at the RFP, it's really what you're looking for when it's something this specialized. I think if we put out a bid for something that's more normal, like excavate and install pipe in the ground, you'll get a bunch more contractors 'cause there's a lot of people that do that work. This well work is very, very specialized. There's probably only a handful of people in Maryland and Virginia that do it.

0:09:03.8 C. Cleveland: Yeah, thank you for that. And I appreciate that they took a look over it to make sure that it seemed like it was reasonable. Because of course, I don't know what would be reasonable or not. So that was nice that we did the due diligence even with that one bid. So thank you for that, yeah. Thanks.

0:09:21.7 Chairman: Thank you, and thank you Mr. Parker for that insight. Any other questions, discussion? Do I have a motion?

0:09:30.1 A. Parker: I make a motion that we authorize the general manager to issue a notice of award and to execute a contract with Sydnor Hydro Incorporated in the amount of \$78,345, subject to approval as to form by the county attorney.

0:09:46.6 A. Cupka: Second.

0:09:47.9 Chairman: Motion has been made and second. Any additional discussion? Okay, roll call vote. Mr. Parker?

0:09:56.9 A. Parker: Aye.

0:09:58.6 Chairman: Ms. Cupka?

0:10:00.2 A. Cupka: Aye.

0:10:00.3 Chairman: Ms. Cleveland?

0:10:02.1 C. Cleveland: Aye.

0:10:03.1 Chairman: And the Chair votes aye. Motion carries and we authorize the general

manager to proceed with this. Thank you.

0:10:10.4 M. Pitts: Thanks very much.

0:10:11.7 Chairman: Thank you, Ms. Pitts. Okay, discussion items on water treatment practices is...

0:10:19.9 C. Miller: I guess I'll handle that. Did you wanna say anything about the... Okay, alright. Maybe that... I just was gonna offer if they wanted any more information. I think Mr. Parker did a good job of explaining the condition of some of these procurements that we go through. I wanted to just provide a little bit more information, follow-up. I know last meeting we had a discussion about the chlorine smell that sometimes does happen, and we are in the process of putting together a little bit more of a comparative analysis of what other systems are doing and how much they might put in and... But Mr. Goldman has provided me a kind of an overview of the process that we go through in terms of the testing. The top email came after his first, the bottom email, and so I'll read the top. But it just kinda gives you an overview.

So for chlorine, they test three days a week, we collect Bac-T samples every month and those samples go to the state-certified lab for analysis, and then every quarter, we collect samples which include nitrate, nitrate inorganics, VOC, which Patti... Volatile organic compounds, then radiologicals, cyanide and metals. And we also collect lead and copper samples every three years. You see that and you go, "Oh," but every water system has certain levels of metals that are built into the system and things like that. I think I have spoken to you all that soon, very soon, we're gonna be having to deal with the lead and copper process that's gonna be... We're gonna be responsible to find out even the connection from the main to the house. We will have that soon, very soon. So that's gonna be a burden on us and that's coming, I think it's in the next couple of years if I'm... According to Jerry Goldman. So be ready for that. The bottom email I think just gives you an overview of the hydro, the hypochlorite, sodium hypochlorite, which is the chlorine. So we have nine water systems that we use the sodium hypochlorite on a regular basis, and as Jerry indicates, the amount differs based on the demands of each system.

Sodium hypochlorite has to be used to ensure proper disinfection of all storage facilities, distribution lines and service connections. And the EPA regulations state the maximum allowable residual of chlorine in drinking water is 4.0, and we always fall, I think Jerry tries to fall within kinda like a one-in-a-three range. So he's never exceeding that or never reaches those high levels that may concern people. The smallest system we have is nines, and it's got 35 service connections. And we average... We maintain an average residual of 1.0 parts per million after the chlorine demands have been met. And so you can kinda get an idea of that. And I think that in a previous email about Fairview Beach, I think we were... He tries to get into the one and a half to maybe a one in seven... 1.75 range, I think is what he is maintaining in that area.

So the larger system, such as Dahlgren's, Courthouse or Hopyard, they maintain a higher residual to ensure proper disinfection through long distances of the piping, and obviously the hundreds of service connections. And then when the temperatures increase like we obviously have in the summer months, then the dosage of chlorine must be increased to combat residual loss that's caused by dissipation, and the heat... This heat dissipation breaks down the chlorine, which contributes to the smell observed at some of our customers' taps. I think the main thing that I just really wanna emphasize is, that Jerry does a good job of working with the various systems, that Jerry and his team do a good job of working with the various systems that we have, to make sure that it's not overpowering.

And as I said, if you ever have a situation where you do have a cause for some concern, just please

contact us. And I'll say that not just to the board members, but to any citizen who's a rate payer and on the water system. If you have a concern, call the office, they can answer it, they'll follow up and do a re-test or whatever they need to do to get it right. But I do think that they do a very good job to keep the systems disinfected and to meet the customers' needs. But we'll provide more information. I think it's very instructive. And what I'd like to do in the future is probably get somebody, DEQ or VDH to come and just give you an overview of the practices and the things that they're looking for from us, very important things to know and knowledge for everybody. 'Cause I know that you have fellow rate payers that contact you and wanna talk about things and voice their concerns. So that's kind of a through overview. Ms. Norris-Barker, is there anything that I may have left out? 'Cause she's involved in it as well with Jerry in terms of the pulling together the testing that we do and... I get everything? Okay.

0:16:49.1 Chairman: I have a question, and I don't know if you can answer this, or Mr. Eisenbeis answer, or we have to go back to Jerry, but in a previous thing, Jerry had said part of the issue is getting the product down the end of the line, 'cause essentially, all our systems are dead-end systems. If, and a big if, we ever reach the day where all our systems are interconnected and no longer dead-end systems in a looped system, this problem would essentially go away?

[pause]

0:17:33.0 Patti Norris-Barker: Thank you. I believe that has always been an issue with, when you loop the system, the flow is continuous, and I think it will alleviate it to a certain point. I don't believe that you will get surges at dead-ends, or say, in a cul-de-sac, because the water is constantly flowing in circles.

0:18:03.7 Chairman: Thank you. Any other questions?

0:18:08.8 C. Cleveland: I have a question. So I actually like the idea of inviting DEQ to give an overview of the practice, 'cause I think that that could be really helpful for me to understand the different samples that they take. But something I was gonna ask, would it be possible for maybe Jerry to go over the results of some of the samples that he's gotten so we can look at them and understand what they mean?

0:18:32.0 C. Miller: Yes. We can get him back to this, back to another meeting. Yes. And I would say that that's also public record, so it's not something that we're like having to produce, it's confidential or anything like that, but... So, yes.

0:18:46.8 C. Cleveland: Yeah. I just think it might be helpful, and then also to explain the different tests and what they're looking for. It might be a little bit long to get DEQ here to do that, so if he's willing to do that would be great, at some point. Thanks.

0:19:09.6 Chairman: Thank you. Let the record show that Ms. Binder has arrived from her meeting, with the state, wasn't it?

0:19:17.7 C. Binder: Yes, it was about solar, but once again DEQ reiterated to me, and it's a different branch of DEQ, they are more than willing to come and talk at any time. I was with the folks that are not the water quality, but it was about solar, but it does directly affect the Service Authority too in a round-about way. Thank you.

0:19:36.5 Chairman: Ms. Binder, do you have anything to report to the board?

0:19:39.6 C. Binder: No, thank you for asking. Obviously no, just that's where I was in Richmond with the RAP, as they call HP206, about solar panels and solar siting.

0:19:51.1 Chairman: Okay, thank you. Where are we now? Ms. Lackey, you need to talk to us about Inboden?

0:20:02.2 Mr. Miller: I can do a little brief, but then I think it will be a... It's a tag team. We'll let the legal person come in here. So as you recall, there is an existing agreement with Inboden Environmental Services for the operator in charge, which is the process of testing twice a month. They serve as the county's... The Service Authority's class one operator at the wastewater plants, and they essentially... They started this role back in August, at this time, back in August, I believe it started on the 11th of August, or actually, the 12th of August. And the situation is that we had an initial six-month agreement with them. Obviously the situations that happened with the Dahlgren plant precipitated the need to expand their services and to have them begin to take on a greater role, which they have been doing. Obviously, that initial six-month period ended, but built into the contract were month-to-month allotments for six months, and we've now reached the end of that six months. We obviously still have the issue, and I'll talk about it in my general manager's report. We still are waiting on negotiations, if you will, for the water... Excuse me, the wastewater contractor proposal to move forward. We're hoping that we can get those underway within the next couple of days and then be in a position to have those... Get that in to you all as a future board item. But in the interim, we need to have a contract, and our proposal is, is that we develop a contract with Inboden or an interim services agreement for 90 days, and it will continue the operator in charge, and allows us to make sure that we are meeting all the state's requirements in terms of the class one requirements, and then the filing of the DMRs and the things like that that have to be filed every two weeks. So I guess I'll turn it over to Ms. Lackey if she wants to give you the legal overview of this, but that's what we're recommending.

0:22:47.4 K. Lackey: So just a quick recap... Just a quick recap that the Inboden contract is set to expire, and because of the nature of the services, we can't just renew their current agreement, however, because they were one of the folks that responded to the pending RFQ. What we're proposing to do is do an interim contract so that the most have a bridge between a possible award of longer-term services under that same contract, to either Inboden or the competitor. There's no guarantee that they'll get a longer-term contract than what's proposed.

0:23:32.3 Chairman: Any questions?

0:23:36.0 C. Cleveland: I have a question. I don't understand what you meant by, "There's no guarantee that they could get a longer contract." I just didn't understand that part of it.

0:23:46.5 K. Lackey: Sure. I think if I'm not mistaken, the RFQ proposes a term of three years, so we're not ready to make a recommendation on a three-year term, so this would just be an interim to allow negotiations to continue and to bring a proposal for a longer-term contract back to the board.

0:24:02.7 C. Miller: I think the other thing I would just, on top of that, this has nothing to do with the possibility of them being your operator under the proposal that we have out, or this other

company. It's giving you compliance with DEQ is what this is essentially doing, while we continue to negotiate, so that we don't lose that window and then go back to square one, which was where we... We don't wanna go back to that area.

0:24:32.5 C. Cleveland: So Chairman, can I just make a comment real quick, 'cause I just wanna make sure that I understand, 'cause it seems to me, we really wouldn't have a choice in the matter at this point, because if it's gonna expire this month, we really need to have that interim in there. So it's a 90-day contract. During that time, we can review the other contracts and their contract, and then decide?

0:24:51.6 C. Miller: Yes.

0:24:53.5 C. Cleveland: Yeah, okay. And one more question too. Is the 90 days a reasonable contract that's being offered, or is it what you got us?

0:25:07.5 C. Miller: So we had conversations with Inboden, and I think that we feel that the 90 days... That we should wrap up the operator contract proposal in that period of time, so I think 90 days is sufficient. Do you?

0:25:27.1 K. Lackey: And we could build in the possibility of short-term renewals, but we're not intending this to be a long-term agreement.

0:25:37.7 C. Cleveland: So, the question though is, the actual contract, is it a reasonable, or do you see anything that's completely out of left field that's being offered for 90 days?

0:25:49.5 K. Lackey: Inboden has asked me to draft the contract, so I'm gonna go ahead and use the terms that were in the RFQ, so I'm imagining it that we should be able to negotiate reasonable terms with them.

0:26:01.4 C. Cleveland: Okay, thank you.

0:26:03.6 Chairman: Okay. I have a timeline question. The contract expires August 12th, correct?

0:26:10.9 C. Miller: I believe that was incorrect. It expires August 11th, 'cause it basically went into effect with Mr. Weakly, his resignation day.

0:26:19.8 Chairman: Okay, and our next meeting is not till the 16th, so that seems like we have to do some sort of action on that this evening, so...

0:26:33.0 C. Miller: You have it...

0:26:37.6 Chairman: What is the best way forward?

0:26:39.0 A. Cupka: I'm willing to enter a motion if you need one.

0:26:40.8 K. Lackey: I would suggest perhaps that, at the will of the board, we look to negotiate a 90-day term or if a shorter term is desired to bring it back on the 16th, that that would be fine as well.

0:26:58.6 C. Cleveland: Chairman, I have a question. So I think that voting for the interim contract is something that we could do, but the issue that I have with it is not seeing the contract, so like voting on the actual contract without seeing it right now, anyway. Does that make sense?

0:27:26.2 K. Lackey: If it's the will of the board, we could take a brief recess. I do have a copy of the RFQ if folks wanted to look at the contract, proposed contract terms that are in that. I could make copies of that.

0:27:38.6 C. Cleveland: I know what's in there, so that's not... So would the motion be then that we would accept it if it was those terms, I guess, or we are okay with it if it was those terms, and so it wouldn't be super outside of those terms, I guess is what I'm trying to say?

0:27:57.9 K. Lackey: Right. I think the agreement would be very similar to their existing agreement, but just with any updated terms and conditions from the RFQ that was recently advertised.

0:28:08.8 C. Cleveland: Okay.

0:28:11.0 C. Miller: And I think that we would be fine, that interim between the 11th and the 16th, if this came back on the 16th or if you approved something tonight then that we had to come back on the 16th, then we should be fine, because they come and they do these services every two weeks that they're having to do. And obviously, they're doing some other advisory services, but I do believe that Inboden is looking to work with us and be very reasonable. So I think if there's a concern about a gap, I think we can cover that and we would be okay. I think our concern was, we're in September and we don't have somebody, and that was the bigger concern. So these five days or whatever, I think we would probably be okay to cover that, and Ms. Lackey may be able to put language together that covers that anyhow. So is that something that we can do?

0:29:14.8 K. Lackey: I think that that's an additional option, would be just to allow the contract to lapse for a matter of days because the testing, I think, as Mr. Miller indicated is on a bi-weekly basis. So that would be another possible option. In that case, there might be a possible need for an emergency procurement if something happened in the interim.

0:29:38.8 A. Cupka: Mr. Chairman, I understand, it would be nice if we could see it, but first off, given the various emergencies situations that we've had to deal with in the past year, I don't feel comfortable having a five-day or six-day whatever day lapse in the contract. We need to know that we have someone available if there is an emergency, and frankly, I feel... I trust our county attorney, and if she's the one drafting the contract, as Inboden is allowing her to do so, I know that she will fight for the terms most favorable for the county, so I don't have any problem moving forward if we had a motion that would be for a maximum of 90 days, is what I'd be looking for.

0:30:37.6 A. Parker: Do we know what the... What was the RFQ number that was issued? Does anybody know that off... What was that, Ms. Hahn? Oh.

[pause]

0:31:03.1 K. Lackey: Our RFQ number is 05022022-1400. That was posted to the eVA website,

the state website.

0:31:17.7 A. Parker: Okay. So I think I got a motion if everybody is ready.

0:31:21.3 Chairman: Okay.

0:31:22.5 A. Parker: Alright. I make a motion to have the county attorney draft an interim contract with Inboden for a maximum term of 90 days using the terms and conditions that were posted in RFQ number 05022022-1400.

0:31:42.7 A. Cupka: Does that work for a motion?

0:31:49.3 K. Lackey: Yes.

0:31:49.9 A. Cupka: Second.

0:31:51.4 Chairman: Okay. Motion has been made and second. Any discussion? Roll call vote. Ms Binder?

0:31:58.8 C. Binder: Aye.

0:32:00.0 Chairman: Mr. Parker?

0:32:00.5 A. Parker: Aye.

0:32:01.1 Chairman: Ms. Cupka?

0:32:03.2 A. Cupka: Aye.

0:32:04.2 Chairman: Ms. Cleveland?

0:32:05.1 C. Cleveland: Aye.

0:32:05.2 Chairman: And the chair votes aye. Motion carries. If the staff will handle that. Thank you. Okay. Mr. Miller, time for your general manager's report.

0:32:28.3 C. Miller: Okay. So a couple of items on there. One is some SCADA upgrades to the Hopyard and the Fairview Beach plants are... Has been... A purchase order has been issued, so those will be... We'll be doing those. Pre-deployment meeting for the AMI metering project has been tentatively set for August 15th. Ms. Norris-Barker is working with them as well as Mr. Eisenbeis. The process first is to deal with all the technical... How do I wanna say? Not wireless necessarily, but the communication, yes, and the communication part of it. And then, as I think we have said in previous updates from the maintenance side, we have talked about that this fall is when we get involved in the cleaning out of the meter boxes and getting all that ready for the replacement process. So the staff is doing a great job working with the AMI folks on this, so very excited about the project.

Update on the wastewater operator contract. So I think we just kinda did an overview of that, but essentially we have two proponents, two proposals that we received. And we were asking... I think I

updated the board at the last meeting, that we were asking one of the companies to provide more information about their pricing because their pricing was essentially not really copacetic with the pricing information that we got from Inboden. And so we're asking the other proposal to equal it up so that we could do a better comparison. And we are looking to wrap that up and then be in a position to start the process of negotiation, so that we can keep this project moving. But my hope is that we'll have a good report at the next meeting. I don't know if we'll have a contract ready for you, but we know that we got a time... Type of a time-sensitive deal.

So last week staff, the water crews and Mr. Hoagland and Bryce Young, our county principal engineer, met with... And Mike Haggerty from Draper Aden, met, and we were in the Dahlgren well system and updating that, looking for new sites where we could locate some wells. Under the VDH's concerns, they want us to... Basically, Saft is to come offline in a couple of years, and so we are actually now working on son of Saft, if you will, which will be like Saft 2, and it'll probably be in the same location. That is the biggest well. That's the biggest part of the system down there. Payne is a backup, and Payne is a much smaller one. And so if we take Saft off, we need to have another option, and so we're looking at various sites and we had folks from VDH there and reviewing some options.

CIP. I think we made a... That's a... So CIP work sessions recommend the first meeting, Tuesday, August 8th, doesn't exist. We're actually recommending the CIP process would be something later on in the month. I don't know how they got that in there, that's a mistake. I'm not asking you to meet next week, 'cause there is no Tuesday, August 8th, first of all. But I think we talked about that the county has a CIP process that they have to follow. The county or the service authority CIP process is not as rigorous and not as involving, in the sense that the planning commission has to rank the CIP projects on the county side. So we have a lot of freedom in terms of what we wanna do from our standpoint. I think what I was hoping is, is that we have meetings maybe towards the end of the month, where we could talk about some of these capital projects. Now, a lot of these capital projects are going to be, on the water side, are gonna be based on the water grant that we got. The sewer side, the waste water side, they're gonna be based on the proposed money that we're gonna get. And that money isn't probably gonna be realized, as I think we said, until into the calendar year '23. But there are some other things that we can work with you on and give you some information. I think Ms. Hahn's big concern, she wants to see, from the county side, the CIP adopted by the Board of Supervisors early September, no later than that third week of September, so that we can get these projects moving faster. We'd like to be in that time frame with you all, so keep in mind, it could be part of the regular meeting, which would make it... We could do it in September or we can do work sessions, and it's just up to you. I know that school is getting ready to start, so time for parents is gonna be a little bit more constrained, a little bit more active, and your ability to maybe free up a night may be a little bit more difficult. But just if you would just give us some ideas what you're looking at, and we'll make it work. And that's all I have.

0:38:58.1 Chairman: Polling the members, is there a preference for the CIP workshops, if we wanna do it as part of our regular meeting or a separate night-in location?

0:39:14.4 C. Binder: Fine with the regular meeting, maybe come a little earlier.

0:39:17.4 Chairman: Okay. Any other comments?

0:39:22.2 A. Cupka: I can't be here any earlier than 5:00 o'clock. That's the earliest I can get here from my other employment. And I don't mind coming on other nights, but we just got this tonight, so I can't...

0:39:37.1 Chairman: No, yeah.

0:39:37.6 A. Cupka: I don't think it'd be productive for us to throw out a whole bunch of dates, but maybe if we could, ourselves, come up with other dates in addition to regular meeting dates. Thank you.

0:39:50.3 Chairman: Yeah, I would say if you folks wanna pick some dates and send them to Mr. Miller, with a CC to me, we can kinda see what the consensus is and come back with a proposal to everybody. Good? Okay.

0:40:12.3 C. Miller: What we could do is, we could present some kind of a capital list to you at that first meeting in September, regular meeting, and then you could give us... If you wanted to have a meeting, a work session type thing, we could set it up from there, but that's good. I think the 16th, there might be potential for some other board items that we'll discuss at the agenda meeting next week, so probably be good to hold off until the September meeting.

0:40:45.3 Chairman: Okay. Sounds like a plan. Okay, anything else for the good of the order? Motion to adjourn.

0:40:53.4 A. Parker: I make a motion to adjourn to August 16th, 2022 at 5:30 PM, here in the boardroom.

0:41:00.7 C. Binder: Second.

0:41:01.0 Chairman: Motion has been made and second. All in favor?

0:41:04.4 C. Binder: Aye.

0:41:04.5 C. Cleveland: Aye.

0:41:04.6 A. Cupka: Aye.

0:41:05.2 A. Parker: Aye.

0:41:05.3 Chairman: Chair votes aye. We are adjourned until August 16th, 2022, at 5:30 PM, here in the boardroom.