

VIRGINIA:

At a regular meeting of the King George County Board of Supervisors, held on Tuesday, the 17th day of August, 2021 at 6:30 p.m. in the Revercomb Building Board Room at 10459 Courthouse Drive, King George, Virginia:

PRESENT:

Annie Cupka, Chairman
Jeff Stonehill, Vice-Chairman
Jeff Bueche, Member
Richard Granger, Member
Chris Miller, County Administrator
Ken Golski, Deputy County Attorney

REMOTE: Cathy Binder, Member

Madam Chair: I hereby, call this meeting of the King George County Board of Supervisors, to order. The following members are participating through electronic and remote means, pursuant to the rules previously adopted by this board. Ms. Binder?

Cathy Binder: Cathy Binder participating remotely due to illness.

Madam Chair: Thank you, Ms. Binder. I direct the clerk to include this statement and any statement of remotely participating board members, to be memorialized in the minutes. Mr. Miller, do we have any amendments to the agenda?

Chris Miller: No, we do not.

Madam Chair: Thank you. Public comment. Comments will be limited to three minutes per person, in order to afford everyone an opportunity to speak. If comments relate to a specific public hearing item, and we do have two of those advertised for this evening, we ask that you offer those comments at the time of the public hearing. I have a sign-in sheet that I'll start with that first. Mr. Don Shelton. And again, please state your name and address.

Don Shelton: Don Shelton, 9430 Aspen Court. I just wanna share this piece of information with you guys. In June of this year, Tappahannock government voted six to nothing, to keep their Confederate memorial in front of their courthouse. This is an article on it, if you all would like to have a copy of it, I'll give it to you. Anybody want a copy? That's all I have to say. Thank you.

Madam Chair: So, Mr. Shelton, if you would like to approach, you could... Oh, you have already

provided it to Mr. Miller?

Don Shelton: Yes, sir, ma'am.

Madam Chair: Alright, thank you then.

Don Shelton: Alright. Thank you.

Madam Chair: Thank you very much. I do not have any other names on the sign-up sheet, but if there is anyone else present in the boardroom, who wishes to speak before I move on to online public comment, please come forward.

Resident: My apologies, if I'm not at the right time. This is having to do with the the cell tower.

Madam Chair: No, sir. So right now is general public comment for matter, any matter other than the two advertised public hearings. Thank you, sir. Anyone else? Alright, seeing none. Mr. Dines, do we have anyone online, for public comment? Very good. Members, did anyone receive any correspondence a citizen wished to be read, for public comment? No? Ms. Binder, did you have any correspondence?

C. Binder: No, ma'am.

Madam Chair: Very good then. I will now close public comment. We will move on to reports of members of the board. Ms. Binder, I'll go ahead and let you go first.

C. Binder: I'll try to be brief so my voice can hold out. I just want to invite the public on September 22nd, at the Smoot Library, at 6:00 PM. I'm inviting Ms. Cupka to join me for a... Shiloh Town Hall, discussing route 3. And I thank you to all the staff that has agreed to participate. So just mark your calendars and that is all. Thank you very much.

Madam Chair: Thank you, Ms. Binder. Mr. Granger?

Richard Granger: Yes, ma'am, thank you. Mr. Shelton, thank you for coming and providing your comment. Ms. Binder, as well, thank you for still attending and being dedicated to attend, even though you're ill and not coming in to infect anyone, as well. So we appreciate that as well, but your dedication. So thank you for that. Other than that, on Tuesday, August 10th, I attended a COMREL meeting at Indian Head. Probably the most interesting thing was from the Office of Research and Technology Applications lead at NSWC, Indian Head division, talked about their naval energetics technologies, Other Transaction Authority, OTA program brief, which was talking about how to find another way to fund the contracts that were not using the FAR-based contracting method, which is a fairly lengthy process to get contracts out. And so they have a partnership with the National Armaments Consortium, which approximately has about 950 members, and I understand, is like a \$500 annual fee. And so it allows them to work with these companies in particular, for developing prototypes, and it's only for prototypes. And it allows them to get 12-week turnarounds on their contracts, from start to finish, and actually funding it, it's up to 500 million, as my understanding. So the reason I bring it up is for anyone in the community who might have a business, that is interested in maybe looking into the National Armaments Consortium, seeing if that would make sense. Just trying to share that information, 'cause it seemed like a good program

to help speed along things and get rid of some of the bureaucracy. And if you wanted to, feel free to reach out to me and I could try to make connection with that POC at Indian Head, I'm sure he could help provide information as well. Other than that, that's all I have. Thank you.

Madam Chair: Thank you, Mr. Granger. Mr. Stonehill?

Jeff Stonehill: Hello all. Mr. Shelton, thank you for coming out and sharing your comment. I appreciate when everybody comes out and speaks. All I have, August 4th myself and Ms. Cupka attended the ribbon-cutting ceremony for a new contractor's office down in Dahlgren, called SimVentions. This is, I think, their third satellite office that they have, and they've opened up here in King George in one of their buildings. And they just moved in to a 2500 square foot office, and they're also bringing about 25 positions to King George, which is great for the Dahlgren community. They are a government contractor and they're great support for our military men and women, and for NSF Dahlgren, as well. And so we welcome them. And that's all I have.

Madam Chair: Thank you, Mr. Stonehill. Mr. Bueche.

Jeff Bueche: Thank you, Madam Chair. Tomorrow morning, I will be attending the First Responders Appreciation Breakfast. So I'd like to thank my colleagues for putting me up to represent the board. I'm really looking forward to that event. I had a constituent reach out to me, who had some concerns about one of my affiliations. Even though I had put it out on social media, I wanted to make it public because they I felt it wasn't made public record and that the public has the right to know, even though I have been very public and vocal about it. I just wanna make this board and the public aware that, yes, I am or I have been elected and am serving as the chairman of the King George County Republican Committee. So I just wanted to put that on the record, because there were concerns about that. So now that could be part of the official record that that's out there. And Madam Chair, that will conclude my report. Thank you very much.

Madam Chair: Thank you, Mr. Bueche. I wanna thank everyone for coming out for public comment tonight. Last night, I attended the FAMPO monthly meeting, I wanna let my colleagues know, Smart Scale is coming up fast, a lot sooner than it normally does. Normally, over the winter, we typically talk about what projects we want staff to submit pre-applications for. They're talking next month. Yeah, and I know Mr. Miller is already tracking this and working with economic development staff to try to come up with a streamlined list of suggested projects for us, so we probably will need to entertain that at the first meeting in September. Thank you. This Saturday, August 21st, I'll see you in the stacks. Stacks office hours at Smoot library from 10:00 AM to noon in the cafe. Stop by if you would like to chat with me about your cares and concerns for our community. And I want to thank representative Rob Whitman's office for their assistance when I contacted them last week on behalf of a constituent regarding an American citizen attempting to secure passage from Afghanistan, I greatly appreciate the assistance from his office. And that is all I have for my report. We will go ahead and move on to the consent agenda.

R. Granger: Move to adopt the consent agenda as presented.

J. Bueche: Second.

Madam Chair: All in favor, say aye?

J. Bueche: Aye.

R. Granger: Aye.

J. Stonehill: Aye.

Madam Chair: Ms. Binder?

C. Binder: Sorry. Aye.

Madam Chair: Chair votes aye, motion carries. Alright, then, county officials reports. We do have Sheriff Giles present today. Constitutional officers, do you have anything you wish to bring to the board, sir? Thank you, sir. And I see also that Ms. Hart, our Commissioner of the Revenue is present. Do you have anything you wish to bring to us during this time? Thank you. And I think that's it for constitutional officers. Very good. Alright, then report of the county attorney, Mr. Golski.

Ken Golski: Thank you, madam chair. First I'd like to, last month or actually last meeting, a redistricting committee was appointed by this board, and it was noticed that Louis Pancotti, a senior planner with King George was not on that roster and would it be appropriate to add if the board so chooses.

R. Granger: I move to appoint, Senior Planner Louis Pancotti to the redistricting committee.

J. Bueche: Second.

Madam Chair: Any further discussion? All those in favor say aye.

C. Binder: Aye.

J. Bueche: Aye.

R. Granger: Aye.

J. Stonehill: Aye.

Madam Chair: Chair votes aye, motion carries. Thank you, Mr. Golski. Continue.

K. Golski: Thank you. The next item is, it actually comes through Ms. Hart, Madam Commissioner of Revenue's office, it's a text amendment to the cigarette tax that the county recently adopted. It has come to her attention through a couple months of application that the vendors are unable to or are having difficulties meeting the fifth of the month date, that is set forth for their reports and for the reporting of the previous month's sales, and they're requesting that it be moved to the 20th of the month, which is consistent with the Commonwealth's due date, and the Commonwealth does allow anywhere from the first of the month to the 20th of the month for those reports to be submitted, so this really is... It doesn't change anything and it's just being submitted for at this point, for whether it should be advertised as a text amendment, changing from the 5th to the 20th, it would be advertised for two weeks and in discussion with Mr. Miller and we're slating it for the 21st of September

meeting.

Madam Chair: Thank you, Mr. Golski. Mr. Stonehill, you were our appointee to the cigarette tax committee, so I'll defer it to you. Would you have anything you would care to add?

J. Stonehill: I think you said that that was the same time that they had to report to the state is correct. And so that they were gonna do the wholesalers, we're gonna do both to the local government and the state and at the same time?

K. Golski: That is correct.

J. Stonehill: Okay.

Madam Chair: Okay, Mr. Bueche? Ms. Binder, do you have any questions for Mr. Golski regarding the request?

C. Binder: No, I do not.

Madam Chair: Very good then, Mr. Golski, do we have to have a formal vote or does it suffice that you have consensus? Should shall we, do I need someone to move, I need someone to move.

J. Stonehill: I have the motions.

Madam Chair: You have the motions? Very good.

J. Stonehill: I move to authorized county staff to advertise the proposed text amendment to the King George County cigarette tax ordinance, section 14-207 as presented, to be set for public hearing and consideration at the September 21st, 2021 Board of Supervisors meeting.

J. Bueche: Second.

Madam Chair: Any further discussion? All those in favor say aye.

C. Binder: Aye.

J. Bueche: Aye.

R. Granger: Aye.

J. Stonehill: Aye.

Madam Chair: Chair votes aye. Motion carries. Anything else, Mr. Golski?

K. Golski: That is all I have, Madam Chair. Thank you.

Madam Chair: Very good. Thank you, sir. Alright, moving right along, 0811 board commission agency reports and public hearings case number 21-02-E01. It has come to my attention that the applicant's submission in this matter placed on the agenda for public hearing this evening has been

significantly revised and amended since a recommendation was made by the Planning Commission. Based on that and other factors, I will entertain a motion for closed session.

J. Stonehill: I move that to the King George board of supervisors convene in a closed meeting pursuant to VA code section 2.2-3711A8, consultation with legal counsel employed or retained by a public body regarding specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice by such counsel, namely discussions related to the holding of public hearings on telecommunication facility matters, I invite the county administrator, county attorney, deputy county attorney, zoning administrator and Louis Pancotti, senior planner, because they are deemed necessary and/or their presence will be reasonably aid the board and its consideration of the topics to be discussed pursuant to VA Code Section 2.2-3712F.

R. Granger: Second.

Madam Chair: Any further discussion? All those in favor say aye?

C. Binder: Aye.

J. Bueche: Aye.

R. Granger: Aye.

J. Stonehill: Aye.

Madam Chair: Chair votes aye, motion carries. We are in closed session.

Madam Chair: Miss Binder, are you back online?

C. Binder: I'm back.

Madam Chair: Thank you. Mr. Dines? Do we have a motion?

J. Stonehill: I move that the King George County Board of Supervisors returned to public meeting and certified by vote that only public business matters lawfully exempted from open public requirements by Virginia law, and only such public business matters as was identified in the motion convening the closed meetings were heard, discussed or considered during the closed meeting.

R. Granger: Second.

Madam Chair: All those in favor, I need one by one, so certify.

R. Granger: I so certify.

J. Stonehill: So certify.

J. Bueche: I certify.

Madam Chair: Miss Binder?

C. Binder: So certify.

Madam Chair: And the chair so certifies, we are in open session. Do I have a motion?

J. Bueche: Madam Chair, I move the case number 21-02-E-01, the special exception permit request be remanded back to the Planning Commission, to have an opportunity to consider the material in substantial changes made to the application, since the Planning Commission's recommendation, which obviates the need for a public hearing at this time, such remand will be for the Planning Commission to consider the substantial and material changes and may or may not at their discretion, have a public hearing and further provide a recommendation to the board, who will hold a public hearing at a further date.

R. Granger: Second.

Madam Chair: Any further discussion? All those in favor say aye.

C. Binder: Aye.

J. Bueche: Aye.

R. Granger: Aye.

J. Stonehill: Aye.

Madam Chair: Chair votes aye, motion carries. This matter has been remanded to the Planning Commission. We will now move on to the next item on the agenda. Public hearing case number 21. Actually, so folks, if you would care to have a discussion, you can do so outside. Otherwise, we're gonna continue on with the meeting. You may leave, yes. You may leave. Anyone, we'll give you a minute, anyone who would like to leave, please go ahead and do so, and then we'll resume the meeting.

[pause]

Madam Chair: Alright then. Moving on to the public hearing, case number 21-04-Z01. Amendment to Section 4.16 solar farm of the King George county zoning ordinance to require a surety equal to the cost of decommissioning and to require all cleaning agents be biodegradable. Amendments to Section 4.16. The King George County Board of Supervisors will now hold a public hearing that has been properly advertised to receive public input regarding case number 21-04-Z01. Amendment to Section 4.16 solar farm, of the King George county zoning ordinance to require a surety equal to the cost of decommissioning, and to require all cleaning agents be biodegradable. Amendments to Section 4.16. I will first ask for the staff report, I will then open the floor for public comment. Public comment is limited to three minutes per speaker in order to afford everyone an opportunity to speak. Please state your name and address before you comment. Upon completion of public comment, I will bring the matter back to the board for consideration. The board may or may not take action tonight, depending on information received during public comment. And Mr. Louis Pancotti is here on behalf of the Department of Community Development. Go ahead, sir.

Louis Pancotti: Thank you. Greetings, Madam Chair, members of the board of supervisors, I am Louis Pancotti, senior planner, and I will be presenting case number 21-04-01. So this text amendment came about the request of the Planning Commission. The solar farm regulations were discussed at the March, April, and May Planning Commission meetings before the Commission voted to recommend approval of this text amendment to the Board of Supervisors. The purpose of the text amendment is to require a surety bond for the decommissioning of a solar facility and to require that all agents and solutions for the cleaning of solar panels on a solar farm, be biodegradable. So just as a reminder, this map shows the areas in the county where the use of a solar farm is permitted by special exception, that would be the green areas, the A1 and A2 zoning districts. And the purple is the industrial district, where it is permitted by right. All solar farms, regardless of what zoning district they are, must meet the regulations set forth in section 4.16 of the zoning ordinance. The Planning Commission looked at the economic tax and legislative impact of solar farms. A simple economic analysis showed that during the construction phase, a 20-50 megawatt facility would have an economic output of \$6 million and an economic output of \$2.8 during the operation phase.

An estimate of the tax benefit showed that a 25-megawatt facility would generate approximately \$119,900 per year in tax revenue, and that's based on the 2020 real estate tax rate. The Planning Commission also looked at some of the state legislation. They have several pieces of legislation that affects solar farms and the regulations thereof 15.2-2288.7, establishes how locality can regulate facilities. In most zoning districts, solar facilities that serve just one property in which they're located shall be permitted by right. Any solar facility that is designed to serve or serve the electricity or thermal needs of any property other than the property where the facilities are located, however, shall be subject to the applicable zoning regulations of the locality, such as a special exception. 15.1-3661 grants locality, the authorization to exempt certain solar energy equipment from taxation. An ordinance would need to be adopted for the locality to implement this exception. And 6-585.13 establishes the Community Solar pilot program defined as an eligible solar program conducted by a participating utility pursuant to this section, following approval of the commission under which the participating utility sells electrical power to subscribing customers under a voluntary companion rate schedule and participating utility generates or purchases electrical power from the participating generation facilities selected by the participating utility.

Investor-owned utilities are authorized to issue RFPs for solar projects meeting these program criteria. The Planning Commission also reviewed the ordinances of Spotsylvania, Halifax, Surry, and Glacier. All of which did have provisions for decommissioning, including the requirement for bonding. The Commission also reviewed a study conducted by Solar uni SECO of Virginia counties and how their ordinances address the decommissioning of solar farms. Recommendations of the study included requiring a bond and to have it re-evaluated every five years. So, Section 4.16 outlines the regulations for solar farms. And 4.16.3 has about 10 different regulations that all solar farms must meet. This text amendment will amend requirement G, and it will now read a decommissioning plan. A detailed decommissioning plan that provides procedures and requirements for removal of all parts of the solar facility generation of the facility and its various structures at the end of the useful life of this facility shall be provided. It is the responsibility of the personal owner to remove all of the abandoned systems within 12 months of cessation of operations. Reusable components are to be recycled whenever economically feasible. A surety equivalent to the cost of removal of the solar farm prior to the approval of the final site plan shall be provided. The decommissioning surety shall be re-evaluated, not less than every five years, by a certified engineer.

The reevaluation shall be submitted to the Department of Community Development for review and

approval. Decommissioning surety amount shall be updated as needed based on the re-evaluation. Also, Subsection M will be added and state that any cleaning products used on the solar farm must be biodegradable. At the Planning Commission meeting the Commission voted unanimously 7-0 to recommend approval to the Board of Supervisors, so therefore community development staff and the Planning Commission recommend that the Board of Supervisors approve case number 21-04-01 Amendment to Section 4.16 solar farm of the King George County zoning ordinance to require a surety to be equal to the cost of decommissioning and to require all cleaning products be biodegradable, amendments to Section 4.60. I'll be happy to answer any questions you might have.

Madam Chair: Thank you, sir. Members, questions only at this time. Any questions of staff? Mr. Stonehill.

C. Binder: I have a question.

Madam Chair: Okay, just a moment, Ms. Binder. Mr. Bueche here has a question. Go ahead, Mr. Bueche.

J. Bueche: Thank you, Madam Chair. Louis, in the language you were talking about a surety, is that an actual bond that's taken out or is that just an evaluation of what the cost would be to decommission the facility? I ask because if we get to a point to where something needs to be removed or when it gets done, do we physically have funds that if the company or the owner of the solar farm walks away? Do we have the securities in place that we can take care of cleaning the property without cost to the taxpayer? So, is the... So, question, I'm sorry. Is the surety a physical bond that is taken out and re-evaluated and renewed every five years, or is it a cost estimate that's evaluated just for records every five years?

L. Pancotti: So it may not be a bond per se, it could be a bond, it's more of a blanket term, there's other ways to post, but it would be something that would be binding. There's other forms of sureties than just...

Madam Chair: Mr. Golski, could you please weigh in 'cause it is a legal term.

Ken Golski: Yes, it would be a bond or a letter of credit, so it would be secured.

J. Bueche: Okay.

K. Golski: And actually, while I'm doing this...

Madam Chair: Please.

K. Golski: On the amended text, we do need to have actually added the state code reference to 15.2-2241.2 as a foot note. That can be done further, but it's on the record.

Madam Chair: Yes, when we get to the point where we're entertaining a motion.

K. Golski: Yes, ma'am.

Madam Chair: Alright, Ms. Binder, I believe... Mr. Bueche, you have a satisfactory answer to your

question, sir?

J. Bueche: Yes, ma'am.

Madam Chair: Okay. Ms. Binder, you had a question, I believe?

C. Binder: Yes, my question was in the decommissioning, since there hasn't been a lot of solar farms that have been around for 30 years, about the life span of 30, 40 years, and you mentioned the decommissioning, what is our assurance that, if it is found out that any of the materials are quite hazardous, that they were disposed of properly and the county does not hold any liability for that?

Madam Chair: So that sounds to me like another legal question, Mr. Golski?

K. Golski: Yes, again, the state code reference that's just added, it allows for a bond or other surety to be added in order to achieve that decommissioning.

C. Binder: Thank you. My second question is about a fire or fire and EMS, is that to make sure that there's a site plan for it. It mentioned in there that the fire EMS would have access to the site in case there was a fire or something, but will they be given a site plan so they know where to go if there was a fire?

Madam Chair: Go ahead.

L. Pancotti: Yes, so site plan, we do send that to the fire rescue services for review prior to approval.

Madam Chair: And Ms. Binder, that's something I specifically asked of Chief Moody when we had the adoption of the battery ordinance as well, so we are definitely tracking that concern. Do you have any other questions?

C. Binder: Okay, thank you.

Madam Chair: Is that it, Ms. Binder?

C. Binder: Yes.

Madam Chair: Very good then. Alright, I will... I do not have any questions. Thank you very much for your presentation.

K. Golski: Madam Chair, could I just add one more thing, just for clarify, clarification that the state code reference, it allows for the bond and surety, but it also requires a detailed decommissioning plan.

Madam Chair: Excellent, thank you. Alright, I will now open the floor for public comment. Public comment again is limited to three minutes per speaker in order to afford everyone an opportunity to speak. Please state your name and address before you comment. I do not have anyone who signed up in advance for this public hearing, is there anyone present in the board room who wishes to speak? You may do so now. Please come forward. Alrighty then. Is anyone online, Mr. Dines, to

speaking about the text amendment? And have we received either from members of the Board of Supervisors or from county administration or community development staff, have we received any written statements with regard to this public hearing? Alright, then I will now close the public hearing and I will open it up for the will of the board.

R. Granger: Mr. Golski, could you please detail through... You said there need to be a modification?

K. Golski: Yes, at the end of the text amendment, it needs to be added that the state code reference 15.2-2241.2. And then, that... Then, there will be the ability to use that, the requirement for a detailed decommissioning plan and surety requirement.

R. Granger: And since that is not a material difference, we can make that change.

K. Golski: That is correct. It is just a reference to our authority to do so.

R. Granger: Thank you. In that case, I'd like to make a motion to approve case number 21-04-Z01 Amendment to Section 4.16 solar farm of the King George County zoning ordinance to acquire a surety equal to the cost of decommissioning and to require all cleaning agents to be biodegradable. Amendments to section 4.16 with the modification as detailed by Mr. Golski.

J. Bueche: Second.

Madam Chair: Any further discussion? I'll go down the line. Mr. Granger?

R. Granger: No ma'am.

Madam Chair: Mr. Stonehill?

J. Stonehill: No.

Madam Chair: Mr. Bueche? Ms. Binder, do you have anything to add for discussion?

J. Bueche: No.

Madam Chair: Alright then, we have a motion properly seconded. All those in favor say "Aye."

C. Binder: Aye.

J. Bueche: Aye.

R. Granger: Aye.

J. Stonehill: Aye

Madam Chair: Any opposed? Chair votes "Aye", motion carries. Thank you, Community Development staff for your presentations and your patience this evening. Alright, we will move on to action items 08-13, Department of Parks and Recreation request to approve temporary use of

3321 Roseland Road. Mr. Chris Clarke, director of King George County Parks and Recreation is here this evening. Welcome, Mr. Clarke.

Chris Clarke: Good evening, Madam Chair, members of the board. I come tonight seeking your approval for the temporary use of county-owned property located at 3321 Roseland Road. 3321 Roseland Road was acquired by King George County in 2019 as part of the... Excuse me. As part of the Harry Nice/Thomas "Mac" Middleton Route 301 Bridge project. It is a three-bedroom home that currently sits vacant awaiting future plans and funding to convert it to a commercial structure for Parks and Recreation use. Recently, we have been approached with the idea of using the home as temporary living quarters for new executive level employees of King George County and our related governmental units. We propose allowing up to two employees to rent the home from Parks and Recreation on a limited month-to-month basis with a maximum stay of six months unless otherwise approved by the Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee. One employee would have the master suite on the second floor, and the other would use the bedrooms and full bath on the south side of the home. All other areas of the home would be a shared space between the two renters. This rental would be for employees only, and weekend guests such as spouses would be welcome, but not as continuous residents. The county would provide basic utilities, electric, water and septic, as well as all outdoor and major maintenance to the home. The renter would be responsible for housekeeping and removal of trash. We would not install phone, Internet or television service due to the limited rental periods. No furniture would be provided by the county, the fee per renter would be \$600 per month, \$500 to the recreation activity fund and \$100 to the general fund for utilities and maintenance. Parks and Recreation would work with Finance and the Treasurer's office to transfer the revenues to the appropriate fund so only one check would need to be written in per month. Just to show, so the master suite is the right picture of the second floor, and then the far... The center of the picture is the two bedrooms, there's a pocket door that separates those two bedrooms in that bathroom from the remainder of the house, which would give that renter a little more privacy. Tonight, staff recommends that the Board of Supervisors authorize the Director of Parks and Recreation and the county attorney to develop a short-term lease agreement for King George County staff for the month-to-month rental of 3321 Roseland Road as presented.

Madam Chair: Thank you, Mr. Clarke. I will start with Mr. Stonehill, because this is in your district, so I will defer to you, sir. Do you have questions for Mr. Clarke to lead it off, sir?

J. Stonehill: Just sitting in there, empty right now, right?

C. Clarke: Yes, sir.

J. Stonehill: Okay. No, no questions besides that.

Madam Chair: Thank you. Mr. Granger, do you have anything to ask?

R. Granger: So I assume this would be, this is the plan, since it is empty at the moment, to say that's fine, they'll use it for a lease to do something with it. And I assume it could change at any point, like if you came back and now we have money and a plan, we would be like, "Alright, well that's... This is done with and we... " How would that work then with and in... If you have a lease then with someone, we have to wait it out then?

C. Clarke: Well they... It would be month... The lease would be set, I'm sure, when I worked with

Mr. Britton, will be set as month-to month, so we provided...

R. Granger: So it's only a month's worth then that we'd have to... Okay, fair enough.

C. Clarke: You've got... Yeah, well there'll be an out clause for us, and being only six months total at this time, we've... There's a couple of employees that we've identified who may be interested. So my guess is they would be in maybe by September 1st, so we're looking...

R. Granger: Tracking and I know that we're not... We don't have a plan for it at the moment with funds that we can allocate to doing something at the moment, so... Okay. Yeah.

Madam Chair: Alright, Mr. Bueche.

J. Bueche: Thank you, Madam Chair. Chris, thank you for bringing this before us tonight. I think it's a good solid plan, currently sitting vacant, things that sit around tend to decay. So I like this plan, it's bringing in revenues, providing a service, but what I don't want us to do is get complacent and get to the point to where, "Okay, we're just renting it out month-to-month, we'll always have somebody in it." And four years down the road... Well, that's the county's rental property. I don't want that to be the plan. I still wanna move forward with looking, once the bridge is done and we have a plan for the wayside area, how was this gonna play into it. So I still want us to trudge forward with...

Madam Chair: Do you have a question, Mr. Bueche?

J. Bueche: Oh, I'm sorry, thank you for your...

Madam Chair: Yeah, so we don't have a motion, so you're getting into a discussion if we're...

J. Bueche: I'm sorry.

Madam Chair: Okay, thank you. Ms. Binder, do you have any questions for staff?

C. Binder: No, I do not have any questions, I just have a comment when it comes to discussion.

Madam Chair: Very good then. Alright, is there a motion?

R. Granger: I move to improve the staff recommendation to allow Parks and Recreation to enter short-term leases with executive staff members for the Roseland Road property as presented.

J. Bueche: Second.

Madam Chair: Any discussion? Mr. Bueche, go ahead.

J. Bueche: Thank you. Now to get to the... To what my point was, are we still moving forward with the plan and how this is gonna fit into Wayside when the bridge is done?

C. Clarke: So last... Oh gosh, last week, it feels like longer ago than that, we presented capital improvement plan to the Planning Commission. The Rosslyn House itself did not make Parks and

Recreations top 10, and we could only submit 10 projects, so it's currently at our 12th item. So it is on the radar but it has not made it to the actual plan. It's something that needs to be addressed going forward. A opportunity for master plan in the entire complex is part of our CIP process. We had separated out that two-acre home site versus the Wayside Barnesfield and new Barnesfield properties, but yes, so it is something that's on the plan but it doesn't fall... We did a survey of the public and between the public and the Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee, the house itself and the museum plan did not score high enough to make it into our plan.

J. Bueche: Thank you, but I still don't wanna concede the fact that it's gonna be a museum. I still don't concede that fact, just for the record. But thank you very much.

Madam CHair: Anyone else? Ms. Binder? Discussion. Go ahead.

C. Binder: Yes, I would like to agree with Mr. Bueche on that one about the museum. But one of the things is, I do appreciate Mr. Clarke and your staff in the Parks and Rec advisory board of coming in and giving a plan. I know I had promised many times the residents of Roseland Road that we would make sure we up-kept the property and not let it fall to disrepair, so having at least someone in there that can make sure that the property is well-maintained and taken care of is very important to me. And I agree that I would like to make sure that we have this as... This is just a temporary stock gig so until we can figure out that master plan, which sooner rather than later, and plan it out so that we can fund and do the appropriate with the property we obtained in the plan for the bridge. Thank you very much.

Madam Chair: Alright, closing out discussion... Yes, Mr. Clarke.

C. Clarke: Yeah, I forgot to include in here, the Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee did look at this last week at their meeting and did get consensus to bring it forward to the board.

Madam Chair: Very good. Thank you. Alright, anything else? Any further discussion? All those in favor say aye.

C. Binder: Aye.

J. Bueche: Aye.

R. Granger: Aye.

J. Stonehill: Aye.

Madam Chair: Chair votes Aye, motion carries. Thank you Mr. Clarke. Alright, County administrators report, Mr. Miller.

Chris Miller: Thank you, Madam Chair. Just a couple of items. I think you may have it, you may not. A couple of things is that obviously we are starting to encounter this Delta variant, and I'm telling you right off the bat, I'm not here to talk about mask mandates or anything like that, I will just tell you that what we have done internally is we've kicked off our internal group, which is meeting weekly to discuss things that we get from the Health Agency and to basically do some discussion and some guidance in terms of what we're gonna do. I will tell you this that we are gonna

probably... We're gonna issue a directive to staff in terms of return to work. There are two competing policies, if you will, that the Fire and Rescue has a policy that essentially is symptom-based and also time-based. The existing policy that the other staff is under is that you gotta test negative twice, and we are finding obviously that that's a pretty onerous situation. I think, obviously back when this was put in place, that was probably a good policy because it was... We were having those kind of situations where people would test and then they would test again and it was fine but we are gonna change it to the symptom-based and the time-based for everybody. We have had some cases among the staff and they're doing the proper thing, either they actually got it on their own or they got it through spouse or child, and so we are doing the things that we have to do, but we aren't having any significant issues.

I tell you this in terms of active cases in King George as of yesterday, 53 that are being reported. So think of the population of King George, that's still a very small number. There were no new cases as of yesterday, these are all... These were the 53 that had occurred. The nice thing for us is a positivity rate of 6.5, the Rappahannock Health Districts overall positivity rate is 10.2. We are still in a...

We're in a substantial level, whereas Spotsylvania County, Stafford, Caroline and Fredericksburg, and Charles across the river are in the very high category, so things are going in a good direction in that respect. So again, we'll keep you apprised. If things have to change, that's the purpose of having the interdepartmental team that we've assembled that if things happen, we wanna be able to respond to it very quickly and get all the best consideration of it. So... I will tell you that I also had the opportunity to meet with the base commander, Captain Copeland, had a great briefing with his staff and tour of the facilities, and he does want to come and visit with you all at a future meeting, so we could probably try to arrange to get him on that. When it comes to COVID, they obviously are dealing with a lot of parameters there and a lot of things, moving parts. He has indicated that they're very close to going to what they call DEFCON Charlie, which is kind of they go to 25% and supervisor Granger can talk about that if anybody has any questions about that since he works there, but it is something that... It's a moving target right now, and hopefully we can get everything back down to a kind of a stability phase. I would just remind you that you have scheduled on the 28th of September, the first CIP work session, and we'll obviously have that information for you, we did have a good meeting last week with the planning commission as Chris Clarke indicated. And the next step is they're gonna set their public hearing, and then we're waiting actually tonight, I guess, Heather will get back from them or today she did the rankings, and then we'll go to work putting the revenues to match the expenditures so that you all could... We can give you the presentation of information and things like that.

Also the 15th, again, is the joint meeting with you all in the planning commission and the Berkeley Group, and so that should be an interesting meeting as we jump into changing the ordinance. The zoning ordinance. The one thing I do wanna say is that we are gonna convert a part-time general properties employee to a full-time position for the janitorial services at the Department of Social Services, and the nice thing about what we're doing is we're gonna get reimbursed for the Department of Social Services portion from the state, so that'll cover any kind of real significance on that. But it will also allow us to take care of some folks in the rest of the organization, Chris's operation, Travis's, where they are, where they're housed, they do their own cleaning right now, 'cause we don't have the staff to get over there, and we'll include them in this, and so we'll be able to take care of them and the Department of Social Services. I also would like to ask, and I guess it would be a motion here in a second, we can withhold this, but Dr. Ann Bueche, the Chair of the CPMT, has requested that Michelle Cantrell be appointed to the CPMT as the health department representative. And then we can take an action now or...

0:32:10.2 Madam Chair: So, I'll ask for a motion.

C. Miller: Okay.

R. Granger: I move to appoint Miss Michelle Cantrell to the CPMT as the health department representative.

J. Stonehill: Second.

Madam Chair: Any further discussion? All those in favor say, "Aye."

C. Binder: Aye.

J. Bueche: Aye.

R. Granger: Aye.

J. Stonehill: Aye.

Madam Chair: Chair votes, "Aye," motion carries. Thank you, carry on sir.

C. Miller: Thank you. We also need to re-appoint members of the Tourism Advisory Committee, it's my understanding that all the members are up at the end of this month, and I don't know if in your packet, we have the people that are interested in participating, continuing to participate. We didn't receive some information from a couple people, but there are four that have indicated they're interested in continuing to serve... Mr. Baird, Dee Strauss, Mr. Veazey, and Jeron Hayes have indicated and then we had a no response from some of the other folks. So my recommendation as staff would be that you make a motion and appoint the ones who have said they're interested in continuing and we can come back and get the others, if they do something at a later date, but I think you would have a quorum 'cause there's a number of vacancies on there.

Madam Chair: Yes, so I'm tracking Baird, Strauss, Veazey, and Hayes wish to be reappointed. Alright, is it the will of the board to go ahead and make reappointments this evening, so we at least have some members of the tourism committee renewed before their term expires as we will not meet again before August 31st. Alright, then do I have a motion?

R. Granger: I move to reappoint Miss Jeron Hayes, Mr. Bob Baird, Miss Dee Strauss, and Mr. Warren Veazey to the Tourism Advisory Committee to take effect September 1, 2021 for a one year term.

J. Stonehill: Second.

Madam Chair: Any further discussion? All those in favor say, "Aye."

C. Binder: Aye.

J. Bueche: Aye.

R. Granger: Aye.

J. Stonehill: Aye.

Madam Chair: Chair votes, "Aye." Motion carries. And so then, Mr. Miller, could you have staff forward an email to us with the categories of vacancies that remain as a result of this evening's appointment, and we can do what we can to seek out citizens wishing to serve.

C. Miller: Okay.

Madam Chair: Thank you.

C. Miller: Okay, I just have a couple more items, the one is, as you remember, the 11th of August was the date that was set for the return of proposals for the monument removal. There was one received and... So my recommendation is tonight... Obviously, that you're not gonna do anything, but my recommendation is that we will return this to you in the form of an action item at the 7th of September meeting, and we were gonna go through and vet this proposal, but it was one response... As Ken said, it's a responsible bidder and we have to determine if that is the case, and we will go from there.

Madam Chair: Alright, so September 7th, give staff enough time to make that determination. Mr. Golski.

K. Golski: Yes, I do believe that would be enough time, but I just wanna clarify that there hasn't been a determination yet that they are a responsive and responsible bidder, but that'll be part of the process, that we'll be going forward.

Madam Chair: Correct.

K. Golski: Okay, so we will... Yeah, I don't know that you wanna do anything that would set it for the 7th, let us... We'll bring it back to a future meeting. And if it takes longer than that, we don't wanna set to 7. So...

Madam Chair: Alright, anything else? Mr. Miller.

C. Miller: I guess would mention a couple of things, one is working obviously with the service authority folks, getting to know what's going on there, and obviously making sure that all the things are in place to continue operations. Gave an update to the board of directors as a service authority about the various contractors that we're meeting with, the engineers that we're meeting with and also with the staff to ensure that there's a continuity of operations. They also prepared... There is a CIP draft that I have that I'm gonna present to the board of directors. It's my recommendation to them, or it will be my recommendation to them that they forward it to you, let you have a look at it. Technically, they have the authority to approve it, it would be probably good that it be part of the county's overall CIP, and it obviously is funded differently, but I do think that that keeps that information flow going, so that's something that we'll be working with them on and then we will provide to you guys just as a matter of information. It's my understanding that it's not formally approved by the county supervisors.

Madam Chair: Thank you, Mr. Miller, and I appreciate that, and I'm sure my colleagues on this

board appreciate having that information made available to them as well. Okay, questions from members for Mr. Miller regarding his report. Mr. Granger?

R. Granger: No questions on the report, I do have one question aside from that, if you'll entertain me. So I was just looking through the board packet and it's still showing that there's three vacancies in the board of zoning appeals. I know we currently have at least a quorum, I know two recommendations were made, one for myself and then one for the Shiloh district. Do we know the status of that, do we know if those...

C. Miller: I need to check with that, and unfortunately, Ms. Hall is not here, but I will find out where we are on that and get that back to you all.

R. Granger: I appreciate it, thank you. That was all.

Madam Chair: Alright, thank you, Mr. Granger, Mr. Stonehill?

J. Stonehill: No questions.

Madam Chair: Alright, Mr. Bueche? Ms. Binder?

C. Binder: Nothing.

Madam Chair: Alright, I don't have any questions. Thank you very much for your report, Mr. Miller. And with that, I do believe I will entertain a motion to adjourn.

R. Granger: I move to adjourn until September 7th at 6:30 PM in the boardroom.

J. Bueche: Second.

Madam Chair: All in favor say aye.

C. Binder: Aye.

J. Bueche: Aye.

R. Granger: Aye.

J. Stonehill: Aye.

Madam Chair: Chair votes aye, motion carries. This meeting is hereby adjourned to the next regularly scheduled meeting on September 7, 2021 at 6:30 PM in the boardroom. That meeting may be held by electronic means and/or remote participation only and may be closed to the public being physically present. All citizens are encouraged to participate in advance or during the meeting by electronic means as provided by the county, we are adjourned.